

## CAPITAL STRUCTURE PRACTICES: THE CONCEPTUAL STUDY IN CONTEXT OF INDIAN COMPANIES

---

Dr. Yogendra Kumar Sharma\*  
Prof. (Dr.) Govind Pareek\*\*

### ABSTRACT

*In real- world state, the MM suppositions don't and cannot live because of several defects operating endogenously and exogenously. As financing opinions do count in the real world, commercial finance literature has advanced a number of propositions that show how various defects explain the observed patterns of capital structure. These explanations have substantially concentrated on the defects on the side of the establishment the optimal capital structure minimizes the costs borne by investors as a result of levies, asymmetric information, conflicts of interest between operation and shareholders, etc. Grounded on this observation, it's held that the hunt for optimal capital structure has led to U-shaped or bell- shaped cost of capital for any establishment indicating that the cost of capital goes on dwindling with increased proportion of debt capital up to a certain point and latterly the cost of capital goes on adding with the increased debt proportion. The being inquiries on the capital structure have been largely confined to the United States and many other developed countries. Although the capital structure issue has entered great significance in these countries, it has remained neglected in developing countries due to different profitable and legal constraints. Still the profitable liberalization and reformation processes since 1980's in developing countries now have lower institutional walls. Indeed, the attitude of directors towards capital structure opinions enthalls the high position in commercial finance and hence an enquiry into behavioral finance seems to be the right approach. Research in this field will contribute to signify the significance of capital structure to value maximization ideal of the establishment. This study attempts to exfoliate some light on the capital structure issues in Indian environment including capital structure influence.*

---

**Keywords:** Capital, Developed, Management, Behavioral, Structure, Influence, Countries, Liberalization.

---

### Introduction

Until the early nineties, commercial fiscal operation in India was fairly a drab and placid exertion. The fiscal sector reforms, with the onset of liberalization, privatization and globalization, have changed this placid exertion into a protean and dynamic exertion. Commercial finance directors today have to choose from an array of fiscal instruments. They can now price them less freely. They're open to book- structure process of IPOs. They've access to global fiscal markets. They've to deal with aggressive fiscal interposers and institutional investors. They're exposed to volatility of interest and exchange rates. They've to take serious note of capital structure opinions and worry about their credit conditions in view of competitive fiscal markets the studies related to capital structure analysis in India have concentrated only on small number of sample companies with a focus on a limited number of suppositions. Hence the present study attempts to estimate the patterns of capital structure in Indian commercial sector arising from fiscal liberalization and capital market reforms. Further, no study has been conducted so far from the standpoint of attitude of commercial directors towards capital structure opinions in India. Indeed, the

---

\* Incharge, Department of Commerce, LBS PG College, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.  
\*\* Former Professor, Department of ABST, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

attitude of directors towards capital structure opinions enthralls the high position in commercial finance and hence an enquiry into behavioral finance seems to be the right approach. Hence the present study aims at a comprehensive analysis of different confines of trends in various companies capital structure similar as the relationship between the influence and the market value of the establishment, the influence of the following COMPONENTS similar as market capitalization, profitability, growth, sectors and free cash overflows on capital structure opinions on one hand and directorial comprehensions of commercial directors regarding capital structure opinions on the other in the Indian commercial sector.

