International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science (IJARCMSS)
ISSN :2581-7930, Impact Factor : 7.270, Volume 08, No. 02(II), April-June, 2025, pp 152-158

Paradigm Shift in Sustainability: Unravelling the Human Psyche for Environmental Stewardship

A. Uma Maheswari*

Assistant Professor, Xavier Institute of Management and Entrepreneurship, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding Author: umasiva_us@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT

Background: *Traditional sustainability models have focused on technological advancements, economic incentives, and policy regulations to drive environmental responsibility. However, climate change, biodiversity loss, and ecological degradation continue to worsen, highlighting the limitations of policy-driven approaches. Research suggests that human psychology significantly influences sustainability adoption, yet cognitive biases, eco-anxiety, and social influences remain underexplored in environmental policies. A paradigm shift is needed—one that integrates behavioural science, cognitive psychology, and social reinforcement into sustainability frameworks to bridge the gap between awareness and action.*

Objective: *This study examines the role of human psychology in environmental stewardship and proposes a behaviourally informed sustainability paradigm. It explores cognitive and emotional factors that influence sustainability decision-making, identifies psychological barriers and motivators, and presents evidence-based behavioural interventions to enhance engagement. The study further introduces a human-centered sustainability framework, prioritizing intrinsic motivation, social norms, and behavioural nudging over traditional external enforcement mechanisms.*

Methodology: *This study employs a traditional literature review approach, synthesizing insights from behavioural science, cognitive psychology, and environmental studies. A qualitative narrative synthesis was conducted, analyzing peer-reviewed literature and theoretical frameworks on cognitive biases, eco-anxiety, and social norm interventions in sustainability behaviour.*

Results: *Findings reveal that cognitive biases (status quo bias, present bias, optimism bias) create a disconnect between awareness and action, while eco-anxiety can either motivate or discourage engagement. Social norms and peer influence significantly impact sustainability choices, with community-based interventions and behavioural nudging proving more effective than policy enforcement.*

Conclusion*: The study identifies three key pillars for a behaviourally informed sustainability paradigm: integrating cognitive and emotional insights into policies, leveraging peer influence and community-driven initiatives, and designing behavioural nudges that make sustainability effortless. By embedding psychology into sustainability frameworks, this study provides a comprehensive model for fostering long-term environmental responsibility.*

Keywords*: Sustainability Paradigm, Behavioral Science, Cognitive Biases, Eco-Anxiety, Environmental Stewardship, Social Influence, Behavioral Nudging.*

Introduction

Sustainability has become a defining challenge of the 21st century, requiring innovative approaches to mitigate environmental degradation and promote long-term ecological balance. Traditionally, sustainability efforts have been driven by top-down strategies, such as regulatory policies, technological innovations, and economic incentives (Biermann, 2020). However, despite these measures, global environmental crises—such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion—continue to escalate. One reason for this persistent challenge is the limited consideration of

human psychological factors in shaping environmental behaviour and decision-making (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016). While economic and policy-driven solutions provide structural frameworks for sustainability, they often overlook the cognitive, emotional, and social dimensions that influence individual and collective environmental actions. This gap underscores the need for a paradigm shift that integrates psychological insights into sustainability science to foster long-lasting and meaningful environmental stewardship. A paradigm shift, as conceptualized by Kuhn (1962), refers to a fundamental change in perspective that alters existing approaches to a field of study. In the context of sustainability, such a shift necessitates moving beyond technocratic and regulatory models to incorporate an understanding of human cognition, emotions, and behaviour (Piasentin & Roberts, 2018). The current sustainability paradigm assumes that providing information, regulations, and incentives is sufficient to drive behavioural change. However, psychological barriers—including status quo bias (reluctance to change), optimism bias (underestimating risks), and present bias (prioritizing short-term gains over long-term sustainability)—often prevent individuals from making environmentally responsible choices (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016).

