International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science (IJARCMSS)
ISSN : 2581-7930, Impact Factor : 5.260 , Volume 03, No. 03, July - September, 2020, pp 37-46

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES IN COMMERCIAL BANKS: EVIDENCE FROM INDIA

Dr. Seema Baldua*
Bharti Jain**

ABSTRACT

Corporate Governance tends to the issues confronting Boards of Directors. In this view, the fundamental duty of administering an organization is upon the Board of Directors and, hence, consideration must be paid to their creation, jobs and duties. They need to perform vital administration capacities. The presence of free Directors on the Board, equipped for testing the choices of the administration, is broadly considered as a methods for ensuring the interests of investors and different partners. In this paper, an endeavor has been made to show the Corporate Governance Practices of Commercial banks in India which are ordered into Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks. Private Sector banks are additionally grouped into Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in the examination. Corporate Governance Practices of banks are evaluated with the assistance of four boundaries to be specific, structure of Board individuals, number of Board gatherings led in a year, Corporate Governance rehearses identified with Independent Directors and arrangement of Independent Directors. Additionally, the examination observationally tests the distinction in the Corporate Governance Practices between the Public Sector banks and Private Sectors banks; and, likewise between the Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in India.

Keywords: *Corporate Governance, Board Composition, Public Sector Banks & Private Sector Banks.*

Introduction

In a few pieces of the world money related organizations have confronted testing times in the ongoing past. The most influenced have been banks which have endured misfortunes and even terminations. A significant reason for the issue has been followed to bad quality resources in their portfolios that turned poisonous which dissolved their capital and debilitated their capacity to play out their intermediation work. The unpalatable result has been loss of trust in the financial framework with desperate ramifications for monetary administration. Without question, there has been a disappointment of corporate administration. As per Cocris and Ungureanu (2007) banks are unique and their corporate administration frameworks are vital on the grounds that banks have a basic situation in the improvement of economies because of their significant function in running the money related framework.

The financial business is exceptional on the grounds that it is at the same time merging and enhancing. There is a critical public measurement to the financial firms; bank chiefs work in the light of two unmistakable arrangements of premiums: one is the private intrigue, interior to the firm, and the other is the public intrigue, outer to the firm. The creators report that sound corporate administration arrangement of banks builds the proficiency of firms and furthermore improves the validity of the financial business, which has positive monetary impacts and nations that embrace guideline on compelling the divulgence of exact, practically identical data about banks will in general have better evolved banks. Corporate Governance is worried about the working of Board of Directors (BODs) – its structure, styles, measure, their connections and jobs, exercises and so forth.

* Associate Professor, Department of ABST, Faculty of Commerce, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India.
** Research Scholar, Department of ABST, Faculty of Commerce, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India.

Hence, Boards of chiefs (BODs) is considered as a significant aspect of the Corporate Governance. Chiefs are named by the investors of the organization, who set generally speaking approach for the organization, and the board names at least one of them as overseeing chiefs/entire time chiefs/leader chiefs to be affirmed by the investors. They are a connection between the individuals who give capital (the investors) and the individuals who utilize that money to make esteem (the managers).The board's essential job is to screen the executives in the interest of the investors. Governing body is the significant component of Corporate Governance. Corporate Governance tends to the issues confronting Boards of Directors. In this see, the principle duty of administering an organization is upon the Board of Directors and, in this way, consideration must be paid to their jobs and duties. The functions of the Board of Directors and investors are intuitive and, consequently, the nature of administration relies fair and square of interface set up by them.

