

International Peace, National Security and Strategic Interests: An Indian Foreign Policy Perspective

Arjun Singh Bhati*

Business Head – Home Health Care, KD Hospital, Ahmedabad, India.

*Corresponding Author: arjunbhati@hotmail.com

DOI: 10.62823/IJEMMASSS/8.1(III).9419

Abstract

International peace remains a central aspiration of the contemporary international system; however, it is increasingly challenged by great power competition, regional conflicts, terrorism, cyber threats, and the erosion of multilateral institutions. For nation-states, the pursuit of peace is inseparably linked with national security imperatives and the protection of long-term strategic interests. This paper examines the interrelationship between international peace, national security, and strategic interests with a focused analysis of India's foreign policy approach. Using a qualitative and analytical framework, the study explores how India balances normative commitments to peace with pragmatic security considerations through diplomacy, multilateral engagement, military preparedness, and strategic partnerships. The paper situates India's approach within the evolving global order, highlighting its emphasis on strategic autonomy, responsible global engagement, and credible deterrence. It argues that India's foreign policy reflects a realist-pragmatic orientation, wherein peace is pursued not merely as an idealistic objective but as a condition sustained through security capacity, institutional reform, and proactive international cooperation. The study concludes by assessing India's potential role as a stabilizing power in an increasingly uncertain global environment.

Keywords: *International Peace, National Security, Strategic Interests, Indian Foreign Policy, Global Order.*

Introduction

International peace has long been regarded as a foundational objective of the global order, forming the normative basis of international relations since the end of the Second World War. Institutions such as the United Nations were created with the explicit aim of preventing large-scale conflict and promoting collective security, cooperation, and respect for sovereignty. However, the contemporary international system is increasingly characterized by instability, strategic rivalry, and the resurgence of power politics. In this evolving environment, the concept of peace can no longer be understood merely as the absence of war; rather, it must be examined in relation to national security imperatives and the pursuit of strategic interests by states.

The post-Cold War optimism surrounding liberal internationalism and cooperative security has given way to a more fragmented and contested global order. Great power competition, regional conflicts, terrorism, cyber warfare, and non-traditional security threats such as pandemics and climate change have complicated traditional understandings of peace and security. As a result, states increasingly view international peace through a realist lens, recognizing that stability at the global level is closely linked to their own security capacities and strategic positioning. In this context, peace is not an automatic outcome of international cooperation but a condition that must be actively maintained through deterrence, diplomacy, and institutional engagement.

*Copyright © 2026 by Author's and Licensed by Inspira. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work properly cited.

*A Special Issue for the papers accepted for publication in the *International Multidisciplinary Conference on India's Foreign Policy and International Relations: A Future Roadmap (IFPIR-2026) (Hybrid Mode) (22-24 January 2026)* Organized by Geo-Politics & Defence Study Research Cell, Faculty of Arts, Education and Social Sciences, Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

National security, therefore, occupies a central place in contemporary foreign policy thinking. It extends beyond military defense to encompass political stability, economic resilience, technological capability, and societal cohesion. The interconnected nature of global threats has further blurred the boundaries between internal and external security, compelling states to adopt comprehensive and integrated security strategies. Consequently, the pursuit of international peace is inseparable from national efforts to safeguard sovereignty, territorial integrity, and long-term strategic interests.

India's foreign policy approach offers a particularly instructive case for examining this relationship between peace, security, and strategy. As a rising power with global aspirations, India occupies a unique position in the international system. Its foreign policy is shaped by a strong normative commitment to peaceful coexistence, multilateralism, and respect for international law, rooted in its civilizational ethos and constitutional values. At the same time, India faces a complex security environment characterized by contested borders, regional instability, cross-border terrorism, and emerging non-traditional threats. These challenges necessitate a pragmatic and vigilant approach to national security.

India's strategic outlook has evolved significantly in response to changes in the global and regional order. While maintaining its long-standing emphasis on strategic autonomy, India has expanded its engagement with major powers and regional groupings to safeguard its interests and contribute to global stability. Its participation in multilateral institutions, peacekeeping operations, and regional security dialogues reflects an effort to align normative commitments with practical security considerations. This dual approach underscores India's recognition that international peace cannot be sustained in isolation from national security preparedness and strategic engagement.