Recent studies have highlighted the importance of eco-anxiety—a growing emotional response to environmental crises—as both a motivator and a potential inhibitor of sustainable action (Cooley et al., 2020). While heightened awareness of climate change can drive pro-environmental behaviour, excessive distress may lead to disengagement or learned helplessness, preventing meaningful action. Similarly, social norms, cultural conditioning, and peer influence play a significant role in shaping environmental attitudes, yet sustainability policies rarely leverage these social-psychological mechanisms to promote widespread behavioural shifts (Girardin et al., 2021). This study aims to address existing gaps by examining the role of the human psyche in environmental stewardship, focusing on the cognitive and emotional factors that influence sustainable decision-making. It seeks to identify key psychological barriers and motivators that shape environmental behaviour, such as cognitive biases, eco-anxiety, and social reinforcement mechanisms. Additionally, this research proposes a paradigm shift that integrates psychological and behavioural science into sustainability frameworks, moving beyond traditional policy-driven approaches. By bridging the disconnect between sustainability policies and human psychology, the study offers evidence-based strategies to enhance environmental stewardship, ensuring that sustainability efforts align with behavioural insights for greater long-term impact. By focusing on the interplay between human psychology and environmental sustainability, this study contributes to a more holistic and actionable sustainability paradigm. Understanding how people perceive, react to, and engage with sustainability efforts is crucial for designing interventions that resonate with individuals and communities. Moving forward, a behavioural-science-informed approach to sustainability can help create a world where environmental responsibility is not just a policy directive but an intrinsic societal value.

Rationale of the Study

Despite global sustainability efforts, key targets remain unmet due to an over-reliance on policy, technology, and economic incentives, while neglecting psychological and behavioral factors. This study argues for a paradigm shift that places the human psyche at the core of environmental stewardship. Cognitive biases, such as status quo bias, optimism bias, and present bias, often prevent individuals from adopting sustainable behaviors, even when aware of environmental risks. Additionally, eco-anxiety, though increasingly prevalent, lacks research on how it can be constructively harnessed for positive action. Social norms and peer influence remain underutilized, despite their effectiveness in driving community-based behavioral change. This study seeks to bridge these gaps by integrating psychological insights into sustainability science, shifting the focus from external enforcement to intrinsic motivation.

Literature Review

Sustainability science has historically centered around technological advancements, economic policies, and regulatory frameworks to address environmental challenges (Biermann, 2020). However, research increasingly highlights the critical role of human cognition, emotions, and social behaviour in shaping environmental stewardship (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016). This literature review synthesizes interdisciplinary insights, integrating psychological theories and behavioural science into sustainability discourse, to propose a paradigm shift in environmental stewardship.

Environmental stewardship has traditionally been policy-driven, with governments and institutions enforcing sustainability regulations (Piasentin & Roberts, 2018). While these strategies have led to incremental improvements, they often fail to address behavioural inertia, which prevents individuals and communities from adopting sustainable practices (Ling et al., 2020). A growing body of research

suggests that understanding the psychological and cognitive mechanisms that drive environmental decision-making is crucial for fostering long-term sustainable behaviour (Cooley et al., 2020). One of the key findings in sustainability research is that mere awareness of environmental issues does not necessarily translate into action. Many individuals recognize the urgency of climate change yet continue unsustainable behaviours due to cognitive biases, social norms, and emotional detachment from environmental consequences (Girardin et al., 2021). This raises a fundamental question: How can psychological insights be leveraged to bridge the gap between environmental awareness and sustainable action?

Cognitive biases significantly hinder sustainable decision-making by reinforcing habitual behaviours. Status quo bias prevents individuals from adopting eco-friendly lifestyles due to resistance to change (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016). Present bias prioritizes short-term convenience over long-term environmental benefits, contributing to overconsumption (Piasentin & Roberts, 2018). Optimism bias leads to complacency, as individuals underestimate their personal risk from climate change (Ling et al., 2020). Since factual awareness alone does not drive action, a paradigm shift is essential—integrating behavioural nudges, emotional engagement, and social reinforcement to foster lasting sustainability (Cooley et al., 2020). Eco-anxiety, the distress caused by climate crises, can motivate sustainability efforts or lead to paralysis and disengagement due to overwhelming fear (Girardin et al., 2021). Research suggests that balanced climate messaging—combining urgency with optimism—is key to sustaining engagement. While fear-based narratives may induce apathy, emphasizing collective action and achievable solutions fosters proactive environmental behaviour (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016). Social norms and peer influence strongly shape environmental behaviours (Biermann, 2020). Sustainability adoption increases when perceived as a societal norm (Girardin et al., 2021). Behavioural nudges, such as public commitments, enhance accountability and long-term adherence (Piasentin & Roberts, 2018). Community-led initiatives, like urban greening, foster collective responsibility (Cooley et al., 2020). These findings highlight that sustainability efforts are most effective when reinforced by social influence and community engagement.

