WORLD WAR THIRD POSSIBILITIES AND CHALLENGES

Ankit Bhargav*

ABSTRACT

All through Moscow's ongoing major naval campaign in opposition to Ukraine, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov warned of the "nuclear and disastrous" consequences of the World War III on Wednesday. Read the point to decide whether there can be a third world war and who will quide whom. According to the state-owned TASS. Russia's long-term summit diplomat spoke of the occasion for a third international conflict that it could be disastrous. As US President Joe Biden said. World War III could be a better opportunity for Washington's tougher sanctions against Moscow. Asked if he was surprised that sanctions were being imposed against athletes and journalists, Lavrov said Russia was ready for any sanctions it had imposed against it. He remarked, relating to the sanctions and sanctions imposed through Western countries, that athletes, intellectuals, actors and journalists would be the targets of the sanctions. He claimed that "dictators" like Vladimir Putin should "pay the price" for attacking us during their inaugural State of the Union Tuesday night. Russia has been banned from the use of US airspace after invading through the United States. According to the Qatar-based fully-based information channel, Lavrov said that the unique operation against Ukraine is designed to protect you from the purchase of nuclear weapons. According to the channel, Russia can no longer allow Ukraine to acquire nuclear guns. It quoted him as saying that the navy's operation in Ukraine is geared towards disarming country. Despite Ukraine's duties under the Budapest Memorandum, in which it was stipulated that nuclear guns be abandoned in the change to safety guarantees, President Vladimir Zelensky has no longer discounted Kyiv's opportunity to revise his duties? These all facts are perhaps the indicators of next world war. This might be a serious issue if not controlled right away. This research study is highlighting the possibilities of having next world war and its possible implications.

Keywords: Operations, Dictators, World War, Offensive, Conflict, Union, Humanity, Intellectuals.

Introduction

On the day Moscow suffered a symbolic defeat with the sinking of its Black Sea Fleet flagship, Moscow, a distinguished Russian nation TV presenter, said: "One can adequately name what has escalated into The Third World War. It certainly is. In a sour dietribe reputedly designed to overcome Russia's gradual development in Ukraine, Rossiya 1 big name and family campaigner Olga Skabayeva turned the United States of America into a "stop towards NATO infrastructure" to "recognize" its visitors, if not now nato itself". Moscow's lack – claimed to have been hit through Neptune missiles through Ukraine – dealt a resounding blow to the Kremlin's invasion as it prepares for a new attack within the Jap Donbas region, which was averted because of the second defined for the final outcomes of the conflict. Humanity has evolved more or less for almost 1,000,000 years and is usually crying out for peace on Earth — the pacem in Terris, the vintage Latin phrase. But obviously, there cannot be peace within the world; But without peace, we cannot flower completely. To see the great intensity and splendor of life, to see the vastness of all living things, to see the vastness of all living things, peace is needed, and that peace is deprived of anywhere, there can be poverty. Even in affluent countries, there can be a remarkable deal of poverty. No nationalist authority can ever solve poverty because it is by now an

^{*} Scholar, MMPS, Paota, Rajasthan, India.

international problem, one for the whole world, no longer any specific authority, whether known as totalitarian, communist, or so-called democratic. The consequences of poverty are the decline, its complete slavery, cruelty. And additionally there can also be poverty of the mind, which is not always solved through books, institutions, organizations or forums –that poverty ends while one is familiar with the complete lifestyles of oneself and one's courting to the sector at large.

World War 3: Who will Support Whom?

My understanding of the subject is incredibly limited, although I will supply it my extraordinary shot. World War Three can no longer be fought with the aid of using both of country on earth. In truth, a large range of maximum South American, African and Oceanic countries and Asian countries will not compete with every second. This turned into the easiest due to the fact that the colonial powers dominated so many nations that WW2 alarmed many countries. I'm no longer surely positive about what the motive of The Third World War is, though I'm going to expect that the financial and military popularity of all of country is as much as the miles right now. If the war begins in Asia (around Taiwan, the South China Sea, or South Korea) or the Middle East (around Israel, Iraq or Iran), it will likely be in Asia or the Middle East.