This paper seeks to analyze the interrelationship between international peace, national security, and strategic interests through the lens of Indian foreign policy. It addresses three central questions: How should international peace be conceptualized in an era of strategic competition and global uncertainty? How does India reconcile its normative commitment to peace with the imperatives of national security? And what role can India play in shaping a more stable and equitable global order while safeguarding its strategic interests? By addressing these questions, the study aims to contribute to broader debates on peace, security, and strategy in contemporary international relations.

Conceptual Framework: International Peace, National Security and Strategic Interests

Understanding the relationship between international peace, national security, and strategic interests requires a clear conceptual framework. These three concepts, while analytically distinct, are deeply interconnected in both theory and practice. In contemporary international relations, peace is rarely pursued in isolation from security considerations, and national security strategies are increasingly shaped by long-term strategic interests. Examining these concepts together allows for a more comprehensive understanding of state behavior in a complex and contested global order.

- **International Peace: Beyond the Absence of War**

Traditionally, international peace was defined in negative terms, primarily as the absence of armed conflict between states. This understanding dominated classical realist thinking, where peace was often viewed as a temporary condition maintained through balance of power or deterrence. Over time, however, scholarly debates expanded the concept to include positive dimensions such as political stability, economic cooperation, respect for sovereignty, human security, and adherence to international law.

In the contemporary era, international peace is increasingly associated with the effective functioning of multilateral institutions and norms. Collective security arrangements, confidence-building measures, and diplomatic mechanisms are intended to prevent conflict escalation and manage disputes peacefully. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms is often constrained by power asymmetries and competing national interests. As great power rivalry intensifies, the pursuit of peace becomes more fragile, highlighting the limits of normative frameworks in the absence of enforceable security guarantees.

- **National Security: A Comprehensive and Evolving Concept**

National security has evolved significantly from its traditional military-centric interpretation. While territorial defense and military preparedness remain core components, modern national security encompasses political stability, economic resilience, technological capacity, energy security, and societal cohesion. The rise of non-traditional security threats—such as terrorism, cyber warfare, pandemics, climate change, and supply chain disruptions—has further broadened the scope of security planning.

This expanded understanding reflects the interconnected nature of global threats. Economic vulnerabilities can translate into strategic weaknesses, technological dependence can undermine sovereignty, and internal instability can have external security implications. As a result, states increasingly adopt comprehensive security strategies that integrate military, economic, diplomatic, and institutional instruments. In this context, national security serves as both a defensive mechanism and a prerequisite for meaningful engagement in international peace initiatives.

- **Strategic Interests: Long-Term National Priorities**

Strategic interests refer to the enduring priorities that guide a state's foreign and security policy decisions. Unlike immediate tactical concerns, strategic interests are long-term in nature and encompass territorial integrity, political independence, economic growth, access to resources, regional influence, and global standing. These interests shape how states perceive threats, opportunities, and the costs of cooperation or conflict.

For most states, strategic interests act as the lens through which international peace and security initiatives are evaluated. Cooperation is pursued when it aligns with national interests, while resistance emerges when such initiatives are perceived to constrain autonomy or undermine core priorities. This realist logic does not necessarily negate the value of peace; rather, it situates peace within a broader strategic calculus.

- **Interrelationship Between Peace, Security and Strategy**

The interaction between international peace, national security, and strategic interests reveals an inherent tension. While peace is a collective good, security and strategic interests are primarily national in orientation. States must therefore balance their commitment to global stability with the need to safeguard their own interests. In practice, this balance often results in a pragmatic approach, where peace is pursued through a combination of cooperation, deterrence, and institutional engagement.

For India, this interrelationship is particularly significant. India's foreign policy tradition emphasizes peaceful coexistence and multilateralism, yet it also recognizes the necessity of credible security capabilities and strategic autonomy. Peace, in this framework, is not viewed as an abstract moral ideal but as a condition that enables national development and international engagement. Strategic interests thus serve as the bridge between normative commitments to peace and practical security requirements.