Psychological theories offer valuable insights into sustainability decision-making and behaviour change, providing a foundation for understanding how individuals and societies engage with environmental stewardship. Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) – This theory posits that attitudes, social norms, and perceived behavioural control significantly influence sustainable behaviour. Strengthening social reinforcement mechanisms and reducing perceived barriers can enhance pro-environmental actions (Ajzen, 1991). Cognitive Dissonance Theory – Individuals experience psychological discomfort when their unsustainable behaviours conflict with their environmental values. Nudging individuals toward eco-conscious choices can alleviate this dissonance and facilitate behavioural change (Festinger, 1957). Ecological Systems Theory – Environmental behaviour is shaped by multiple layers of influence, ranging from micro-level factors (family, peers, workplaces) to macro-level structures (education systems, policies, and media). This underscores the necessity of both individual responsibility and systemic interventions to drive sustainability (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Prospect Theory and Loss Aversion – Research indicates that individuals perceive losses more strongly than equivalent gains. Therefore, framing climate risks as immediate losses, such as biodiversity decline or extreme weather events, tends to be more persuasive than emphasizing long-term benefits. However, messaging strategies must be carefully balanced to avoid inducing eco-anxiety and disengagement (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). These theoretical perspectives collectively highlight the importance of behavioural nudging, emotional engagement, and systemic policy interventions in fostering long-term, psychologically informed sustainability efforts.

Sustainability has traditionally been addressed through policy and technology, yet research highlights the need for a paradigm shift that recognizes human psychology as a key driver of environmental stewardship (Biermann, 2020). This shift involves moving from external enforcement to intrinsic motivation, fostering sustainability through behavioural norms and personal responsibility (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016). It further emphasizes integrating behavioural nudges, emotional framing, and social reinforcement into sustainability policies (Girardin et al., 2021). Additionally, multi-level interventions must bridge individual behaviour change with systemic sustainability reforms (Ling et al., 2020). By applying psychological insights to environmental challenges, this study redefines sustainability as a behaviourally driven, psychologically informed movement, ensuring long-term environmental stewardship.

Statement of the Problem

Despite decades of sustainability initiatives, climate change, biodiversity loss, and ecological degradation continue to escalate, revealing fundamental gaps in existing policy, technological, and economic frameworks. Traditional approaches overlook the role of human psychology, a key factor in shaping individual and collective environmental behaviour. Awareness alone does not drive action, as cognitive biases, emotional responses, and social influences hinder sustainable choices. Additionally, fear-based sustainability messaging often induces paralysis rather than action, while social norms and community engagement remain underutilized. Without integrating behavioural science into sustainability policies, efforts will remain fragmented and ineffective. This study calls for a paradigm shift, integrating psychological theories, behavioural economics, and sustainability science to develop a holistic, human-centered approach to environmental stewardship. It aims to identify key psychological barriers and explore how behavioural nudges, emotional engagement, and social reinforcement can drive long-term sustainability.

Objective

This study aims to explore the psychological dimensions of sustainability by examining how cognitive biases, emotional responses, and social influences shape environmental behaviour and decision-making. By identifying key psychological barriers such as status quo bias, present bias, and eco-anxiety, the research seeks to understand how these factors either hinder or motivate individuals toward sustainable action. Additionally, this study aims to develop a new sustainability paradigm that integrates behavioural nudges, emotional engagement, and social reinforcement into sustainability frameworks. By addressing these objectives, the research will contribute to a human-centered approach that aligns psychological insights with sustainability policies, ensuring long-term environmental stewardship at both individual and societal levels.

Methodology

This study employs a traditional literature review to explore the psychological dimensions of sustainability and the need for a paradigm shift in environmental stewardship. By synthesizing insights from behavioural science, cognitive psychology, and sustainability studies, this review examines how human decision-making, emotions, and social influences shape environmental behaviour. The study adopts a narrative approach, allowing for flexibility in exploring diverse perspectives and emerging themes in sustainability psychology.

