International Journal of Global Research Innovations & Technology (IJGRIT)
An International Multidisciplinary Quarterly Double Blind Open Access Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal
ISSN : 2583-8717(Online), Impact Factor: (Cosmos:6.972& I2OR: 5.002), Volume 04, No. 03, July-September, 2026, pp 258-267

Beyond Hierarchy: Reverse Mentoring as a Catalyst for Transforming Leadership in Indian Organisations

Prof. (Dr.) Sumedha Bajpai*

Head – School of Business & Management, Jaipur National University, Jaipur.

*Corresponding Author: sumedhab80@gmail.com

Citation: Bajpai, S. (2026). Beyond Hierarchy: Reverse Mentoring as a Catalyst for Transforming Leadership in Indian Organisations. International Journal of Global Research Innovations & Technology, 04(03), 258–267. https://doi.org/10.62823/ijgrit/04.03.9677

ABSTRACT

Traditional leadership development has largely followed a hierarchical approach in which senior employees guide, train and mentor their younger colleagues. However, changing workforce demographics, digital transformation and evolving employee expectations have challenged this conventional understanding of organisational learning. Reverse mentoring, in which younger or junior employees mentor senior leaders by sharing their knowledge, experiences and contemporary perspectives, offers an alternative approach to leadership development. This conceptual paper examines how reverse mentoring can act as a catalyst for transforming leadership practices in Indian organisations. Drawing upon Social Exchange Theory, Psychological Safety Theory, Organisational Learning Theory and the concept of power distance, the paper develops an integrative framework explaining how reciprocal learning can influence leadership openness, intergenerational trust, inclusive decision-making and organisational adaptability. Indian organisational examples, particularly Tata Steel, Godrej Group, Bharti Airtel and Max Life Insurance, are discussed as illustrative secondary-data cases. The paper argues that reverse mentoring should not be viewed merely as a digital skill-development initiative but as a broader leadership philosophy that encourages humility, mutual respect and the sharing of knowledge across organisational levels. It proposes an Indian-contextualised framework in which leadership transformation emerges through reciprocal knowledge exchange, psychological safety and a gradual shift from authority-based leadership towards learning-oriented leadership.

***Keywords**: Reverse Mentoring, Leadership Transformation, Indian Organisations, Power Distance, Intergenerational Learning.*

Introduction

Leadership has traditionally been understood through the lens of experience, authority, seniority and organisational position. In conventional organisational structures, knowledge generally flows from senior employees to junior employees, while decision-making authority remains concentrated at higher levels of management. Employees joining an organisation are expected to learn from experienced managers, understand organisational practices and gradually develop the capabilities required for leadership positions. This traditional approach has shaped mentoring relationships for several decades.

However, the contemporary workplace is increasingly challenging this one-directional understanding of leadership and learning. Rapid technological changes, artificial intelligence, digital communication, changing customer expectations and the entry of younger generations into the workforce have created a situation in which knowledge is no longer concentrated exclusively among senior employees.

A young employee may possess greater familiarity with digital platforms, social media, emerging technologies and changing consumer behaviour, while a senior manager may bring years of experience in strategic decision-making, organisational relationships, business operations and crisis management. Both possess valuable knowledge, but the challenge lies in creating an organisational environment in which this knowledge can be exchanged without being restricted by age, designation or authority.

This is where reverse mentoring becomes relevant. Reverse mentoring refers to a developmental relationship in which a younger or relatively junior employee takes the role of mentor to a senior or more experienced organisational member. The relationship provides an opportunity for senior leaders to learn from younger employees, particularly in areas where the latter possess specialised knowledge or contemporary experience (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012).

The concept challenges the conventional assumption that mentoring must always be a senior-to-junior relationship. It recognises that professional experience and knowledge are not necessarily determined by organisational position. A young employee may have limited managerial experience but may possess insights that are highly relevant to organisational strategy, digital transformation, employee expectations and emerging market trends.

The relevance of reverse mentoring becomes particularly interesting in the Indian organisational context. Indian organisations operate across a wide range of cultural and structural settings. In some, seniority, formal authority and established reporting relationships strongly shape communication; in others, relatively open and collaborative practices are more common. These differences can influence whether junior employees feel comfortable sharing ideas with senior leaders.

For example, a young employee may hesitate to question an established managerial practice even when they recognise an opportunity for improvement. Similarly, a senior executive may unintentionally overlook the perspectives of younger employees because of differences in experience, designation or organisational status. Reverse mentoring provides an opportunity to reconsider these relationships. It allows senior leaders to experience the organisation from the perspective of younger employees while creating opportunities for junior employees to participate in leadership conversations.

