Inspira- Journal of Modern Management & Entrepreneurship (JMME)
ISSN : 2231–167X, General Impact Factor : 2.7282, Volume 09, No. 01, January, 2019, pp. 13-18

IMPLICATION & PROBLEM IN IMPLEMENTATION OF GOODS & SERVICE TAX

Bhavesh Chandavani*

ABSTRACT

The Central GST Bill, 2017 allows the central government to notify CGST rates, subject to a cap. This implies that the government may change rates subject to a cap of 20%, without requiring the approval of Parliament. Under the Constitution, the power to levy taxes is vested in Parliament and state legislatures. Though the proposal to set the rates through delegated legislation meets this requirement, the question is whether it is appropriate to do so without prior parliamentary scrutiny and approval. The Constitution does allow a tax to be levied or collected only by authority of law. Currently, most laws which levy taxes such as income tax, and service tax specify tax rates in the principal law, and any changes in these rates requires the approval of Parliament. While, laws such as the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 allow government to notify a change in tax rates only in case of an emergency, these changes are subject to the tax rate, and certain restrictions and caps specified in the 1985 Act

KEYWORDS: *GST (Goods and Service Tax), Implication of GST, Strikes Due to GST, Benefits of GST.*

Introduction

Goods and Service Tax ("GST") is a far-reaching charge on fabricate, deal and utilization of merchandise and enterprises, that will ingest a large portion of the circuitous expenses exacted by Central and State Government. Right now the GST is received in more than 150 nations. On the off chance that passed, GST Bill would be THE greatest assessment change by the Indian government since origin of the Indian constitution. Goods and Services Tax (GST) is a circuitous tax which was presented in India on 1 July 2017 and was relevant all through India which supplanted various falling taxes imposed by the focal and state governments. It was presented as The Constitution (One Hundred and First Amendment) Act 2017, after the section of Constitution 122nd Amendment Bill. A GST Council represents the GST and its Chairman is the Finance Minister of India. Under GST, goods and services are taxed at the accompanying rates, 0%, 5%, 12%, 18% and 28%. There is a unique rate of 0.25% on harsh valuable and semi-valuable stones and 3% on gold. In expansion a TAX of 15% or different rates over 28% GST applies on couple of things like circulated air through beverages, extravagance autos and tobacco items. GST was at first proposed to supplant a large number of aberrant taxes with a bound together tax and was along these lines set to significantly reshape the nation's 2 trillion-dollar economy. The rate of GST in India is between twofold to four times that exacted in different nations like Singapore.

In India, GST would chip away at double model which will incorporate – C-GST gathered by Central Government + S-GST gathered by State Government on intra-state deals. GST change would likewise include an Integrated GST (IGST) gathered by Central government on between state deals, which is to-be partitioned amongst Central and States Government in a way chose by the Parliament on proposals by GST Council. By getting rid of a few Central and State Taxes, GST would reduce the falling impact of expense (or twofold tax collection, whereby a similar item is exhausted at the phase of assembling as extract, at that point as VAT/deals assess marked down thus on.), which is common in the present duty system. Being a utilization goal-based expense, GST would be required and gathered at each phase of offer or buy of products or administrations in light of the current information assess credit strategy. Current duty structure takes a shot at creation inception-based framework i.e. merchandise and ventures are saddled contrastingly on each phase of creation.

* Student, Gujarat National Law University, Gujarat, India.

Current System (Cascading taxes)	GST (avoidance of double taxation)
Machine Manufactured in Mumbai and sold in Pune @ Rs 10,000/	Machine Manufactured in Mumbai and sold in Pune @ Rs 10,000/
VAT 10% = ₹ 1000	CGST 5% = ₹ 500 SGST 5% = ₹ 500
Same Machine sold from Pune to Delhi @ Rs. 25,000/-	Same Machine sold from Pune to Delhi @ Rs. 25,000/-
Central Sales Tax @ 10% = **₹ 2500**	IGST – 10% = ₹ 1500 (i.e. ₹ 2500 – CGST – SGST)

The various indirect taxes that would be subsumed in GST are:

Central Taxes	State Taxes
Excise Duty	Value Added Tax (VAT)
Service Tax	Octroi and Entry Tax
Additional Customs Duty (CVD)	Purchase Tax
Special Additional Duty (SAD)	Luxury Tax
Central Sales Tax (Collected by States)	Entertainment Taxes
Central Surcharges and Cesses	State Surcharges and Cesses

There are three returns to be filed under GST:

- Purchase
- Sales
- Stock

The time periods of return are divided according to the revenue of the firm:

- Below 20 lakhs = on yearly basis
- Between 20-75 lakhs = quarterly basis
- Above 75 lakhs = monthly basis

Effect of GST and its Implications (Forecasted by Government)

- **Value Lessening**

Unification of various backhanded assessments under GST will offer lift to the current expense credit framework, which will drive impose productivity for makers, wholesalers and additionally for buyers of goods. This will diminish the general cost brought about by assembling segment which will reflect in different swelling files in long haul. GST could negatively affect service segment, which contributes more than half of Indian GDP. The current Service Tax of 15% would surge to Goods and Service Tax rate which is foreseen at 18-20%. Be that as it may, in the meantime, in current duty structure service segment can't appreciate impose credit on VAT and Sales Tax, which is probably going to change for service suppliers after GST execution. Be that as it may, this is lost due to high GST rate.

- **Less Compliance and Procedural Cost**

The cost of gathering different charges, keeping up enormous records and their particular reports by the administration bodies would see a clear lessening as these expenses would go under one major umbrella of GST.

- **Evaluating and Profitability**

The resultant duty use after GST charges being passed would directly affect valuing and gainfulness of various goods and services, which will change crosswise over various segments. Given that Margin and Price Bands would likewise be reevaluated, decrease in costs is plausible, which will have coordinate effect on customer demand.

- **Government Revenue**

Despite the normal change in valuing, the administration is relied upon to set GST @ income nonpartisan rate, so there may be no critical change in Government Revenue.

- **Cash Flow**

Goods and Service Tax is set to help money courses through the expulsion of idea of extract obligation. Being utilization based expense; GST would now be gathered at the season of offer/supply over ebb and flow charge scrape of duty being gathered at the creation/evacuation of goods.

- **Review Location Bias**

This would empower consistency through states and would not give financial specialists a chance to segregate states on premise of assessment advantage. The main thing that would drive financial specialist's capital will be productivity, money streams, and exhibitions advancing littler organizations and business without area predisposition.

- **Uniform Per Capita Taxation**

As said above, Goods and Service Tax being goal based utilization expense would permit destitution stricken states like Bihar to build its assessment income. As GST would be paid to states where the utilization of goods happens, the states' duty income would be driven by populace (increasingly the populace, progressively the utilization) as opposed to number of organizations/ventures. This would at last level out the expense per capita of each state.

- **Battle Tax Evasion**

Another liven of being goal-based framework, Goods and Service Tax Framework would in a perfect world decrease tax avoidance by vast degree and advance utilization of bills and solicitations.

Implementation Issues with GST

The Goods and Services Tax (GST) system, works on a handful of key standards. The Center will require and gather the Central GST. States will impose and gather the State GST on supply of goods and services inside a state. The Center will exact the Integrated GST (IGST) on between state supply of goods and services, and distribute the state's offer of tax to the state where the great or administration is devoured. The 2016 Act expects Parliament to remunerate states for any income misfortune attributable to the execution of GST.

What are a Few Issues that are Yet to be Considered?

- **Numerous GST tax rate structure [Clauses 9 and 10, Central GST Bill, 2017]**

The Central GST Bill, 2017 enables the focal government to advise rates at which CGST will be demanded, subject to a top of 20%. Further, organizations with turnover not as much as Rs 50 lakhs may pay tax at a level rate informed by the legislature (known as structure exact), which will be topped at 2.5%. This may prompt a couple of potential issues, which are examined beneath.