### **Conception of Capital Structure**

The capacity of an establishment to operate its conditioning is grounded on the vacuity of finances. Typically, these finances in finance literature are nominated as long term finances, which are contributed by possessors (shareholders) and outlanders. The owners' funds are represented by equity benefactions and internally generated fiscal coffers. A unique specific of earning finances is that an establishment may tap any of these sources and hence the mix of these different sources of long term finances is nominated as capital structure in finance literature. Capital structure naturally implies the proportion of debt and equity in the total capital of an establishment. In the term, "capital structure" capital refers to long term finances and structure refers to the proportion of debt and equity in capital. Further, capital is fluently comprehended through account as the difference between total means and current arrears, and this residual difference is always represented by debt and equity. Capital structure could be defined in different ways. In the US, it's common to define capital structure in terms of long-term debt rate. In a number of countries, particularly the arising markets, companies employ both short-term and long-term debt for financing their means, including current means. It's also common for companies in developing countries to substitute short-term debt for long-term debt and roll over short-term debt. In finance, capital structure refers to the way a firm finances its means through some combination of equity, debt, or cold-blooded securities. A firm's capital structure is also the composition or 'structure' of its arrears. A blend of a company's long-term debt, specific short-term debt, common equity and preferred equity. The capital structure is how an establishment finances its overall operations and growth by using different sources of finances. Debt comes in the form of bond issues or long-term notes outstanding, while equity is classified as common stock, preferred stock or retained earnings. Short-term debt similar as working capital conditions is also considered to be part of the capital structure. The term capital structure refers to the age of capital (money) at work in a business by type. Astronomically speaking, there are two forms of capital possessed capital and debt capital. Numerous consider equity capital to be the most precious type of capital a company can use because it is "cost" is the return the establishment must earn to attract investment. An academic mining company, that is, looking for tableware in a remote region of Africa may bear a much advanced return on equity to get investors to buy the stock than an establishment similar as Procter and Gamble, which sells everything from toothpaste and soap to soap and beauty products.

### **The Conception of Optimal Capital Structure**

An optimal or sound capital structure can duly be defined as that combination of debt and equity which achieves the thing of maximizing the company's market value. The optimal capital structure is also defined as that combination of debt and equity which minimizes the company's cost of capital. Hence, the optimal capital structure is concerned with two important COMPONENTS at one time- the maximization of shareholders' wealth as well as minimization of cost of capital. In the wake of given ideal of maximization of shareholders' wealth, the demand for an optimal capital structure cannot, thus, be overemphasized. In the fiscal decision-making process, every company should try to design such a capital structure. But the determination of an optimum capital structure isn't an easy task. It should be easily understood that determining the precise proportion of debt that will maximize price per share is nearly impracticable. It's possible; still, to ascertain the approximate share of debt to be used in the capital structure in tune with the ideal of maximization of shareholders' worth. It may be mentioned that there are certain common and disagreeing means. Different companies falling under a particular assiduity may have important in common regarding their fiscal plan. But they still may parade different earning trends, counting styles and practices, general future conditions and prognostications about the frugality and the capital market. Also, the operation's capability to acclimate the blend of debt and equity in conformity with these conditions is confined by the vacuity of the various types of finances that are sought. Hence, these COMPONENTS largely govern which pattern of capital structure is supposed desirable and which form of backing is chosen in a given situation. The actuality of optimum capital structure isn't accepted by all. A great deal of contestation has developed over this issue.

### Components of Capital Structure

The literature on capital structure is writ large with the identification of innumerable determinants of capital structure. These determinants are largely the result of standing the hypotheticals of Modigliani and Millers suppositions. Still, the most important determinants of capital structure are as follows.