Team USA

- The U.S.A.: The European Union. Because of their NATO obligations, they were a democratically elected country in opposition to the U.S. with the side may be bound. The number 4 of the strength of the army is the number one reassessment.
- France
- The U.K.
- Italy
- Germany
- **Israel**: We, too, will have as much sides with the U.S. as their enemies can have on the alternative aspect of the war. They are their most powerful best friends in the U.S. Get the most in your guns.
- Canada: A democratic country located near the U.S. On par with Europe.
- **Taiwan:** The fight may also start due to their invasion, so they can definitely be with countries that understand them.
- **Japan:** In view of the Second World War, Japan has been considered as our best friend and China as our enemy. Japan may have aspects with the US
- **South Korea:** Right next to China and adjacent to North Korea. Like taiwanese, by the time the war begins, they are in the U.S. will help.
- Saudi Arabia: It's a democracy, but they will fight Iran. Friends are enemies of enemies.

Team Russia/China

- Russia
- China
- Iran: The USA and Israel consider them enemies, and the Russians back them. This site will no doubt ally with them.
- North Korea: This country is allied with China and Russia, and they want to take over the southern half of the country.

There might be a few I've missed, but I think these are the most prominent players. Here are my thoughts on what would happen in such a conflict.

The Cold War or World War 3?

Two things can be considered to make this situation graphical.

- There are no longer nuclear guns in the state of affairs.
- The team Russia/China is the aggressor.

Iran may even try to attack Israel. If it had happened, it would have been disastrous. With a skillfully coordinated strike between Israeli, Saudi Arabia and US military forces, the Iranian army may be crippled and forced to surrender. Already, the American war system is churning out. Us Navy forces have deployed with full force and stormed from the Pacific Ocean towards Japan. Large-floor troops are crossing

the Atlantic as a part of American reinforcements in Europe. The tides are turning as now the floor soldiers are coming. The U.S. military dwarfed the Chinese so that the Chinese army could be driven out again. Taiwan, the Philippines and South Korea could have been liberated over time. If China refuses to surrender, the US can also send troops. Nevertheless, in Eastern Europe the U.S. And the European army could have pushed the Russian army back to Moscow at that time. So far, 4 effects are possible.

- Team USA certainly invaded Russia and China, destroying their governments and replacing them with "democratic" peoples. However, I cannot assure that those governments will work well
- When sponsored in a corner, Russia and China drop their nuclear guns and can't win.
- It all seems impossible. I simplified the solution to stay away from similar complications. Now it probably won't be what I had anticipated if the Third World War were to take place.
- If war breaks out given that no one wants to see a fight between the most important powers, in the same way doing a small amount of war is also possibly to provide peace. The Middle East will probably see fewer proxy wars.

Wrong Predictions OF World War III

The earliest meaning prediction of World War Three was preceded by the primary with the aid of using several hundred years. The sixteenth-century astrologer, health practitioner and eminent seer Nostradamus declared that his book, Les Prophecies – a set of 942 poetic journeys – claims to have predicted the opportunities for fortune and hopes that WIIWI should erupt "in the many years that followed." His supporters also claim that the French anticipated the wars of each region within the twentieth century.