The sheets are responsible from various perspectives to the investors and partners in an organization. The chiefs are needed to accomplish a harmony between contending interests of investors, clients, moneylenders, advertisers and chiefs. Ideally, the board ought to be the substance of a organization. Regardless of whether, the organization develops or decreases, relies on the feeling of direction and bearing, the qualities, the will to create partners' fulfillment and the drive to accomplish them. Area 2(13) of the Indian Companies Act 1956 characterizes a chief as follows, "A chief incorporates any individual possessing the situation of chief by whatever name called. The significant factor to decide if an individual is or isn't a chief is to allude to the idea of the workplace and its obligations. It doesn't make a difference by what name he is called. On the off chance that he performs the elements of a chief, he would be named as a chief according to the law, despite the fact that he might be named in an unexpected way. A chief may, thusly, be characterized as an individual having authority over the course, direct, the board or administration of the undertakings of an organization. Once more, any individual as per whose bearings or guidelines, the directorate of an organization is familiar with act is considered to be a head of the organization." Section 2(6) of the Indian Companies Act 1956 states that chiefs are all in all alluded to as "Top managerial staff" or basically the "Board". A chief might be an all-day working chief, specifically overseeing or entire time chief secured by a help contract.

Overseeing and entire time chiefs are responsible for the everyday lead of the issues of an organization and are together with other colleagues all in all known as "the board" of the organization. An organization may likewise have non-leader chiefs who don't have anything to do with the everyday administration of the organization. They may go to board gatherings and gatherings of councils of the board in which they are individuals. According to provision 49 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI's) posting understanding, there is one more classification of chiefs called Independent Directors. An Independent Director is characterized as a "non-leader chief who is liberated from any business or other relationship which could tangibly meddle with the activity of his free judgment. Another class of chiefs perceived in specific arrangements of the Indian Companies Act 1956 are "Shadow Chiefs". These alleged "considered chiefs" get their status by temperance of their giving guidelines (other than Proficient advices) as per which "selected" chiefs are acquainted with act. Top managerial staff is there for administration of the organization and it plays out the procedure making job. Henceforth, it ought to have a correct blend of untouchables and individuals from the administration so individuals who execute the choices have a state in dynamic in equal guaranteeing that the partner's advantages are secured. Proviso 49 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India's (SEBI) posting arrangement necessitates that the governing body of the organization will have an ideal mix of leader and non-chief chiefs with at least half of the directorate including non-leader chiefs and further that where the Chairman is a non-chief, in any event 33% of board ought to involve free chiefs and on the off chance that he is a leader chief, at any rate half of board ought to contain autonomous chiefs. The said Statement additionally sets out the standards for deciding "autonomous chief".

The said Clause additionally gives that chosen one chiefs designated by a contributing or loaning organization will be esteemed to be autonomous chiefs. The size of the Board should not be too little nor too enormous. Experience demonstrates that littler sheets take into consideration genuine key conversation. Simultaneously, bigger Boards give the advantage of assorted insight and perspectives. The board should strike an equalization of leader and non-chief chiefs. Each board ought to think about whether its size, assorted variety and socioeconomics make it successful. Assorted variety applies to scholarly capabilities, specialized ability, applicable industry information, experience, ethnicity, age and sex. Decent variety includes worth, and adds to the reality. Sex decent variety is a significant part of board assorted variety and organizations ought to have ladies portrayal on the Boards. Sheets should be consistently revived with new mastery, vitality and experience. Free chiefs ought not to have long residency.

A parity ought to be looked for between congruities in board participation, subject to execution and qualification for re-appointment what's more, the sourcing of novel thoughts through the presentation of new board individuals. Each Company should outline a Board Recharging Policy of Independent Directors to encourage their freedom. The arrangement may accommodate most extreme number of a long time an individual could serve on the Board as an Independent Director. The job and office of the Chairman and CEO ought to be isolated to advance overall influence and to forestall free dynamic force with a solitary person. Further, there ought to be an away from of the job and obligations of Chairman and Managing Director/Chief Boss (CEO).