By adopting this integrated conceptual framework, the paper situates India's foreign policy within broader theoretical debates in international relations. It highlights how peace, security, and strategy are mutually reinforcing rather than mutually exclusive, providing a foundation for analyzing India's role in an increasingly uncertain global order.

India's Commitment to International Peace: Norms, Diplomacy and Multilateral Engagement

India's commitment to international peace is deeply embedded in its civilizational ethos, constitutional principles, and historical experience as a post-colonial state. From the time of independence, India's foreign policy has emphasized peaceful coexistence, dialogue, and respect for sovereignty as guiding principles of international engagement. These normative foundations have shaped India's approach to global politics and continue to influence its diplomatic behavior in contemporary international relations.

- **Normative Foundations and Panchsheel**

India's early articulation of peaceful international conduct was reflected in the Panchsheel principles, which emphasized mutual respect for territorial integrity, non-aggression, non-interference, equality, and peaceful coexistence. These principles were not merely diplomatic tools but expressions of India's broader worldview, shaped by anti-imperialism and a desire for a just international order. Panchsheel later influenced the Non-Aligned Movement, reinforcing India's role as an advocate of peace and strategic autonomy during the Cold War.

India's Constitution further reinforces this commitment by promoting international peace and security, respect for international law, and peaceful settlement of disputes. These normative commitments have provided continuity in India's foreign policy, even as strategic circumstances have evolved.

- **Diplomacy and Peaceful Conflict Resolution**

India has consistently emphasized diplomacy as the primary instrument for conflict resolution. Whether through bilateral dialogue, regional initiatives, or multilateral forums, India has sought to manage disputes without resorting to force. This approach reflects a belief that long-term peace is best achieved through negotiation and mutual accommodation rather than coercion.

At the regional level, India has supported dialogue-based mechanisms to manage tensions and promote stability. Globally, India has advocated peaceful resolution of conflicts and opposed unilateral use of force that undermines international law. While India recognizes the necessity of self-defense, it emphasizes restraint and proportionality, reinforcing its image as a responsible international actor.

- **Multilateralism and the United Nations**

Multilateral engagement constitutes a central pillar of India's peace-oriented foreign policy. India has been an active participant in the United Nations since its inception and remains one of the largest contributors to UN peacekeeping operations. Indian peacekeepers have served in diverse conflict zones, contributing to conflict stabilization, humanitarian assistance, and post-conflict reconstruction.

India's involvement in peacekeeping reflects both normative commitment and strategic calculation. Participation enhances India's global standing, strengthens its case for greater representation in global governance institutions, and provides operational experience to its armed forces. At the same time, it underscores India's belief in collective security and institutional approaches to maintaining international peace.

- **Advocacy for Global Governance Reform**

India's commitment to international peace is also evident in its advocacy for reform of global governance institutions. India has consistently argued that existing institutions must reflect contemporary geopolitical realities to remain effective and legitimate. Reform of the United Nations Security Council is viewed as essential for enhancing the credibility and responsiveness of the global security architecture.

By calling for inclusive and representative institutions, India positions itself as a proponent of a more equitable international order. This stance aligns normative ideals with strategic interests, as greater participation in decision-making processes would enhance India's ability to contribute to and shape global peace initiatives.

- **Peace as Strategic Enabler**

India's approach recognizes peace not merely as an end in itself but as a strategic enabler of national development and global engagement. Stability at the international level allows India to focus on economic growth, technological advancement, and social development. Consequently, India's peace diplomacy is closely linked with its broader strategic objectives.

This integration of normative commitment and strategic calculation distinguishes India's foreign policy approach. Peace is pursued through diplomacy, multilateralism, and institutional engagement, while being supported by credible security capabilities. This balanced perspective provides a foundation for analyzing how India navigates the complex relationship between peace, security, and strategic interests in an evolving global order.

National Security Imperatives in Indian Foreign Policy

While India's foreign policy is normatively anchored in the pursuit of international peace, it is simultaneously shaped by complex and persistent national security challenges. India's geopolitical environment—characterized by contested borders, regional instability, and evolving threat perceptions—necessitates a robust and adaptive security posture. As a result, India's commitment to peace is closely intertwined with its efforts to safeguard national security and strategic autonomy.