- 1950: The American ballot shows that 1/2 of Americans recall that the Third World War had begun: Amid the growing tensions between the US and the Soviet Union, the Gallup ballot in December 1950 found that more than 1/2 of the Americans were taken into account of World War III having already begun. From the 1948–53 period came dangerous surveys for the period of the peak of the Cold War, and in 1949, 12 months after the Soviet Union detonated its first nuclear weapon, abandoned the U.S. monopoly on the atomic bomb.
- 2016: Trump predicts that if He listens to Hillary Clinton, the US will rise to World War III on Syria: Just days before the victory of the 2016 US presidential election, Donald Trump warned: "You're going to grow in the world at war on Syria III if we pay attention to Hillary Clinton." Ms Clinton's notion of imposing a no-fly quarter to save her distressed citizens was turned into criticism with the help of using Mr Trump as risking a war of words with "nuclear" Russia. You're not fighting Syria anymore, you're fighting Syria, Russia and Iran, okay?" Trump explained. "Russia is a nuclear ours, but a country in which the atoms paint instead of other countries that talk."
- 2017: Elon Musk claims Al must call off a subsequent international war: Following Russian President Vladimir Putin's comments on the fate of synthetic intelligence, Elon Musk warned that Al could be humanity's best existential threat, this time with the aid of using a third international start to war. This was in line with a statement by Mr Putin in which he claimed that "synthetic intelligence is destiny, no longer the easiest for Russia, but for all mankind." It comes with big opportunities, but there are additional risks that can be hard to expect. Whoever becomes the head in this area becomes the ruler of the region. Musk continued: "China, Russia, soon all countries will have strong laptop science."
- 2019: Trump showed that Obama's management of North Korea could lead to a 'Third World War': yes, them again. During a period of heightened anxiety between North Korea and the United States, then President Donald Trump spoke of his friendship with his best head and claimed that Barack Obama could have led the US in an international battle, yet he had remained in office. "I'm probably the easiest person who has this kind of dating internationally. They name it the Hermit Kingdom. I know a lot about his Hermit Kingdom," Mr. Trump announced for the duration of the NATO summit in London. "But I have a really great dating. If you had listened to President Obama, we would be in World War III right now. Speaking at a meeting with the Secretary-Standard of the Army Alliance, Jens Stoltenberg, Trump assisted in using the East Asian country to endorse the about missile tests and re-invented his previous surname for Kimjong-Un.

 2022: Former Ukrainian PM claims world war 3 has already begun: About 3 weeks after Russia's invasion of its neighbor, Ukraine's former high minister informed The Independent that a third international war "has already begun" and international venues need to impose a full ban on Russia and imposed no-fly quarters. Speaking from his native place of Vinnytsia, the important Ukraine, Valodymyr Groysman also claimed that it turned into the "easiest defense" for Europe against russia's developed west.

There can be no Peace if there is Division as Nationality

There are political divisions, ideological divisions, religious divisions, country-wide divisions and divisions between man and man. There are divisions because Hindus, Buddhists, Christians and Muslims, with all their sub-divisions. Wherever there is a department, there has to be a struggle. Wherever there are nationalities, the American, the Russians, the Chinese, there must inevitably be many kinds of financial, social, naval, and political conflicts. Maybe more and more people are aware of it, but it seems that we are not able to do anything. See that where there is any kind of department, there must be a struggle. These divisions exist; They are real, no longer theoretical. And those divisions have almost started wars. Obviously, no one is interested in preventing wars. No one is involved in exploring the causes of war and whether those causes can be completely eliminated or not. Neither politicians nor religious hierarchies are interested in ending the fight. They can additionally communicate about peace without end, but you can't find peace if there is a department as nationality. No one is involved in exploring the causes of war and whether those causes can be completely eliminated or not. Neither politicians nor religious hierarchies are interested in ending the fight. They can additionally communicate about peace without end, but you can't find peace if there is a department as nationality.

Effects of Economic War

Positive Effect

- To live in economic warfare, the elevated finance of naval costs can generate some spectacular financial impact through "the advent of employment and further financial booms in addition to contributing to technological development". Over the past decades, for example, an increase within the U.S. Navy's finances changed with underutilization aid due to a somewhat withdrawal from Iraq and Afghanistan, reflecting the immediate relationship of war and financial data.
- Ensures that there is a way to fight and win such a scenario when such a scenario arises in the future.

Negative Effect

- Tariffs boost costs and decrease the availability of products and offerings of enterprise and buyers, which culminate in reduction in income, reduction in employment and reduction in financial production.
- Due to the financial battle, the tariff will increase the cost of imported goods. For this reason, domestic manufacturers are not able to reduce the cost of their products by elevated opposition and as a result domestic buyers give up paying better costs of the products.
- Somewhere, the financial battle badly affects economic growth and development.
- Economic fighting facilitates pushing the security war upwards between above or more countries.
- Economic conflict may lead to a revision in inflation, decrease, uncertainty, increase in debt and disruption of general financial activity.