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple disciplines, drawing from peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports (UNEP, IPCC), and seminal books on cognitive biases, decision-making, and behavioural nudging. Studies were included based on relevance, theoretical contribution, and empirical significance, with a focus on research examining psychological factors influencing sustainability, such as cognitive biases, social influences, and emotional responses. Studies solely focused on technological or economic models without addressing behavioural components, as well as non-peer-reviewed or redundant articles, were excluded. This broad yet focused approach enabled the identification of key patterns, themes, and evolving trends in sustainability psychology, contributing to a holistic understanding of behaviourally informed environmental stewardship. This review establishes a foundation for a behaviourally informed sustainability paradigm by identifying cognitive and emotional barriers to sustainability, emphasizing the role of social norms and peer engagement, and highlighting the transition from external enforcement to intrinsic motivation.

Results and Discussion

The literature highlights three key patterns influencing sustainability behaviours. First, psychological barriers, including cognitive biases (status quo bias, present bias), decision fatigue, and eco-anxiety, create a disconnect between environmental awareness and action, leading either to engagement or disengagement (Biermann, 2020; Girardin et al., 2021). Second, social and cultural influences, particularly peer norms and community-based interventions, play a crucial role in shaping sustainability adoption, often proving more effective than top-down policy enforcement (Piasentin & Roberts, 2018). Lastly, sustainability strategies are shifting from external enforcement to intrinsic motivation, prioritizing habit formation, social belonging, and identity-driven engagement over traditional financial incentives and legal mandates (Biermann, 2020). These trends underscore the growing importance of behavioural science in environmental decision-making and the need for sustainability frameworks that align with human psychology.

This study aligns with key psychological theories that explain environmental decision-making and behaviour change. The Theory of Planned Behaviour posits that attitudes, social norms, and perceived behavioural control shape sustainability choices, highlighting the role of external influences and self-efficacy in driving environmental actions (Ajzen, 1991). Cognitive Dissonance Theory explains how individuals experience psychological discomfort when unsustainable actions conflict with pro-environmental values, leading to behavioural adjustments to reduce inconsistency (Festinger, 1957). Ecological Systems Theory emphasizes that sustainability behaviour is shaped by multiple layers of influence, from personal and peer interactions to institutional and policy frameworks, reinforcing the importance of both individual and systemic change (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Lastly, Prospect Theory suggests that framing sustainability as loss prevention (e.g., biodiversity loss, climate disasters) is more persuasive than emphasizing potential gains, demonstrating the power of risk perception in motivating sustainable behaviour (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). These theories collectively underscore the importance of psychological, social, and structural factors in shaping environmental stewardship.

This study employs a qualitative narrative synthesis to contextualize sustainability challenges within real-world behavioural insights, integrating diverse theoretical perspectives into a holistic framework while identifying emerging trends and research gaps in sustainability psychology. However, certain methodological limitations must be acknowledged. Selection bias may be present, as the study prioritizes high-impact academic literature, potentially overlooking grassroots sustainability initiatives. Additionally, the study relies solely on secondary research, lacking empirical validation through primary data collection. Furthermore, the findings may reflect a Western-centric perspective, requiring adaptation to non-Western sustainability contexts for broader applicability (Biermann, 2020).

This study reveals that traditional sustainability models—primarily policy-driven and incentive-based—are insufficient in fostering long-term environmental stewardship. Instead, integrating psychological insights, behavioural science, and social reinforcement is essential to bridge the gap between awareness and action. Cognitive biases, including status quo bias, present bias, and optimism bias, prevent individuals from making sustainable choices despite acknowledging environmental risks (Thatcher & Yeow, 2016; Piasentin & Roberts, 2018). Additionally, eco-anxiety serves as both a motivator and inhibitor, where moderate levels encourage activism, but excessive distress leads to disengagement (Girardin et al., 2021). The study also underscores the power of social influence, as sustainability adoption increases when framed as a societal norm, reinforced through public commitments, and integrated into workplace and community-led initiatives (Ling et al., 2020). Furthermore, behavioural nudging techniques, such as default sustainable choices, simplification of eco-friendly actions, and real-time feedback mechanisms, significantly improve sustainability outcomes by making sustainable behaviour intuitive and effortless (Cooley et al., 2020).