- **Traditional Security Challenges**

India faces enduring traditional security threats stemming from unresolved territorial disputes and regional rivalries. Contested borders in the Himalayan region, particularly along the northern frontiers, have remained a central concern for Indian strategic planners. Periodic military standoffs and crises underscore the fragility of regional stability and the limits of diplomatic engagement in the absence of credible deterrence.

Additionally, cross-border terrorism and regional power asymmetries pose significant challenges to India's security environment. These threats require continuous vigilance, intelligence coordination, and

military preparedness. India's security policies thus reflect a recognition that peace cannot be sustained solely through dialogue when core national interests are perceived to be under threat.

- **Non-Traditional and Emerging Security Threats**

Beyond conventional military threats, India increasingly confronts a range of non-traditional security challenges. Terrorism, cyber warfare, energy insecurity, climate change, pandemics, and disruptions to global supply chains have expanded the scope of national security. These threats transcend borders and require comprehensive policy responses that integrate domestic governance with international cooperation.

Cybersecurity, in particular, has emerged as a critical domain, with implications for economic stability, critical infrastructure, and national sovereignty. Similarly, climate-induced security risks—such as resource scarcity and humanitarian crises—underscore the need for adaptive and forward-looking security strategies. Addressing these challenges requires India to engage actively in global forums while strengthening domestic resilience.

- **Military Preparedness and Deterrence**

Military preparedness remains a cornerstone of India's national security strategy. Defense modernization, improved border infrastructure, and enhanced surveillance capabilities reflect efforts to address historical asymmetries and ensure rapid response to potential contingencies. India's evolving military doctrines emphasize flexibility, jointness, and deterrence, signaling a shift toward integrated security planning.

Deterrence plays a crucial role in India's approach to maintaining peace. By demonstrating the capability and resolve to defend its interests, India seeks to prevent conflict escalation and preserve stability. This emphasis on deterrence does not negate India's commitment to peaceful resolution of disputes; rather, it complements diplomatic engagement by reinforcing credibility.

- **Security, Peace and Strategic Autonomy**

A defining feature of India's security policy is the pursuit of strategic autonomy. India seeks to avoid rigid alliances that could constrain its decision-making while engaging selectively with multiple partners to enhance security and stability. This approach reflects a pragmatic understanding of the international system, where flexibility and independence are essential for navigating competing power centers.

Strategic autonomy enables India to balance its peace-oriented diplomacy with necessary security measures. It allows India to participate in global peace initiatives without compromising its ability to respond to threats. In this sense, national security imperatives do not contradict India's commitment to international peace; instead, they provide the foundation upon which sustainable peace can be built.

Strategic Interests, Global Engagement and India's Role in the International Order

India's strategic interests increasingly extend beyond its immediate neighborhood, reflecting its emergence as a significant regional and global actor. These interests encompass territorial integrity, economic growth, energy security, access to global commons, and a stable international environment conducive to national development. India's foreign policy seeks to protect these interests through active global engagement while preserving strategic autonomy.

- **Strategic Interests and Multi-Alignment**

India's strategic interests are shaped by both regional realities and global aspirations. In South Asia, stability remains a priority, as regional turbulence directly affects India's internal security and economic prospects. Beyond the region, India's interests span the Indo-Pacific, West Asia, Africa, and emerging domains such as cyberspace and outer space.

To safeguard these interests, India has adopted a strategy of multi-alignment rather than rigid alliances. Engagement with diverse groupings such as the United Nations, G20, BRICS, Quad, and the Shanghai Cooperation Organisation reflects a flexible approach that maximizes diplomatic space. This strategy enables India to cooperate with multiple partners on shared concerns while avoiding entanglement in exclusive blocs.

- **Global Engagement and Responsible Stakeholdership**

India increasingly presents itself as a responsible stakeholder in the international system. Its participation in global governance forums, peacekeeping operations, climate negotiations, and

development initiatives underscores a commitment to collective problem-solving. India's emphasis on dialogue, inclusivity, and respect for international law aligns with its broader vision of a stable and equitable global order.