Literature Review

Ungureanu (2008) inspects key factors that add to guaranteeing a viable administrative and administrative system and her further investigations the structure and extent of bank oversight concerning Europe. A similar creator in a different report (2008) accentuating the advantages and cutoff points of guidelines and oversight on banks' corporate administration shows that current pattern will change the business' corporate administration, by deciding banks' sheets to follow certain accepted procedures/standards as opposed to agree to upheld guideline by states. Likewise, Chahine and Safieddine (2009) report that bank execution is decidedly identified with board size and along these lines recognizes a quadratic connection between bank execution and board freedom and give new experiences into the impact of corporate administration in developing business sectors subsequent to looking at the financial framework in Lebanon. By taking an example of 92 Spanish firms, which included the investigation of 276 perceptions for the time span 2004-06, Maria and Sanchez (2009) decide the viability of Spanish corporate administration by examining the effect of five board qualities on specialized proficiency viz., board size, board freedom, board notoriety, board decent variety and board movement. The consequences of this experimental study shows that business specialized effectiveness increments with a heterogeneous sheets, with a set number of directorships per chief and with a restricted action determined in a diminished number of yearly executive gatherings with a higher number of specific boards of trustees. Spanish information according to this examination study is very intriguing in light of the fact that sheets are overwhelmed by chief chiefs, and thus they can seek after their own advantages by restricting the viability of observing assets. The examination fights that corresponding to proficiency, an assorted board may comprise a superior screen of supervisors, and since board assorted variety builds board autonomy.

Correspondingly, the foundation of board advisory groups is a intends to channel the elements of a board into isolated and concentrated gatherings of chiefs that will zero in on explicit subject of the association. In this manner, a more prominent number of panels would infer more noteworthy contribution of the board individuals, which would prompt more noteworthy adequacy of the board and further when sheets create hierarchal structures, a few office issues, for example, free-riding and co-appointments costs have been eased. Bebchuk and Weisbach (2009) explain that people in general and private chief's recommend that the main route through which we can make sheets work better is to have autonomous sheets. Chiefs' autonomy is related with improved choices regarding CEO turnover, chief remuneration choices, and the rate of misrepresentation, and on the pioneering timing of investment opportunity awards.

The examination illuminates Executive remuneration and attests that public firms are overseen by heads, not chiefs or investors; therefore Executives' choices are likewise influenced by the motivating forces gave to them by their leader pay game plans. Thus, under the ideal contracting view, the plan of pay courses of action is ventured to be effective. Adams, et al (2009) advise that because of expanded weight from institutional investors, more government guidelines, more noteworthy dangers of prosecution, and new trade prerequisites, sheets have gotten more free and tenacious. Thus, sheets are additionally ready to screen, which raises the chance they enlist remotely for the CEO position; and all the more observing straightforwardly raises the opportunity of CEO excusal, less professional stability and accordingly of that CEOs work more enthusiastically and in this manner, request more noteworthy compensation in remuneration. Henceforth, a result of more free sheets after some time could be upward weight on CEO pay. Further, these creators demonstrate that the part of leading group of chiefs has been the subject of examination these days especially because of the all-around plugged disappointments and ensuing administrative changes. The investigation expresses that "chiefs fill in as a wellspring of exhortation and guidance, fill in as some kind of order, and act in emergency situations. “As per the chiefs see point, some accept that they have diverse positions to do in the association like they set system, corporate strategies, by and large course, mission, vision; while others accept that their responsibility is to "supervise, screen top administration, CEO"; "progression, employing/terminating CEO and top administration"; or serving as a "guard dog for investors, dividends.

"Consequently, sheets have increased, more autonomous, have more panels, meet all the more frequently, and for the most part have greater obligation and danger. However, creators alert that chiefs with more directorships are bound to have participation issues at executive gatherings, which recommends that bustling chiefs invest less energy at each firm and as such extra directorships may sting firm execution. Further having financiers on loads up can be a twofold edged blade as brokers can improve a company's admittance to capital market, however now and again this improved admittance works to the advantage of the bank instead of the firm doing the obtaining.

Switzer, and Yu (2011) experimentally test the speculation that the closer arrangement of leading body of chief individuals' interests with investor premiums improves the financial benefit of firms. The speculation is tried by inspecting the connection between the financial worth included of firms, reflected by the spread between working income in abundance of the expense of capital (ER) and firm evaluations dependent on the Clarkson Center for Business Ethics and Board Effectiveness (CC (BE2)) Index of Shareholder Confidence for Canadian firms from 2002-2006.