Conclusion

Humanity has probably advanced for more or very little 1,000,000 years and is constantly crying out for peace on Earth – Pacem in Terris, the vintage Latin phrase. But obviously, there is no peace within the world; But without peace, we can't flower. To see the glorious intensity and splendor of life, to see the vastness of all living things, there must be peace, and wherever there is poverty, there is a denial of peace. Even in affluent countries, there is a great deal of poverty. No nationalist authority can ever solve poverty because it is a miles-wide problem, one for the whole world, no longer a specific authority, whether known as authoritarian, communist, or democratic. The consequences of poverty are the decline, its complete slavery, cruelty. And additionally there is poverty of the mind, which is not solved with the aid of the use of books, establishments, organizations, or forums – that poverty is eliminated,

while the individual knows the whole life of the self and comes to the arena at large. Religions have no longer recommended or introduced peace within the world. They communicate a glorious deal communicating peace within the Christian world - though religions have divided humanity. Just in this small town, I don't know how many religious groups there are, dozens of them, institutions and institutions, trying to tell every human being what to do. Religions have avoided peace and characterized the beginning of wars: the Hundred Years' War in Europe; The torture and all cruelty of a subculture is mainly based solely on religious concepts, dogmas and beliefs. And during the arena religions have avoided proper courtship among human beings. There was a struggle of five thousand years and we are still getting along with it, beating each other up - initially with golf equipment and now we are able to evaporate millions. We are no longer psychologically, internally developed, and as long as we are psychologically primitive, our society can be similarly primitive. Can there be peace on this earth? It's a very, very extreme question. At the same time, is it possible to live in peace in ourselves without conflict, or do we always condemn to be in conflict, to live in conflict? Is there a way to get out of all this? Certainly no longer through religions, nor through political organization, whether democratic, totalitarian or communist; Nor through the Department of Nationality. Let's identify whether it is possible to give up what is, not to alternate now what we really want. We will raise the question of violence. And if you make decisions about the clutter, each one is the same; It doesn't depend on what you take. We have inherited violence from time immemorial, from animals, from apes. We have inherited it. It is true that we are violent human beings otherwise we don't kill or hurt anyone, we don't say a word against anyone. But we are helping to make violent use of nature. Now, what is the meaning of that phrase? Catch that idiom violence, experience its burden, her headache. Not just physical violence: Terrorists who hurled bombs in search of an alternative society are no longer changing society. According to my point of view, if these type of economics crises going on for a long period between two or more countries then, we can say that World War Third will take birth.

References

- Altieri, Charles. Enlarging the Temple: New Directions in American Poetry during the 1960s, Lewisburg, Bucknell UP, 1979
- Bogacz , Ted. " A Tyranny of Words: Language Poetry and Antimodernism in England in The First World War, The Journal of Modern History. 58 (Sept. 1986) 643-668
- Caesar, Adrian. Talking it Like a Man: Sufferings, Sexuality, and the War Poets, New York, Manchester UP, 1993
- 4. Fussel, Paul. The Great War and the Modern Memory, New York, Oxford UP, 1975
- 5. Gladden, E. Norman, Ypres, 1917: A Personal Account, London, Kimher, 1967
- Housman, A.E. The Collected Poems of A. E. Housman, New York, Holt Rinhert and Winston, 1965
- 7. Libby, Anthony. Mythologies of Nothing. Urbana University of IUinois, 1984
- 8. Miller, J. Hills. Poets of Reality: Six Twentieth Century Writers, Cambridge, Harvard UP, 1965 41.
- 9. Owen, Wilfred. Collected Letters, eds. Harold Owen and John Bell, London, Oxford UP, 1983
- Tomlinson, Alan. Strange Meeting in a Strange Land. Wilfred Owen and Shelly, Studies in Romanticism, 1993, Spring
- 11. Najarian James. Greater Love: Wilfred Owen, Keats and a Tradition of Desire, a Scholarly Journal, Spring, 2001
- 12. Leavis, F.R., New Bearings in English Poetry, London, Chatto and Windus, 1932
- 13. Johnston, John H. English Poetry of the First World War: A Study in the Evolution of Lyric and Narrative Form, Princeton, NJ, Princeton UP, 1964.

