

FINANCIAL STRUCTURE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF INDIA

Dr. Shivani Garg*

ABSTRACT

The bank grounded theory emphasizes the positive role of banks in the development and growth of a country, while pointing out the failings of market grounded financial systems. It argues that banks can finance development more effectively than markets in developing husbandry and in the case of state- possessed banks, market failures can be overcome and allocation of savings can be accepted more strategically. The stock market grounded theory highlights the advantages of well- performing markets in promoting successful marketable performance, while exposing the excrescencies in bank grounded financial systems. Big, liquid and well- performing markets would foster growth and profit impulses, enhance commercial governance and facilitate risk management, diversification and the customization of risk management bias. There's an arising trend to remodel market conflicts, financial arrangements, impulses and constraints problems facing in the marketable growth. The financial system may impact saving rates, investment opinions, technological invention and structure in the long- run growth rates. The main thrust of reforms in the financial sector was on the creation of effective and stable financial institutions and markets. The reforms of the banking as well as nonbanking financial institutions are concentrated on creating a deregulated terrain and enabling free play of market forces, while at the same time, strengthening the prudential morals and the administrative system. The present study focuses on the identification of relationship between financial structure and marketable development. The financial structure should be dependable with both the bank grounded and market grounded financial fabrics. The financial structure is more significant than the type of their vehicle. The study outgrowth could help policy makers, to frame suitable programs on a long run base and help in nurturing a healthy economy, performing in the bank and stock market development of the nation.

KEYWORDS: Development, Financial, Governance, Economies, Performance, Technological, Incentive.

Introduction

Relationship between the financial structure and marketable development is a decade old debate around the world. The financial system may impact saving rates, investment opinions, technological invention, and hence long run growth rates. The financial system interacts with real marketable exertion, through its various functions, by which it facilitates marketable exchange. After globalization Labor force with chops, productivity and education entrepreneurship or technology and invention also role of the marketable development. The main thrust of reforms in the financial sector is on the creation of effective and stable financial institutions and markets. Reforms in banking as well as non banking financial institutions are grounded on creating a deregulated terrain and an enabling free play of market forces while at the same time, strengthening the prudential morals and the administrative system. The study proposes to examine the relationship between bank, stock market development and marketable growth by taking into account the structure and invention factors, and by using cross country and time series analysis. Due to the banks and stock markets channeling the financial resources from savors to investors, the positive effect as well as the adding liquidity of firm investment, would give effective allocation of resources in the process stimulate marketable growth. The study concluded that policy makers may apply in the deepening of financial markets, including institutional and legal measures

* Assistant Professor in UBS Department, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab Technical University, Bathinda, Punjab, India.

to strengthen creditor and investor rights and contract enforcement. Technological invention is considered as a major force in marketable growth and the study focuses on some of the most distinctive features of invention, in the largely industrialized husbandry. Thus, the healthy financial system would enhance the marketable growth.

Measurement and Evolution of Financial Systems

The absence of cross country data, on the structure of financial systems, has hampered research on the determinants and implications of different financial structures. While Goldsmith proved how the structure of financial systems changes as countries development, he examined only thirty five countries and his data stopped at 1963. There are difficulties in assembling similar data on banks, insurance companies, private pension finances, and collective finances and securities markets across a broad cross section of countries. Specifically, countries with a common law tradition, as distinct from a civil law tradition, with strong protection of nonage shareholder rights, good account systems, low situations of corruption, and no unequivocal deposit insurance, tend to have further market acquainted financial systems. This is harmonious with theory's emphasizing that advanced information costs and weaker legal canons, regarding individual investor rights, will tend to favor banks over atomistic markets. Besides examining financial structure, Demircuc- Kunt and Levine also examine the overall level of financial development. They find that underdeveloped financial systems have a lesser tendency to have a French civil law tradition, poor protection of nonage shareholder rights and creditor rights, poor contract enforcement in general, advanced situations of corruption, poor account norms, marketable banking regulations that heavily circumscribe the conditioning of banks, and high affectation rates.