- **No Parliamentary endorsement required for CGST rates?**

The Central GST Bill, 2017 enables the focal government to inform CGST rates, subject to a top. This infers the administration may change rates subject to a top of 20%, without requiring the endorsement of Parliament. Under the Constitution, the ability to impose taxes is vested in Parliament and state assemblies. Despite the fact that the proposition to set the rates through appointed enactment meets this necessity, the inquiry is whether it is proper to do as such without earlier parliamentary examination and endorsement. The Constitution does not enable a tax to be required or gathered with the exception of by expert of law. As of now, most laws which demand taxes, for example, wage tax, and administration tax indicate tax rates in the chief law, and any adjustments in these rates requires the endorsement of Parliament. While, laws, for example, the Central Excise Tariff Act, 1985 enable government to tell an adjustment in tax rates just if there should arise an occurrence of a crisis, these progressions are liable to the tax rate, and certain confinements and tops determined in the 1985 Act.

- **Against the very idea of the GST?**

The Central GST Bill, 2017 accommodates the inside to inform CGST rates, taking into account a different tax rate structure. The goods and services to be taxed at various rates will likewise be informed by the administration. It might be contended that such a structure might be against the possibility of an exacting GST at a solitary rate on all goods and services. In December 2015, the Expert Committee on the Revenue Neutral Rate for GST had proposed a three-rate structure for GST. In any case, while making this proposal, the board of trustees had noticed that 90% of the nations, which have embraced GST, have decided on a solitary rate structure, which takes into consideration simpler tax organization. The thirteenth Finance Commission (2009) had suggested that GST ought to be imposed at a solitary rate of 12%. It had included that goods and services; for example, instruction, wellbeing, and open services ought to be excluded from this tax.

While a various tax structure may take into consideration controlling the effect of GST on costs of basic things, ordering goods and services under various chunks might be an intricate exercise. Presently, goods and services might be taxed at various rates crosswise over states attributable to geographic, financial and social reasons. For instance, coconut oil is taxed in Kerala at 5%, while in Uttar Pradesh, it is taxed at 12.5%., Therefore, taxing every great and administration at a specific rate will be a perplexing activity as they can't just be moved to the closest chunk rate. A moment burden of a different rate structure is that it could prompt debate on characterization of goods and services. .

- **GST on services devoured over different states [Sections 2(14), 2(15), 12, Integrated GST Bill, 2017]**

As of now, services are taxed by the inside, and consequently the state where they are at last provided and expended does not make a difference for imposing administration tax. Under GST, states will likewise have the ability to tax services, alongside the middle. This implies states will exact SGST in the event of intra-state supply of services, while the middle will demand IGST if there should arise an occurrence of between state supply of services and allot an offer of the income to the state, which is the beneficiary of the administration. The general control to decide the area of the beneficiary is his area or address on record; there are particular principles for different services, for example, telecom, property, transportation, and so forth. This implies while an administration might be expended over numerous states, the tax income would be credited to the state where the beneficiary is enrolled or his office is found. This could prompt higher tax credited to states that have more enlisted workplaces. For instance, an organization A situated in Mumbai promotes its items in the Patna release of a daily paper, which has its enlisted office in Delhi. For this situation, one may contend that the administration is as a rule at long last devoured in Bihar. Be that as it may, as the beneficiary of services is in Mumbai, the tax would collect to Maharashtra.

- **Hostile to profiteering expert [Section 171, Central GST Bill, 2017]**

The Central GST Bill enables the focal government to set up a hostile to profiteering expert by law, or assign a current specialist to complete the capacities. The specialist will be in charge of guaranteeing that the decrease of tax rates by virtue of usage of GST brings about an equivalent lessening in costs. It might be contended this may enable the legislature to screen and control costs of all goods and services, which may meddle with the possibility of these costs being resolved in light of their demand and supply in the market.

Note that the value a decent or administration is reliant on a blend of variables, which include: (I) cost of sources of info, (ii) innovation utilized for generation, (iii) tax rate, (iv) demand and supply of item, (v) shopper inclinations and regular varieties, (vi) rivalry in the market, and (vii) dispersion channels. Since costs related with these variables continue fluctuating, it might be hard to decide whether a lessening in tax rates has reflected in an equivalent diminishing in cost of goods or services. One concern could be that an organization or a gathering of organizations could conspire together to fix costs; nonetheless, the Competition Commission of India has the purview to inspect such cases and force punishments.