These findings support the emergence of a new sustainability paradigm, emphasizing behavioural integration, cultural normalization, and structural design to embed sustainability within everyday habits and social systems. By shifting from top-down enforcement to psychologically ingrained norms, sustainability efforts can become self-sustaining and deeply rooted in human behaviour. This study contributes to the "Psychology of Sustainability" paradigm, where environmental responsibility is not merely a compliance-driven obligation but a behavioural and social norm. Policymakers, educators, and organizations must integrate behavioural science into sustainability frameworks to enhance engagement, policy effectiveness, and long-term environmental stewardship. Practical implications include embedding behavioural nudges in policies, balancing climate communication between urgency and optimism, leveraging community-driven initiatives, and making sustainable choices the default. By recognizing the psychological underpinnings of environmental behaviour, this study provides a blueprint for a human-centered sustainability movement that is intrinsically motivated and socially reinforced.

Implications

The findings of this study underscore the necessity of a psychologically informed approach to sustainability, challenging traditional policy-driven models and advocating for behaviourally integrated solutions. Theoretical implications highlight the need to bridge sustainability science with behavioural psychology, integrating cognitive biases, eco-anxiety, and social norms into environmental decision-making. This study advances sustainability discourse by incorporating theories such as the Theory of Planned Behaviour, Cognitive Dissonance Theory, and Ecological Systems Theory, emphasizing intrinsic motivation, social reinforcement, and behavioural nudging as essential components of environmental stewardship. Policy implications stress the importance of embedding behavioural insights into

environmental governance, leveraging social norms and community engagement, rethinking climate communication strategies, and enforcing corporate environmental responsibility to make sustainability the default choice. Practically, individuals can overcome cognitive biases and constructively manage eco-anxiety by adopting sustainable habits and engaging in community-driven initiatives. Businesses must integrate choice architecture techniques, workplace sustainability programs, and ethical marketing strategies to drive meaningful environmental action. Future research should explore longitudinal studies on behavioural change, cross-cultural variations in sustainability norms, and the role of AI-driven behavioural nudging in fostering sustainable habits. This study reinforces the urgent need for a paradigm shift, where sustainability is not merely a policy obligation but a socially ingrained norm, ensuring long-term environmental responsibility through behavioural science, emotional engagement, and systemic change.

Significance of the Study

This study is significant as it bridges behavioural science and environmental psychology, providing a deeper understanding of sustainability decision-making beyond policy and economic incentives. By integrating psychological insights, it enhances policy effectiveness by aligning sustainability initiatives with cognitive and emotional drivers, ensuring more impactful and lasting environmental actions. Additionally, it addresses key psychological barriers, such as status quo bias, present bias, and eco-fatigue, which often hinder individuals from adopting sustainable practices despite environmental awareness. The study also emphasizes the power of social norms and peer influence, investigating how cultural conditioning and community-driven interventions can drive widespread environmental action. Furthermore, it highlights the importance of sustainability education, focusing on emotional engagement, identity formation, and intrinsic motivation as crucial factors in fostering long-term behavioural change. By addressing these areas, this research aims to redefine environmental stewardship, proposing a human-centered sustainability paradigm that integrates psychological, behavioural, and social dimensions to create more effective and enduring sustainability strategies.

Limitations

This study provides valuable insights into the integration of psychology and behavioural science in sustainability efforts, but certain limitations must be acknowledged. The complexity of human behaviour in environmental decision-making extends beyond the scope of established theories such as the Theory of Planned Behaviour, Cognitive Dissonance Theory, and Prospect Theory, as factors like cultural values, socioeconomic conditions, and political influences also shape sustainability choices. Methodologically, the study relies on secondary data from existing literature, limiting its ability to provide empirical validation of psychological interventions in real-world settings. Additionally, cross-cultural generalizability is a concern, as sustainability perceptions vary widely across different economic, religious, and policy contexts. A key challenge identified is the intention-action gap, where individuals support sustainability in principle but fail to act due to cognitive biases and eco-anxiety. The study does not provide longitudinal insights into whether behavioural nudges lead to permanent change or temporary compliance. Moreover, measuring the psychological impact of eco-anxiety and distinguishing between social influence and coercion in sustainability adoption remains an area for further exploration. Policy implementation faces resistance due to economic and political constraints, making the large-scale scalability of behavioural interventions uncertain. Ethical concerns also arise regarding the fine line between behavioural nudging and manipulation, as well as the effectiveness of interventions across diverse demographic groups.