- **Tangibility:** is defined as the rate of total fixed means to total means. Agency proposition suggests that enterprises with high influence tend to beneath- invest, or invest sub-optimally, and therefore transfer wealth down from debt holders to equity holders. These beget lenders to bear collateral because the use of secured debts can help palliate this problem. Also, the liquidation value of the establishment increases with the tangibility of means and decreases the probability of mispricing in the event of ruin.
- **Profitability:** is defined as the rate of earnings before interest, duty and deprecation to total means. The pecking- order proposition presuppositions that directors prefer to finance systems internally because of the instructional asymmetry between directors and outside investors. In addition, profitable enterprises prefer not to raise external equity in order to avoid implicit dilution of power. Therefore we anticipate an inverse relation between profitability and influence.
- **Establishment size:** is measured by the natural log of means. The trade- off proposition presuppositions a positive relationship between establishment size and debt, since larger enterprises have been shown to have lower ruin threat and fairly lower ruin cost. In addition, large enterprises have lower agency costs of debt; fairly lower monitoring costs, lower unpredictable cash overflows, easier access to credit market, and bear further debt to completely profit from the duty guard. Thus, firm size is anticipated to have a positive impact on influence.
- **Growth occasion:** is defined as the book value of total means less the book value of equity plus the market value of equity divided by the book value of total means. Advanced growth openings give impulses to invest sub-optimally, or to accept parlous systems that expropriate wealth from debt holders. This raises the cost of borrowing and therefore growth enterprises tend to use internal coffers or equity capital rather than debt.
- **Liquidity:** is defined as the rate of current means to current arrears. As prognosticated by the pecking order proposition, enterprises with high liquidity will adopt lower. In addition, directors can manipulate liquid means in favor of shareholders against the interest of debt holders, adding the agency costs of debt. Therefore, a negative relationship between liquidity and influence is anticipated.
- **Volatility of earnings:** is defined as the absolute difference between the periodic age change in earnings before interest and levies and the normal of this change over the sample period. Advanced volatility of earnings increases the probability of fiscal torture, since enterprises may not be suitable to fulfill their debt servicing commitments. Therefore, firm's debt capacity decreases with increases in earnings volatility leading to an anticipated inverse relation with influence.
- **Share price performance:** is defined as the first difference of the logs of periodic share prices, matched to the month of firms 'fiscal time- end. The history of share prices has been shown to have an impact on the firm's capital structure. Due to the information asymmetry between directors and outside investors, new shares are issued at a reduction.

### Practices of Capital Structure in India

Until the early nineties, commercial fiscal operation in India was a fairly drab and placid exertion. There weren't numerous important fiscal opinions to be made for the simple reason that enterprises were given veritably little freedom in the choice of crucial fiscal programs. The government regulated the price at which enterprises could issue equity, the rate of interest which they could offer on their bonds, and the debt equity rate that was admissible in different diligence. Also, utmost of the debt and a significant part of the equity were handed by public sector institutions. Working capital operation was indeed more constrained with detailed regulations on how important force the enterprises could

carry or how important credit they could give to their customers. Working capital was financed nearly entirely by banks at interest rates laid down by the central bank. The idea that the interest rate should be related to the creditworthiness of the borrower was still iconoclastic. Indeed the amount of working capital finance was related more to the credit need of the borrower than to creditworthiness on the principle that bank credit should be used only for productive purposes. The last many times of fiscal reforms have changed all this beyond recognition. The Government of India started the profitable liberalization policy in 1991. Indeed though the power at the center has changed hands, the pace of the reforms has noway slackened till date. Before 1991, changes within the artificial sector in the country were modest to say the least. The sector reckoned for just one-fifth of the total profitable exertion within the country. The sectoral structure of the assiduity has changed, albeit gradationally. Utmost of the artificial sector was dominated by a select band of family-grounded empires that had been dominant historically. Post 1991, a major restructuring has taken place with the emergence of more technologically advanced parts among artificial companies. Currently, further small and medium scale enterprises contribute significantly to the frugality. By the mid-90s, the private capital had surpassed the public capital. The operation system had shifted from the traditional family-grounded system to a system of good and professional directors. One of the most significant goods of the liberalization period has been the emergence of a strong, rich and buoyant middle class with significant purchasing powers and this has been the machine that has driven the frugality since. Another major benefit of the liberalization period has been the shift in the pattern of exports from traditional particulars like clothes, tea and spices to motorcars, sword, IT etc. The "made in India" brand, which didn't elicit any kind of fidelity has now come a brand name by itself and is now known each over the world for its quality. Commercial finance directors today have to choose from an array of complex fiscal instruments; they can now price them more or less freely; and they've access (albeit limited) to global capital markets. On the other hand, they now have to deal with a whole new strain of aggressive fiscal interposers and institutional investors; they're exposed to the volatility of interest rates and exchange rates; they've to agonize over capital structure opinions and worry about their creditratings. However, they face retaliation from a decreasingly competitive fiscal business, and the retaliation is frequently swift and brutal, if they make miscalculations.