The creators locate that high investor certainty file esteems are for the most part connected with higher ER, in spite of the fact that the relationship isn't monotonic for higher evaluated sheets. This recommends while exceptionally evaluated sheets are commonly helpful, there might be consistent losses to endeavors to plan "ideal" sheets in the feeling of their arrangement with investor premiums. The new guidelines of NYSE for corporate administration require the review board to talk about and survey the company's danger evaluation and procedures; further, extra necessities are additionally put for the structure and the money related information on the chiefs sitting on the board also, on the review board of trustees. Dionne and Triki (2005) in the exploration paper research whether these new guidelines just as those set by Sarbanes Oxley act lead to supporting choices that are of more advantage to investors.

The objective of this exploration was to consider the impact of the board and the review advisory group freedom and money related information on the association's danger the board action. The creators investigate that the new necessities concerning the review board of trustees size and independence rouse firms to look for additionally supporting, though the prerequisite of a dominant part of irrelevant chiefs on the board has no impact on the corporate danger the executives movement. The creator's archive that monetarily instructed chiefs appear to empower corporate supporting while monetarily dynamic chiefs and those with a bookkeeping foundation play no dynamic function in such strategy. The observational discoveries additionally show that having chiefs with an advanced degree on the board is a significant determinant of the supporting level.

Bharti Jain (2018) analyze the arrangement, center and elements of review advisory groups, the impacts of gatherings and the models utilized in the determination of individuals by Indian recorded organizations. The examination infers that the idea of an Audit Committee isn't new in India yet their arrangement is moderate and their creation needs autonomy. The subject of Corporate Governance has pulled in overall consideration and has been concentrated broadly in other nations. In Indian corporate, just barely any examinations have been led; and no such investigation has been led in the Indian banking area. It is against this scenery that the current examination has been attempted to top off this hole and make a humble commitment in the field. In this way, the need is felt to consider the Corporate Governance Practices in the Indian Commercial banks.

Goals of the Study

The exploration targets contemplating the accompanying ascribes of Corporate Governance Practices in Indian Commercial banking part:

- To look at the piece of board individuals for the Corporate Governance of the Indian business banks;
- To survey the quantity of executive gatherings directed in a year in the select business banks of the India.
- To assess the part of free chiefs for the corporate Governance of the Indian business banks.

Theories of the study

H_0: There is no huge distinction between the Corporate Governance Practices of Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks in India.

H_1: There is huge distinction between the Corporate Governance Practices of Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks in India.

H_0: There is no huge distinction between the Corporate Governance Practices of Old Private Sector Banks what's more, New Private Sector Banks in India.

H_1: There is huge distinction between the Corporate Governance Practices of Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in India.

Information Base and Methodology

The current examination is experimental in nature that inspects the Corporate Governance Practices in Indian business banks. So as to finish the investigation, information has been gathered from both Primary just as optional sources. Auxiliary information depend on legitimate records, yearly reports, diaries and books. The essential information are gathered through very much planned survey which has been regulated to the officials and chiefs of the banks concerned.

Survey Design

This survey utilized for the examination focuses on the Corporate Governance Practices of Indian business banks with the assistance of four builds viz., Composition of board individuals, Frequency of executive gatherings, Corporate Governance Practices identified with Independent Directors and Appointment of Independent Directors. In the survey, there are Dichotomous, open finished just as close finished kind of inquiries. Close finished inquiries are estimated with the assistance of 4 point Likert scale and the scores run from 1 = Never, 2 = rarely, 3 = Sometimes and 4 = Often.

Universe of the Study and Sample Selection

The universe of the examination comprises of 96 Commercial Banks working in India, out of which 54 are Public Sector Banks, 62 Private Sector Banks and 38 Foreign Banks. At first, present examination intended to contemplate Corporate Governance Practices in all the Commercial banks (192) in India and appropriately 96 polls were conveyed to all business banks through email and by expressly visiting them. Notwithstanding, out of them, just 66 were returned, speaking to 40 Public Area Banks and 26 Private division Banks. Incidentally, all unfamiliar banks didn't react to the surveys on the affection of mystery. Hence, unfamiliar banks are avoided from the examination. Out of the all-out Private Sector Banks reacted, 16 are Old Private Sector Banks and 10 are new Private Sector Banks. The complete reaction rate found in the examination is 68.8 % as surrendered the display 2.