- **Sharing of un-used cash?**

The method of reasoning behind sharing un-used cash in the GST Compensation Fund with the Center and among states being not the same as Finance Commission equation is indistinct [Section 10, GST (Compensation to States) Bill, 2017]. The Constitution (101st) Amendment Act, 2016 requires the inside to remunerate states for any income misfortune because of usage of GST for a five-year time frame. To remunerate states, an extra cuss on specific goods and services will be demanded under GST. Be that as it may, toward the finish of the five-year time frame, the unutilized reserves got by imposing the cuss will be shared similarly between by focus and expresses; the offer of states will be distributed in the proportion of their SGST accumulations in the most recent year of progress.

This tax is gathered from buyers by the focal government and the inquiry is the means by which to allocate it between the Center and each state. If there should arise an occurrence of direct taxes (and other focal taxes, for example, traditions obligation), the equation depends on the proposals of the Finance Commission. Such recipe is utilized for separating the assets gathered through CGST and the middle's offer of IGST as well. The distribution of abundance reserves gathered through the pay TAX contrasts from such equation.

Losses after GST

- Strikes by textile market, which created loss of 40,000, crore itself only, by Gujarat textile firms.
- Loss of potential transactions between b2b trades because every business wanted goods, which have tax, credited.
- Hike in sales of big business units, as they were able to afford Pre-GST sale to attract consumers and clear their stocks.
- Ultimate results are price of most products in market are increasing and which results into hike in price of product, which is even more than current inflation rate of 3.36%. So ultimately the burden is on the final consumers.

Benefits after GST

- TAX payers' rate has increased by 25%
- Government revenue has increased.

Case Study (Company A: Textile Based Manufacturing Firm)

- **Before Demonetization & GST**

Company A does investment in new and innovative designing of the suits and sarees they manufacture. Most of the time some of the designs use to get success in market and some designs faced failure. Still the firm use to earn good profits. Textile market usually works on credit bases when it comes to manufacturer to retailer. But the manufacturers have to bear the costs on cash or kind basis. The firm was doing well when it comes to performance. And payments by firms were received on time or before due time.

- **After Demonetization and before GST**

Due to demonetization the currency flow was retarding in the country. And this resulted in postpone of the payment yet to be received, also decrease in sales as people of county had shortage of cash-in-hand. So, the productivity was diminishing. Slowly the firm started healing itself from the shock of demonetization.

- **After Demonetization & GST**

As the firm was healing all of a sudden government introduced the GST. Initially people thought it would help in reduction of the overall tax paid by the firm. But this was kind of fairy tale till the rates and process of GST was introduced by the government. As firm had yearly revenue above 75 lakhs it had to file 3 returns every year.

- **Benefits to Firm**
  - Ease in tax payment
  - Accuracy in accounts of firm
- **Disadvantages to Firm (Costs to Firms)**
  - Risk barrier (innovation cost)
  - Complicated process when it comes to sales and purchase return
  - Decrease in sales due to various reasons related to GST
  - Price of everything has increased which results in increase in cost which firm won't prefer to pay it is further passed to the ultimate consumers.
- **Causes of Disadvantages**
  - As there was stock return or products whose designs were not successful in market was initially sold on loss or discount amount. But now the manufacturer loss on such products will be more as the loss will also include the GST paid o the stock of that particular design. Now the loss= discount rate + GST paid. (As GST on stock is paid before sale so it is paid on the cost price not sale price)
  - When it comes to sales return at end of the year or 6 months again then again the credit is to be filed with the return and that old stock will again be devalued due to time and the above point will come in practice again.

- Due to rice in price and GST on the final price of the product the sales and revenue of the firms are decreasing at individual level.

Suggestion

- Tax rate should be decreased: - this will help in reduction in price of goods and will go with the forecasting done by government.
- Unified or single tax: - there should be only one tax rate for every state CGST & IGST should be eliminated because they are creating difference in the tax rate between states which again goes against the very idea of GST.

Study Limitations

- Lack of factual data availability
- New act so true results for long run are not available
- Study focuses on short run

References

- Personal interviews of the local shops and SURAT textile market was conduct the true and real scenario of GST till now.
- http://indiatoday.intoday.in/story/gst-textile-industry-gujarat-punjab-andhra-pradesh/1/1002382.html
- http://www.blog.sanasecurities.com/gst-prospect-impact-implications/
- https://tradingeconomics.com/india/inflation-cpi

♦❒♦