Indian organisational examples provide useful illustrations. Tata Steel developed a structured two-way mentoring initiative as part of its digital transformation journey, pairing younger employees with senior executives. Other Indian corporate accounts have described reverse mentoring initiatives at organisations such as Godrej, Bharti Airtel and Max Life Insurance. These examples suggest that reverse mentoring can extend beyond technology training and contribute to broader organisational learning.

The present paper examines reverse mentoring as a potential catalyst for leadership transformation in Indian organisations. It argues that its real value lies not simply in transferring knowledge from younger employees to senior leaders but in creating a culture in which learning is no longer restricted by hierarchy.

Research Objectives

- To examine the concept and evolving significance of reverse mentoring in contemporary organisations.
- To understand how traditional organisational hierarchy and power distance influence leadership-learning relationships in India.
- To explore the contribution of reverse mentoring towards leadership openness, psychological safety and inclusive decision-making.
- To examine selected Indian organisational examples to understand the practical application of reverse mentoring.
- To develop an Indian-contextualised conceptual framework explaining the relationship between reverse mentoring and leadership transformation.

Review of Literature

- **Evolution of Reverse Mentoring**

The conventional mentoring relationship generally involves an experienced employee guiding a less experienced colleague in professional development, organisational understanding and career advancement. Kram (1985) identified career-related and psychosocial functions as important dimensions of mentoring relationships.

Reverse mentoring challenges this traditional direction of knowledge exchange. It recognises that younger employees can offer valuable insights to senior colleagues because of their familiarity with emerging technologies, contemporary social trends, digital behaviour and changing workplace expectations. The concept gained organisational visibility through initiatives associated with Jack Welch at General Electric, where senior executives were encouraged to learn about internet technologies from younger employees.

Marcinkus Murphy (2012) explained that reverse mentoring can support cross-generational learning while also developing the leadership capabilities of younger mentors. The relationship provides developmental benefits to both participants rather than treating the younger employee merely as a source of technical information. Reverse mentoring is therefore not simply a reversal of traditional mentoring roles; it represents a different understanding of how knowledge and leadership capabilities are developed.

- **Reverse Mentoring and Leadership Transformation**

Leadership effectiveness increasingly requires the ability to learn, adapt and respond to changing organisational environments. Leaders can no longer depend entirely on past experiences or established management practices. Reverse mentoring can expose senior leaders to perspectives that may not emerge through conventional reporting structures. A junior employee may share observations about digital customer experiences, workplace communication, employee engagement or the expectations of younger consumers.

From a leadership-development perspective, reverse mentoring may promote humility, openness to feedback and willingness to acknowledge knowledge gaps. These qualities are relevant in contemporary organisations where leadership effectiveness depends increasingly on collaboration and continuous learning. However, reverse mentoring does not automatically transform leadership behaviour. Its effectiveness depends on whether senior leaders are genuinely willing to listen, reflect and translate learning into organisational action.

- **Social Exchange Theory**

Social Exchange Theory provides an important foundation for understanding reverse mentoring. Blau (1964) explained that social relationships develop through exchanges involving resources, benefits and reciprocal expectations. In reverse mentoring, both participants contribute different forms of value. Younger employees may provide digital knowledge, contemporary perspectives and emerging market insights. Senior leaders may contribute strategic understanding, professional experience, organisational knowledge and career guidance.

The relationship becomes meaningful when both individuals recognise the value of the other's contribution. Unlike conventional mentoring, where the senior employee is primarily positioned as the knowledge provider, reverse mentoring creates a more balanced exchange. From this perspective, trust and reciprocity become essential conditions for sustainable mentoring relationships.

- **Psychological Safety and Upward Learning**

Edmondson (1999) described psychological safety as a shared belief that individuals can take interpersonal risks without fear of humiliation or punishment. In hierarchical organisations, employees may hesitate to express disagreement, question established practices or communicate uncomfortable observations to senior managers.

Reverse mentoring requires a different environment. A younger employee must feel comfortable sharing perspectives with a senior executive, while the senior executive must be willing to receive feedback without treating it as a challenge to authority. Psychological safety therefore plays an important role in determining whether reverse mentoring becomes a meaningful learning relationship or remains a formal organisational exercise.

- **Organisational Learning Theory**

Organisational Learning Theory provides another explanation for the potential of reverse mentoring. Nonaka (1994) emphasised the importance of knowledge creation through interactions between different forms of knowledge. Organisational learning involves more than acquiring information; it requires the sharing, interpretation and application of knowledge.

Reverse mentoring can facilitate this process by bringing together different knowledge resources. Younger employees may understand emerging technologies and contemporary customer behaviour, whereas senior employees may possess institutional memory, business judgement and knowledge of organisational systems. When these perspectives are exchanged, organisations may develop a more comprehensive understanding of emerging opportunities and challenges.

The concept also connects with Argyris and Schön's (1978) distinction between single-loop and double-loop learning. Reverse mentoring can encourage double-loop learning when senior leaders move beyond correcting individual problems and begin questioning the assumptions, values and practices underlying organisational decisions.