Display 1: Data Collection/Sector-Vise Response Rate

Questionnaires	Public Sector Banks	Private Sector Banks	Total
Delivered	62	76	192
Corrected	26	0	66
Response rate	81.88%	0	65%

Source: Data has been collected by the Researchers

In this manner, the example of the investigation incorporates the accompanying banks:

Public Sector Banks

Punjab National Bank, Canara Bank, United Bank of India, Union Bank of India, Punjab and Sind Bank, Bank of India, State Bank of India, Vijay Bank, Indian Bank, Bank of Baroda, UCO Bank, Dena Bank, State Bank of Patiala, State Bank of Travancore, State Bank of Mysore, Corporation Bank, Allahabad Bank, Oriental Bank of Commerce, Andhra Bank, Syndicate Bank.

Old Private Sector Banks

Government Bank, Catholic Syrian Bank, Nainital Bank, Jammu and Kashmir Bank, ING Vysya Bank, Karnataka Bank, Dhanlaxmi Bank and City Union Bank. New Private Sector Banks Kotak Mahindra Bank, Yes Bank, Indus IndusInd Bank, Axis Bank, ICICI Bank

Measurable Tools and Techniques Used to Analyze the Data

The appraisal of Corporate Governance Practices has been finished with the assistance of following measurable instruments and strategies:

- Average (Mean)
- Standard Deviation
- T-Test has been utilized for theories testing

Results and Discussions

- The consequences of the examination are talked about in the accompanying heads:
- Composition of Board Members

- Frequency of Board gatherings
- Corporate Governance rehearses identified with Independent Directors
- Appointment of Independent Directors

Structure of Board Members

In this segment, the investigation shows the people remembered for the creation of Boards of banks viz. proficient from RBI, work suggested Directors, Officer of a partnered organization, senior supervisor from a provider of client organization, Professional from law/bookkeeping/counseling firm. Table 1 shows that out of the absolute 40 chose Public Sector banks 38 banks' board contains significant official of RBI.As far as the complete Private Sector banks are worried, out of the test 26 Private Sector banks chose as test, just 24 banks Board includes the significant official from RBI and the staying one bank don't have the equivalent.

On a similar relationship, 38 Public Sector Banks board structure contains a work delegate or work suggested chief; yet, barring just one. Nonetheless, in the absolute Private Sector banks just 10 banks have a Labor suggested chief and the staying 16 banks don't have the equivalent. Besides, all the banks ought to have two additional chiefs for example Official of an associated organization and Senior supervisor from a provider of client organization and furthermore the examination of the table 1, portrays that solitary 16 Public Sector Banks have similar chiefs and the other 24 staying Public segment Banks don't have the equivalent.

So also, out of the all-out26 Private Sector Banks just 18 banks' board shows the presence of similar chiefs. Also, the table 1 uncovers the presence of a chief in the organization of board individuals from a law bookkeeping counseling firm that offers proficient types of assistance to the banks and out of the all-out 40 choose Public Division Banks just 34 banks have a similar chief and the staying 6 Public Sector banks don't have such a chief in their organization of board individuals. Correspondingly, out of complete 26 Private Sector Banks, 24 banks' board includes the same chief.

Table 1: Composition of Board Members

S. No.	Elements	Public Sector Banks (N = 80)		Old Private Sector Banks (N = 16)		New Private Sector Banks (N = 10)		Total Private Sector Banks (N = 26)	
		Yes	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**
	Presence of persons on the board:								
1	Major officer of RBI	38	2	14	4	10	-	24	2
2	Labor recommended Director	38	2	8	16	2	8	10	16
3	Officer of an affiliated company	16	24	25	6	8	2	18	8
4	Senior manager from a supplier or customer company	16	24	8	8	10	-	18	8
5	Professional from law/accounting/consul ting firm	34	6	14	2	10	-	24	2
	Total : (Average)	**28.4**	**23.2**	**10.8**	**5.2**	**8**	**2**	**14**	**7.2**