Financial Development, Structure and Growth

The relationship between financial structure and growth also provides fresh substantiation on the connection between overall financial development and marketable growth. A variety of theoris specify the conditions under which bank grounded systems will do a better job of canalizing capital to its most productive ends than further market grounded systems. In roleicular, banks may be particularly effective in underdeveloped countries, with inadequately performing legal and counting systems. Important banks can more effectively induce enterprises to reveal information and pay debts than atomistic markets because they calculate on effective legal and counting systems. likewise, banks may be more effective in furnishing external resources to new enterprises, that bear offered backing because banks can more credibly commit themselves to making fresh backing available as the design development while markets have a more delicate time making believable, long term commitments. Alternately, some theoris punctuate the conditions under which market- grounded systems are effective at allocating society's savings. Important banks constantly hinder invention and competition. Banks may prize information rents from enterprises and thereby reduce the impulses of enterprises to take over marketable systems. By encouraging competition, market grounded systems produce lesser impulses for R and D grounded growth. Likewise, important bankers may machinate with directors against other outside investors and thereby baffle competition, effective resource allocation, and growth.

Financial Regulatory System in India

There are numerous financial institutions in India and also numerous controllers for regulating them, in order to assure the proper functioning of the financial structure in India.

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) is one of the financial controllers in India and it regulates everything related to money. It regulates all the marketable banks in India like public sector banks, private sector banks, RRBs, collaborative banks and all types of non banking financial companies. It formulates financial policy and control the affectation in the country, with that help of financial policy.

The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) is another financial controller in India. It principally regulates the security market in the Indian Territory. Any company, which wants to be a role of the security market or wants to invest in the security market, has to follow the guidelines laid down by SEBI.

The Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA) is a public agency of the Government of India, which is a financial controller of all private sector and public sector insurance companies in India.

The Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority (PFRDA) is a pension related authority and handles all the matters related to this sector. Its main function is to promote income security for elderly citizens by regulating and also developing pension finances.

The Forward markets Commission advises the Central government on matters governed by the Forwards Contract Act, 1952. It's the principal controller of the commodity and future markets in India.

Factors of Financial Structure and their Role in Economic Development of India

- **Broad Money:** Broad money is the most adaptable strategy for estimating an economy's cash inflexibility, representing money and different resources effectively changed over into cash. The form for figuring cash inflexibility fluctuates from nation to nation and hence the term extensive cash is used to shirk confusion. Public banks will, in general, watch broad money development, to help gauge expansion. Since money can be traded for some kind of popular instruments, it's anything but a straightforward assignment for financial specialists, to characterize how important cash is circling in the economy.
- **Domestic Credit to Private Sector:** Domestic credit to private area indicates money related means gives to the private area, by financial enterprises, like through advances, accession of non-equity protections, and exchange credits and different sets of receivables that set a case payment. For certain nations, these cases incorporate credit into public undertakings. Cases of other financial hookups are account and renting associations, cash moneylenders, protection enterprises, subvention reserves, and strange trade associations.
- **Market Capitalization:** The stock market capitalization- to- GDP proportion is used to decide if a market is undervalued or exaggerated, varied with a empirical normal. The proportion can be employed to zero in on unequivocal market or it veritably well may be applied to the worldwide market, contingent upon what estimations are employed in the figuring. It's determined by segregating the financial exchange cap by GDP. The stock market capitalization- to- GDP proportion is else called the Buffett Indicator after tipster Warren Buffett, who promoted its application.
- **Foreign Direct Investment:** FDIs are effectively used in open rather than unrestricted business sectors by bookmakers. Foreign Direct Investments are generally made in open husbandry that offer a talented labor force or further normal development possibilities, for the financial specialist, rather than nearly managed husbandry. Foreign direct enterprise habitually includes commodity beyond a capital adventure. The vital element of foreign direct enterprise is that it builds up either compelling control of or considerable impact over the dynamic of a foreign business.
- **Operating Income:** Operating income is an account figure, which measures the quantum of profit realized from a business's managements, after abating operating charges similar as stipend, depreciation, and cost of goods sold (COGS). Operating income, also called income from managements, takes a company's gross income, which is original to total profit minus COGS, and subtracts all operating charges. A business's operating charges are costs incurred from normal operating conditioning and include particulars similar as office inventories and serviceability. Operating income reports the quantum of profit realized from a business's ongoing managements.
- **Foreign Aid:** Foreign aid is any type of backing that one country freely transfers to another, which can take the form of a gift, entitlement or loan. Countries may give aid through capital, food, inventories, and services similar as philanthropic aid and military backing. Developed nations may help developing nations with foreign aid after a natural disaster, times of conflict, or during a marketable extremity. Governments may make agreements with the countries to which they give backing. For case, a advanced nation may agree to give subventions to those in need after a natural disaster or during times of conflict, or a government may agree to issue loans to an confederated nation, that experience marketable uncertainty, with special prepayment vittles.
- **Investment in Public Services:** Public investment has arisen historically from the need to give certain goods, structure, or services that are supposed to be of vital public interest. Public investment has tended to increase as a consequence of industrialization and corresponding demands for new structure to facilitate the growth of civic communities. At the turn of the 21st century, the privatization of state diligence and the coexisting deregulation of markets led to the growth of public spending on goods and services handed by the private and not- for- profit sectors, basically through the development of various public-private hookups.