Directions for Future Research

This study lays the groundwork for integrating behavioral science into sustainability frameworks, yet key areas require further exploration. Longitudinal research is needed to determine whether behavioral nudges and habit-forming mechanisms lead to lasting environmental behavior or fade once incentives are removed. Cross-cultural studies should examine how social norms, traditions, and economic conditions shape sustainability behaviors, particularly in developing versus developed nations. Further research is required to assess eco-anxiety's impact, distinguishing between productive concern and psychological distress, and analyzing generational differences in sustainability engagement. The interaction between financial incentives and intrinsic motivation should also be explored to determine whether monetary rewards sustain or undermine long-term environmental behaviors. Additionally, ethical concerns surrounding behavioral nudging and choice architecture must be addressed to ensure sustainability interventions respect individual autonomy. The role of AI and digital platforms in influencing

sustainability behaviors remains underexplored, particularly in the use of personalized nudging techniques, carbon footprint tracking, and predictive analytics, while mitigating privacy and ethical concerns. At the institutional level, research should focus on how corporations, educational institutions, and policymakers can integrate psychological insights into sustainability strategies to enhance participation, consumer engagement, and policy effectiveness. Addressing these gaps will solidify behavioral psychology as a core pillar of sustainability science, ensuring that environmental efforts inform and transform behavior in meaningful and lasting ways.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that traditional sustainability approaches—focused on policies, regulations, and economic incentives—are insufficient to drive long-term environmental stewardship. While these mechanisms remain essential, human psychology is a critical yet underutilized factor in fostering sustainable behaviours. By integrating behavioural science, cognitive psychology, and social influence theories, this study highlights the need for a paradigm shift that prioritizes cognitive biases, emotional engagement, and social reinforcement as key drivers of sustainability. Psychological barriers, such as status quo bias, present bias, and optimism bias, prevent individuals from making sustainable choices even when they recognize environmental risks. Additionally, eco-anxiety can either motivate or deter action, depending on how sustainability messaging is framed. Social norms and peer influence have emerged as powerful drivers of environmental behaviour, often more effective than financial incentives or regulatory enforcement.

This study introduces a new sustainability paradigm, emphasizing behaviourally integrated sustainability, social and cultural normalization, and nudging strategies to make sustainable behaviour the default choice. It also underscores the importance of rethinking climate communication—moving away from fear-based narratives toward solution-focused messaging that fosters hope, empowerment, and action. Policymakers, businesses, and researchers must integrate behavioural insights into sustainability frameworks, conduct long-term studies on the effectiveness of psychological interventions, and ensure ethical implementation of behavioural nudging techniques. While this study lays the foundation for a human-centered approach to environmental stewardship, further research is needed to address cultural variations, behavioural complexity, and the long-term effectiveness of interventions. Ultimately, by embedding sustainability into social norms and intrinsic motivation, this study advances a transformative, psychologically informed model of environmental responsibility, ensuring sustainability becomes a shared societal norm rather than an external obligation.

References

1. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, *50*(2), 179-211.
2. Biermann, F. (2020). The future of sustainability governance: Challenges and opportunities. *Global Environmental Politics, 20*(1), 1-11.
3. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard university press.
4. Cooley, S. J., Jones, C. R., Kurtz, A., & Robertson, N. (2020). 'Into the Wild': A meta-synthesis of talking therapy in natural outdoor spaces. *Clinical psychology review*, *77*, 101841.
5. Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance.* Stanford University Press.
6. Girardin C. A. J., Jenkins S., Seddon N., Allen M., Lewis S. L., Wheeler C. E., Griscom B. W., Malhi Y., et al. (2021). 1359 Nature-based solutions can help cool the planet — if we act now. Nature 593:191-194
7. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263-291.
8. Ling, F. Y., Chen, Z., & Wong, L. (2020). Behavioral insights in sustainability adoption: A systematic review. *Sustainability, 12*(4), 1569.
9. Piasentin, K. A., & Roberts, L. M. (2018). Beyond policy: The psychological barriers to sustainability. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 57*(3), 38-50.
10. Thatcher, A., & Yeow, P. H. (2016). Human factors in sustainable development: The psychology of environmental stewardship. *Applied Ergonomics, 57*(1), 157-168.

❑❑❑