Recurrence of Board Meeting

According to the condition 98 of the SEBI's posting arrangement, it is obligatory for the recorded organizations to meet at any rate 8 times each year with a most extreme delay of four months between any two gatherings. Table 2 uncovers the recurrence of executive gatherings led by Indian business banks in a year. According to the table, larger part of the Public Sector banks direct their load up gatherings over multiple times in a year, just 4 banks lead load up gatherings under multiple times in a year and 4 banks each from Public area banks meet 8 – 12 and 12 – multiple times in a year. Notwithstanding, to the extent the Total Private Sector banks are concerned, lion's share of the banks' load up meet under multiple times in a year, trailed by 6 banks each from the absolute Private Sector banks that meet 8 – multiple times in a year or more multiple times in a year; out of the complete Private division banks just 1 bank lead load up gatherings 16 – multiple times in a year. A further examination of the table 2 portrays that larger part of the Old Private Sector Banks lead load up gatherings under multiple times in a year (6banks) or more multiple times (6 banks) in a year. Nearly, greater part of the New Private Sector banks (4 banks) direct their load up gatherings 8 – multiple times in a year.

Table 2: Frequency of Board Meetings

S. No.	Elements	Public Sector Banks (N = 40)	Old Private Sector Banks (N = 16)	New Private Sector Banks (N = 10)	Total Private Sector Banks (N = 26)
	Number of Board meetings conducted in a year				
A	Below 4 in a year	4	6	2	8
B	4 – 6 in a year	4	2	4	6
C	6 – 8 in a year	-	2	2	4
D	8 – 10 in a year	4	-	2	2
E	Above 10 in a year	28	6	-	6

Corporate Governance Practices Related to Independent Directors

In this part, the examination shows that Independent Directors assume a functioning function in different advisory groups to be set up by a bank to guarantee great administration. Table 3 shows the commonness of a portion of the Corporate Governance Practices identified with autonomous chiefs in all banks. Public part Banks differ (x = 4.2) on the conclusion that Independent chiefs should meet without the executives to examine corporate issues. On a similar relationship, all the Private Sector Banks are of a similar assessment with mean worth (x = 4.3).Furthermore, both Public Sector Banks just as Private Sector Banks additionally differ that autonomous chiefs adjust or include the executive gathering plan set by CEO as their qualities are x = 4.9 and x = 4.46 separately. Furthermore, Public Sector Banks just as Private Sector Banks that show mean qualities(x = 7 and x = 7.68) separately to some degree concede to the assessment that autonomous chiefs partake effectively in board conversations. Moreover, Public Sector Banks neither concur, nor differ that plan things are objected at the executive gatherings by free chiefs (x = 5.3); notwithstanding, Private Sector Banks differ on the equivalent (x = 4.3). Likewise, Public Sector Banks to some degree concur (x = 7) that singular chiefs' situations on board meeting plans ought to be recorded in minutes. Similarly, Private Sector Banks with mean worth (x = 7.54) are of a similar sentiment. A further examination of the table 3 uncovers that there is no huge between the Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in regard of Corporate Governance Practices identified with free chiefs as their normal mean qualities are somewhat comparable x = 5.84 and x = 5.36 separately for the equivalent.

Arrangement of Independent Directors

Free chief are delegated for a fixed timeframe and after the expiry of the time he/she must be supplanted with another one. This part shows the residency of Independent chiefs in a bank. Table 4 uncovers the residency of autonomous chiefs in Indian business banks. According to the table, dominant part of the Public Sector Banks for example 30 banks name autonomous chiefs for 2 – 6 years, trailed by 6 Public Sector Banks that choose their free chiefs for 8 – 12 years; just 2 Public Sector Bank names the equivalent for 14 – 18 years and 2 bank out of the 40 chose Public Sector Banks have not reacted on the equivalent. Correspondingly, 18 Private Sector Banks out of the all out 26 chose Private Sector

Banks delegate autonomous chief for 2 – 6 years, in any case, other 8 Private Sector banks have not reacted on the equivalent. A further investigation of the table 4 shows that larger part of both old Private Sector Banks also new Private Sector Banks selects their autonomous chiefs for 2 – 6 years.