- **Political Stability:** Economic growth and political stability are deeply connected. On the one hand, the uncertainty associated with an unstable political terrain may reduce investment and, in the process, the pace of marketable development. On the other hand, poor marketable performance may lead to government collapse and political uneasiness. Still, political stability can be achieved through oppression or through having a political party in place that doesn't have to contend to be re-elected.
- **Level of Structure:** structure has a two-way relationship with marketable growth. One, structure promotes marketable growth, and two marketable growths bring about changes in structure. Structure provides the key to ultramodern technology in virtually all sectors. Structure is the introductory demand for marketable development. It doesn't directly produce goods and services but facilitates product in primary, secondary and tertiary marketable conditioning, by creating external husbandry. It's an admitted fact that the level of marketable development in any country directly depends on the development of structure.
- **Capital Formulation:** Capital conformation increases investment, which facilitates marketable development in two ways. Originally, it increases the per capita income and enhances the purchasing power which, in turn, creates more effective demand. Secondly, investment leads to an increase in product. In this way, by capital conformation, marketable conditioning can be expanded in under developed countries, and helps them to get relieve of poverty and attain marketable development in the economy.
- **Marketable Surplus:** The expression "marketable surplus" captures the conception of unsold product that still holds some value to the company. "Marketable" in this case means that the goods are in fact fit to be sold on the market – undamaged and unopened, still potentially suitable to be sold. "Surplus" can mean a couple effects, but substantially focuses on unsold goods produced over and beyond what a company plans to vend on the market. This can affect from overproduction, unsold goods accumulating or from a combination of other factors.

Correlation of Financial Structure and Performance on India

The country studies echo the cross-country, assiduity level, and firm level findings. Overall financial development is veritably important for marketable success but financial structure as similar isn't a identifying specific of success. While studying financial structure, each of the country studies naturally focuses on the curricular issues facing the country under consideration. In a study "Financial Structure in India," disquisition has been made for the development of India's financial system over the last two decades. They used firm level data and panel econometric ways, to assess a number of hypotheses. They show that Indian enterprises have come less cash constrained, in their investment opinions, with the substantial enhancement in India's financial system. Therefore, overall financial development in India has eased cash-inflow constraints and thereby eased a more effective allocation of capital. Further, they reveal that the rapid-fire development of the banking system convinced an increased reliance on debt. This passed indeed while capital market development lowered the cost of enterprises, raising capital by issuing equity. Therefore, bank and capital market development bettered firm access to capital, and increase in firm leverage ratios passed. Eventually, Malhotra and Raymond emphasize the internationalization of India's financial system. Access to transnational capital markets appreciatively told debt equity rates. Specifically, the capability of Indian enterprises to issue American Depository Bills transferred a positive signal of future performance that eased borrowing constraints. An effective financial structure will allocate savings to productive users of finances at the least cost. It should offer a large range of financial instruments and institutions to help investors balance risk, liquidity and return. It should also feed to a wide range of borrowers, from the well-established to those with high-risk new gambles. The community should be suitable to trust the integrity and soundness of the system, and is possible when everything is guaranteed by the Government. It should allow institutions to introduce, by employing new technology and offering new products. It should be open to competition.