- **Power Distance and the Indian Organisational Context**

Power distance is particularly relevant when examining reverse mentoring in India. Hofstede (2001) discussed power distance in terms of the extent to which less powerful members of organisations and institutions accept and expect unequal distributions of power. In some Indian workplaces, respect for seniority, authority and established organisational positions can influence communication patterns. However, Indian organisations are diverse, and the degree of hierarchy varies across industries, ownership structures, regions and organisational cultures.

In some workplaces, employees may be comfortable communicating directly with senior management. In others, formal reporting relationships and status differences may discourage upward communication. Reverse mentoring creates an opportunity to reconsider these relationships. When a senior executive accepts a younger employee as a mentor, the organisation signals that knowledge and learning are not exclusively associated with designation or years of service.

Research Gap

Existing research has established the importance of reverse mentoring in promoting intergenerational learning, knowledge sharing and employee development. Marcinkus Murphy (2012) provided an important conceptual understanding of reverse mentoring, while subsequent work has explored its relationship with inclusion, engagement and talent development.

However, several opportunities remain for theoretical development. First, reverse mentoring is frequently discussed as a tool for digital literacy and technology adoption. Its potential contribution to broader leadership transformation requires further conceptual examination. Second, although reverse mentoring involves a reversal of conventional mentoring roles, the relationship between organisational hierarchy, power distance and leadership openness deserves greater attention, particularly within culturally diverse Indian organisations.

Third, existing discussions often focus on the benefits received by senior employees, while the developmental experiences of younger mentors receive comparatively less attention. The leadership confidence, voice, visibility and professional growth of younger employees are equally important. Fourth, there is a need to understand how reciprocal learning can influence leadership behaviour beyond individual knowledge acquisition. Organisational outcomes such as inclusive decision-making, employee trust and adaptive leadership require stronger conceptual integration.

The present paper addresses these gaps by proposing an integrative framework that connects reverse mentoring with leadership openness, psychological safety, reciprocal knowledge exchange and organisational adaptability.

Research Design and Methodology

The present study adopts a conceptual research design based on a narrative review of relevant academic literature and an illustrative examination of selected Indian organisational cases. The approach is exploratory and theory-building in nature. It does not involve primary data collection, surveys, interviews or statistical hypothesis testing.

Academic literature relating to reverse mentoring, leadership development, organisational learning, psychological safety, social exchange and power distance provides the theoretical foundation. Selected Indian organisational examples are examined using publicly available secondary sources, including organisational publications, published management cases and business reports.

The cases include Tata Steel and other Indian corporate examples reported in the business press. The purpose of examining these cases is not to establish causal relationships or claim that reverse mentoring alone produced organisational transformation. Rather, they help illustrate how the proposed theoretical arguments may operate in organisational settings.

The conceptual framework is developed through the integration of the identified theoretical perspectives and the insights emerging from the case illustrations.

Indian Organisational Cases: Reverse Mentoring in Practice

- **Tata Steel: From Digital Learning to Two-Way Mentoring**

Tata Steel provides one of the most relevant Indian examples of reverse mentoring. During its digital transformation journey, the organisation recognised that senior managers needed greater familiarity with emerging digital technologies and their possible applications in business operations. A

task force identified that senior managers were often digital novices and that many employees were unaware of the potential applications of digital technologies in their work (Gupta et al., 2021).

To address this challenge, Tata Steel introduced reverse mentoring, selecting younger employees with digital capabilities to mentor senior executives. The initiative began with 16 reverse mentors aged approximately 23 to 27 years, selected from around 300 volunteers. Each was paired with a senior executive. The pairs met regularly to discuss digital technologies as well as business practices. The programme subsequently expanded.

The Tata Steel example is particularly significant because it recognised that younger employees could share digital knowledge while senior executives could provide guidance on business practices, strategic thinking and organisational realities. The initiative therefore moved towards transgenerational two-way mentoring rather than one-directional technical instruction.

Three lessons emerge. First, it challenged the assumption that seniority automatically translates into superior knowledge across all areas. Second, it created opportunities for younger employees to interact with senior leadership and contribute to organisational transformation. Third, it demonstrated that reverse mentoring can become a structured organisational learning initiative rather than an informal exchange of technical information. The broader lesson is that digital knowledge and managerial experience should be treated as complementary resources.

- **Godrej Group: Learning Beyond Organisational Designations**

Business media accounts have described reverse mentoring at the Godrej Group, including an arrangement in which senior leadership received inputs from younger managers on information technology, sales and marketing. This example is useful for illustrating how knowledge from employees closer to contemporary markets and customers can inform senior-level understanding.