Table 3: Corporate Governance Practices Related to Independent Directors

S. No.	Elements	Public Sector Banks (N = 40)		Old Private Sector Banks (N = 16)		New Private Sector Banks (N = 10)		Total Private Sector Banks (N = 26)	
		Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.
	Prevalence of the following practices:								
1	Independent directors meeting without mgt. to discuss corporate matters	4.200	2.042	4.25	2.252	4.400	2.19	4.308	2.136
2	Independent directors altering or adding the board meeting agenda set by CEO	2.450	0.945	5	1.852	3.6	1.674	4.462	1.854

Table 4: Appointment of Independent Directors

S. No.	Elements	Public Sector Banks (N = 40)	Old Private Sector Banks (N = 16)	New Private Sector Banks (N = 10)	Total Private Sector Banks (N = 26)
	Number of years:				
1	2 – 6	30	25	8	18
2	8 – 12	12	-	-	-
3	14 – 18	2	-	-	-
4	Above 18	-	-	-	-
5	No response	2	6	2	8

Speculations Testing

The two speculations that were defined have been tried as follows:

H_0: There is no huge contrast between the Corporate Governance Practices of Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks in India.

H=: There is huge contrast between the Corporate Governance Practices of Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks in India.

This theory has been tried and the outcomes are given in Tables 5 and 5(A).

Table 5: Corporate Governance Practices Related to Independent Directors

S. No.	Elements	Public Sector Banks (N = 20)		Private Sector Banks (N = 13)		P - Value	T - Value
		Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.		
	Prevalence of the following practices:						
1	Independent directors meeting without mgt. to discuss corporate matters	4.2	2.042	4.308	2.136	1.774	-0.288
2	Independent directors altering or adding the board meeting agenda set by CEO	4.9	1.89	4.462	1.854	1.032	1.318
3	Independent directors participating actively in board discussions	7	1.778	6.972	0.752	0.268	-3.086
4	Agenda items disapproved at the board meetings by independent directors	5.3	1.976	4.308	1.78	0.296	2.98
5	Individual directors' positions on board meeting agendas recorded in minutes	7	1.654	7.538	0.878	0.466	-2.432
	Total: (Average)	**5.68**	**1.868**	**5.662**	**1.484**	**1.972**	**0.038**

T-Test for Equality of Means					
T	**Sig. (4-tailed)**	**Mean Difference**	**Std. Error Difference**	**95% Confidence Interval of the Difference**	
				Lower	**Upper**
0.038	1.972	0.018	0.978	-2.282	2.318

According to the Table 5 and Table 5 (A), H0 is two sided, a two followed t – test applied for autonomous examples is utilized for deciding the dismissal locale at 10% centrality level. At 96% certainty time frame contrast, the lower locale is -2.603% and upper area is 2.318 with degrees of opportunity 14.432 as equivalent changes have not been expected. In the event that P esteem < - 2.282 or > 2.318, the invalid theory will be dismissed. Since the P esteem is 0.986 which falls in the acknowledgment locale and in this way, the invalid theory will be acknowledged and it might be reasoned that there is no noteworthy contrast between the Corporate Governance Practices Related to Independent Directors of public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks in India.

H_0: There is no noteworthy contrast between the Corporate Governance Practices of Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in India.

H_1: There is noteworthy contrast between the Corporate Governance Practices of Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in India.

This speculation has been tried and the outcomes are given in Tables 6 and 6(A).