Conclusion

The research was substantially concentrated on bank and market grounded primeval and related variables with reference to developed and developing countries. The study emphasized the time series and panel analysis element of growth. The time series results suggested that, bank and stock market variables inversely contribute to marketable development in long and short run and also vice versa, still structure and invention along with GDP per capita has not contributed to marketable growth,

while panel analysis suggested that, developed countries financial structure aren't related to marketable growth in the long and short run during the study period, for developing countries financial structure contributed to marketable growth in the short run during the study period. Innovation supported to marketable growth of developed countries in the long run at the same time structure helped to marketable growth of developing countries laterally through financial structure. In addition, the FDI corroborated developing countries financial structure and marketable development. Hence, this study suggested that, the government should play a further progressive role in order to foster bank and stock markets. Indeed however, having honored the significance of financial structure for marketable growth numerous developed and developing countries have increased their sweats towards perfecting their financial systems to stimulate marketable growth, those countries substantially concentrated on banking systems reforms with interest rate controls and reduce domestic credit to private sector. On the other side encouraging general public towards investments in stock would promote the stock market development. The Government should take over serious reforms, for the growth of economy and stock market development. The Government may use this study, as a companion, to reform financial structure. Policy makers and Government should concentrate on changes in macroeconomic variables and also consider other factors similar as investor's geste, marketable affairs, transnational market exertion and unlooked-for events, for better understanding of bank and stock market condition.

References

1. Basu (Banerjee), Rilina (2013) "Financial Structure and Macro economy Theory and Empirics", Department of Economics, Jadavpur University, India.
2. Chakraborty (2007), "Does Financial Development Cause Economic Growth? The Case of India", Occasional Paper No. 9, Institute of Development Studies, Kolkata. South Asia Economic Journal, Vol. 9:1, pp. 109–139.
3. Deb S. G. and Mukherjee J. (2008), "Does Stock Market Development Cause Economic Growth? A Time Series Analysis for Indian Economy", International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, Issue 21, pp. 142- 149.
4. Jagadish Prasad Bist (2018), "Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence From A Panel of 16 African and Non-African Low-Income Countries", Cogent Economics and Finance, Vol. 6:1, pp. 1-34.
5. Jayakar Krishna and Park Eun-A. (2013) "Broadband and Unemployment: Analysis of Cross-Sectional Data for U.S. Counties" TPRC 41: The 41st Research Conference on Communication, Information and Internet Policy.
6. Komal R., and Abbas, F. (2015), "Linking Financial Development, Economic Growth and Energy Consumption in Pakistan". Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol. 44, pp. 211–220.
7. Padhan P. C. (2007), "The Nexus between Stock Market and Economic Activity: An Empirical Analysis for India" International Journal of Social Economics, Vol. 34, No. 10, pp. 741-753.
8. Paramati S.R. and Gupta R. (2011), "An Empirical Analysis of Stock Market Performance and Economic Growth: Evidence from India", International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, Issue 73, pp. 133-149.
9. Madhu Sehrawat (2015), "Financial Development, Inflation and Economic Growth in India: Issues and Evidences", Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani, India.
10. Narayan Paresh Kumar and Narayan Seema, (2013), "The Short Run Relationship between the Financial System and Economic Growth: New Evidence from Regional Panels," International Review of Financial Analysis, Elsevier, Vol. 29, pp. 70-78,
11. Raghuram Rajan and Luigi Zingales (1998), "Financial Dependence and Growth", American Economic Review, Vol. 88, Issue 3, pp. 559-86
12. Rati Ram (1999), Financial Development and Economic Growth: Additional Evidence, The Journal of Development Studies, Vol 35:4, pp. 164-174,
13. Singh A. (1997), "Financial liberalization, Stock Markets and Economic Development", The Economic Journal, Vol.107, No.442, pp. 771-782.