### Conclusion

The results of the present study are harmonious with the proposition and contemporaneously revealing too. The shareholder value maximization ideal is extensively used by commercial India now than ahead. Enterprises place substantial emphasis on the maximization ideal by reducing the cost of operation of finances. The results feel to suggest that, enterprises don't have specific fiscal influence in mind, when deciding as to how stylish to finance their systems. Low growth enterprises prefer further use of debt in their fiscal influence vis-a-vis the high growth enterprises. Establishment size significantly affects the practice of commercial finance. So far as India are concerned, important remains to be done for industrialization. There exists the need to develop a synergic relation between the government and the public sector. State will have to keep constant dialogue with the entrepreneurs and their representatives to revive their confidence. To overcome the severe demand compression in the frugality, India has to calculate on advanced government spending and duty cuts. The government has to play a dominant part for allocating the limited coffers and for further public investments. In sum, the study leads to the conclusion that, India has to concentrate on domestic capital conformation. In order to achieve this thing, we've to promote the private commercial investment from Indians citizens as well as non resident Indians. Despite, the relaxations in some nonsupervisory acts, India continues to repel investors with interminable detainments. Indians abroad have demonstrated to the world that its entrepreneurial and professional chops are as good as stylish. Commercial sector has entered into a world where only the fittest can survive. To be suitable to do so, Indian assiduity must come more quality conscious, invest in mortal capital and encourage professional operation.

### References

1. Aggarwal, R. and N.A. Kyawb (2008). Research in International Business and Finance, Journal of Finance 22 (2008) pp. 409–439.
2. Banerjee, S., Dasgupta, S., and Kim, Y. (2004). Buyer–supplier relationships and the stakeholder theory of capital structure, Kowloon Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.
3. Chibber, Pradeep K., and Sumit K. Majumdar, (1999), Foreign Ownership and Profitability: Property Rights, Control, and the Performance of Firms in Indian Industry, The Journal of Law and Economics XLII, pp. 209–238.

4. Dasgupta, S., and Sengupta, K. (1993). Sunk investment, bargaining and choice of capital structure, *International Economic Review*, 34, pp. 203–220.
5. Ganeshan T.R. (1993). *Approaches to Capital Structure: A Comparative Study of South and North Indian Enterprises*, Unpublished Thesis, Submitted to Alagappa University, Karaikudi.
6. Kedia, S., Mozumdar, A., (2002). Foreign currency denominated debt; an empirical examination, *Journal of Business*, forthcoming
7. Mahajan, Arvind., and Semih Tartaroglu (2008). Equity market timing and capital structure: International evidence, *Journal of Banking & Finance* 32 (2008) pp.754– 766
8. Mrs Subarna Sarkar (1991). *Capital Structure and Productivity of Capital in Indian Corporate Sector* Banaras Hindu University.
9. S Narayan Rao and Jijo Lukose P. J. (1992), *An Empirical Study on the Determinants of the Capital Structure of Listed Indian Firms*.
10. Pandey, I. M. (2001). *Capital Structure and the Firm Characteristics: Evidence from an Emerging Market*, Working Paper: (2001-10-04). Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, India
11. Raghunathan, V. and Varma, J. R. (1997), —*Rerating the Ratings*ll, *Business Today*, December 7-21, 1997, pp.144-149
12. Sharma L.V.L.N., and K.S. Hanumantha Rao (1968). *Leverage and the Value of Firm*, *Journal of Finance*, September, pp.673-677.
13. Varma, J. R. (1997), —*Corporate Governance in India: Disciplining the Dominant Shareholder*ll, *IIMB Management Review*, 9(4), pp.5-16.