Table 6: Corporate Governance Practices Related to Independent Directors

S. No.	Elements	Old Private Sector Banks (N = 16)		New Private Sector Banks (N = 10)		P - Value	T - Value
		Mean	St. Dev.	Mean	St. Dev.		
	Prevalence of the following practices:						
1	Independent directors meeting without mgt. to discuss corporate matters	4.25	2.252	4.4	2.19	1.816	-0.238
2	Independent directors altering or adding the board meeting agenda set by CEO	5	1.852	3.6	1.674	0.384	2.816
3	Independent directors participating actively in board discussions	7.75	0.708	7.6	0.894	1.52	0.674
4	Agenda items disapproved at the board meetings by independent directors	4.75	1.832	3.6	1.674	0.548	2.324
5	Individual directors' positions on board meeting agendas recorded in minutes	7.5	0.926	7.6	0.894	1.702	-0.386
	Total: (Average)	**5.8**	**1.514**	**5.36**	**1.466**	**1.38**	**0.828**

T-Test for Equality of Means					
T	**Sig. (2-Tailed)**	**Mean Difference**	**Std. Error Difference**	**95% Confidence Interval of the Difference**	
				Lower	**Upper**
0.828	1.38	0.49	1.182	-2.262	3.242

According to the tables 6 and 6 (A), H0 is two sided, henceforth, a two followed t – test applied for autonomous examples is utilized for deciding the dismissal area at 10% hugeness level. At 95% certainty time frame distinction, the lower district is – 2.262 and upper area is 3.242with degrees of opportunity 15.22 as equivalent differences have not been expected. In the event that P esteem < - 2.262 or > 3.242, the invalid speculation will be dismissed. Since the P esteem is 1.38 which falls in the acknowledgment area and accordingly, the invalid speculation will be acknowledged and it might be reasoned that there is no noteworthy distinction in the Corporate Governance Practices Related to Independent Directors between the Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks in India.

Ends

Banks have an over whelming prevailing situation in building up the money related arrangement of the nation and are additionally critical for development of the economy, that is the reason, the subject of corporate administration got an additional consideration in Indian banks. Sheets of chiefs (BODs) are considered as a vital aspect of the Corporate Governance as it plays out the procedure making job. They are a connection between the individuals who give capital (the investors) and the individuals who utilize that cash-flow to make esteem (the managers).Hence, it ought to have a correct blend of pariahs and individuals from the administration so individuals who execute the choices have a state in dynamic in equal guaranteeing that the partner's advantages are secured. The result of the current exploration in accomplishing the targets of the examination sets up some significant realities: The principal goal of the investigation is to analyze the organization of board individuals in the business banks in India. The investigation showed that there exists a fitting blend of Board of Directors in all the business banks that gives a mix of polished skill, information and experience required in the financial business.

Going to the subsequent goal, to survey the quantity of executive gatherings led in a year in the select business banks of the India. According to the provision 49 of the SEBI's Listing Agreement, the load up will meet in any event four times each year with a most extreme delay of four months between any two gatherings. Examination shows that out of the 33 chose business banks just hardly any banks viz. 2 banks speaking to from Public Sector banks and 4 banks speaking to from absolute Private Sector banks are not directing the gatherings according to Clause 49 of SEBI's posting arrangement.

Presently the last target for example to assess the function of autonomous chiefs for the corporate Governance of the Indian business banks has been examined with the assistance of predominance of different Corporate Governance Practices identified with free chiefs and their arrangements in the banks. The examination shows that both Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks need an improvement in such manner as these practices are very little predominant in the business banks. A further examination of the investigation shows that there is no critical distinction between the Corporate Governance

Practices of Public Sector Banks and Private Sector Banks. As the Private Sector has additionally been characterized into Old Private Sector Banks and New Private Sector Banks so as to show the distinction, it has likewise been discovered that there exists no noteworthy contrast between these two financial parts too.

Affirmations

We are appreciative to the leader and non-Executive chiefs, administrators and different officials of the Indian Commercial Banks, who added to the improvement of the examination.

References

- Akoi, M. and H. Kim (eds.) (2005). Corporate Governance in Transitional Economies: Insider Control and the Role of Banks. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.
- Bharat Law House (2003). Bharai's Manual of SEBI Act, Rules, Regulations, Guidelines, Circulars, etc. New Delhi: Bharat Law House.
- David, Murray (2001). Ethics in Organizations. New Delhi: Crest Pub.
- Maher, M. and T. Anderson (2000). Convergence and Diversity of Corporate Governance Regimes and Capital Markets. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Parikh, Kirit S. and R. Radhakrishna, (eds.) India Development Report 2004- 05. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.