At the same time, India remains cautious about global arrangements that may constrain its policy autonomy or undermine its interests. This balanced approach reflects a pragmatic understanding that effective global engagement must be grounded in national capability and strategic clarity.

• **India as a Stabilizing Power**

India's foreign policy discourse increasingly emphasizes its potential role as a stabilizing power. Rather than seeking dominance, India advocates stability through cooperation, institutional reform, and capacity-building. Its approach to peace and security emphasizes long-term stability over short-term tactical gains.

India's advocacy for reform of international institutions, particularly the United Nations Security Council, reflects its belief that a more representative global order is essential for sustaining international peace. By linking institutional legitimacy with effectiveness, India positions itself as a constructive contributor to global stability.

Conclusion

International peace, national security, and strategic interests are deeply interconnected in the contemporary international system. The erosion of multilateralism, resurgence of power politics, and proliferation of non-traditional security threats have underscored the limitations of idealistic conceptions of peace divorced from security realities. In this context, peace emerges not merely as the absence of conflict but as a condition sustained through credible security capabilities, strategic autonomy, and responsible global engagement.

India's foreign policy reflects a pragmatic balance between normative commitment and strategic necessity. Rooted in principles of peaceful coexistence and multilateralism, India continues to advocate dialogue, international law, and institutional cooperation. Simultaneously, India recognizes that peace must be underpinned by national security preparedness, economic resilience, and strategic clarity.

As a rising power, India's role in shaping international peace will depend on its ability to harmonize security imperatives with its broader strategic vision. By integrating diplomacy, deterrence, and global engagement, India seeks to contribute to a more stable and equitable international order while safeguarding its long-term national interests. In an era of uncertainty and transition, India's realist-pragmatic approach offers a viable pathway for navigating the complex relationship between peace, security, and strategy.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges academic mentors and conference organizers.

References

1. Introduction: (Buzan & Hansen, 2009; Waltz, 1979; United Nations, 1945)
2. Conceptual Framework: (Buzan, 1991; Baldwin, 1997; Booth, 2007)
3. International Peace: (Galtung, 1969; United Nations, 1945; United Nations Peacekeeping, 2023)
4. National Security: (Buzan, 1991; Walt, 1991; Nye, 2011)
5. Strategic Interests: (Morgenthau, 1948; Waltz, 1979; Tellis, 2020)
6. India's Commitment to Peace: (Ministry of External Affairs, 2025); Acharya, 2018; UN Peacekeeping, 2023)
7. National Security Imperatives: (Ministry of Defence, 2024); National Security Strategy literature; Buzan & Hansen, 2009)
8. Strategic Interests & Global Engagement: (Jaishankar, 2020; Pant, 2020; G20 Leaders' Declaration, 2023)
9. Conclusion: (Acharya, 2018; Tellis, 2020; United Nations, 1945)
10. **Additional References**
11. Booth, K. (2007). *Theory of World Security*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Bull, H. (1977). *The Anarchical Society*. Macmillan.

13. Buzan, B. (1991). *People, States and Fear* (2nd ed.). Lynne Rienner.
14. Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, Peace, and Peace Research. *Journal of Peace Research*, 6(3), 167–191.
15. Jaishankar, S. (2020). *The India Way*. HarperCollins.
16. Keohane, R. O. (1984). *After Hegemony*. Princeton University Press.
17. Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. W.W. Norton.
18. Morgenthau, H. J. (1948). *Politics Among Nations*. Knopf.
19. Nye, J. S. (2011). *The Future of Power*. PublicAffairs.
20. Pant, H. V. (2020). *India's National Security*. Routledge.
21. Tellis, A. J. (2020). *India's Great Power Strategy*. Carnegie.
22. United Nations. (1945). *Charter of the United Nations*.
23. United Nations Peacekeeping. (2024). *Contributions to UN Peacekeeping Operations*.
24. Ministry of Defence, Government of India. (2024). *Annual Report 2023–24*.
25. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. (2025). *Annual Report 2024–25*.
26. Walt, S. M. (1987). *The Origins of Alliances*. Cornell University Press.
27. Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. Addison-Wesley.