The contribution of a young employee is not necessarily limited to technological knowledge. It may also involve an understanding of changing consumer behaviour, market expectations and emerging business opportunities. For senior leaders, such interactions can provide an opportunity to understand organisational realities beyond formal management reports. The example should be treated as an illustrative media-reported account rather than evidence of measured leadership outcomes.

- **Bharti Airtel: Understanding the Younger Consumer**

Business press reporting has also described a reverse mentoring initiative at Bharti Airtel involving younger employees and senior executives. The reported focus included digital technologies, social media and the changing behaviour of younger consumers. This was relevant for a telecommunications organisation operating in a rapidly changing consumer market.

The example illustrates that reverse mentoring can improve leadership awareness of customer expectations. Senior leaders may possess considerable industry experience, but their understanding of how younger consumers use digital platforms and communication technologies requires continuous updating. Younger employees can provide insights based on their everyday experiences with technology and contemporary consumer behaviour.

- **Max Life Insurance: Building Cross-Generational Understanding**

Business HR reporting has described a Max Life Insurance initiative involving senior leaders and younger employees, with an emphasis on digital awareness, social media and collaboration platforms. The example reflects the growing need for senior leaders to understand the technologies and communication practices shaping the contemporary workplace.

An important implication is the opportunity for younger employees to participate in leadership-development processes. When junior employees are invited to guide senior colleagues, their organisational contribution extends beyond immediate job responsibilities. They become participants in knowledge-sharing and leadership-learning activities. As with the other media-reported examples, the available account should not be interpreted as proof of measurable organisational outcomes.

- **Comparative Understanding of the Indian Cases**

The selected examples reveal different dimensions of reverse mentoring. Tata Steel offers the most clearly documented illustration of a structured two-way programme, while the other reported examples indicate how reverse mentoring has been applied to digital awareness, customer understanding and cross-generational interaction. These cases are illustrative and are not directly comparable in programme design or measured impact.

Organisation	Main Focus	Potential Leadership Implication
Tata Steel	Digital transformation and reciprocal learning	Openness to cross-generational knowledge
Godrej Group	IT, sales, marketing and customer insights	Learning beyond organisational hierarchy
Bharti Airtel	Digital platforms and younger consumer behaviour	Understanding changing markets
Max Life Insurance	Digital awareness and collaboration	Greater intergenerational interaction

Proposed Conceptual Framework: Reverse Mentoring as a Catalyst for Leadership Transformation

Based on the theoretical discussion and Indian organisational illustrations, the paper proposes an integrative conceptual framework explaining how reverse mentoring may influence leadership transformation. The central argument is that reverse mentoring can transform leadership through the interaction of four elements: organisational context, enabling conditions, reciprocal knowledge exchange and leadership outcomes.

- **Organisational Context**

The organisational context provides the foundation for reverse mentoring. In Indian organisations, factors such as hierarchical structures, respect for seniority, organisational culture, workforce diversity and changing technological requirements may influence the acceptance of reverse mentoring. A highly hierarchical organisation may require more deliberate efforts to establish trust and encourage upward knowledge sharing. However, hierarchy should not automatically be viewed as a barrier. Senior leaders who value experience while remaining open to new perspectives can create a supportive environment for reciprocal learning.

- **Enabling Conditions**
  - Leadership openness: Senior leaders must acknowledge that knowledge is distributed across organisational levels. Their willingness to learn from younger colleagues provides legitimacy to reverse mentoring.
  - Psychological safety: Younger employees should be able to communicate their perspectives without fear of embarrassment, negative evaluation or professional consequences.
  - Mutual trust and respect: Both participants should recognise the value of the other's knowledge and experience.
  - Organisational support: HR policies, mentoring structures, time allocation and leadership sponsorship can help institutionalise the process.

- **Reciprocal Knowledge Exchange**

This is the central mechanism of the proposed framework. Younger employees may contribute digital and technological awareness, artificial intelligence insights, contemporary communication practices, changing consumer expectations and new perspectives on workplace culture. Senior leaders may contribute strategic and business knowledge, institutional memory, decision-making experience, professional judgement, organisational relationships and ethical understanding. The exchange should not be restricted to a single topic. Its value emerges when both participants are willing to learn from each other.

- **Intervening Mechanisms**
  - Intergenerational trust: Regular interaction can reduce stereotypes and encourage mutual understanding.
  - Leadership self-reflection: Exposure to different perspectives may encourage senior leaders to reconsider established assumptions and managerial practices.
  - Knowledge integration: Combining contemporary insights with organisational experience may improve the quality of organisational learning.

- **Leadership and Organisational Outcomes**

The framework proposes outcomes such as more inclusive leadership behaviour, greater openness to feedback, improved upward communication, better intergenerational collaboration, greater employee participation, enhanced organisational adaptability and development of younger employees as future leaders. These outcomes represent theoretically proposed relationships that require empirical examination.

Figure 1: Proposed Conceptual Framework: Beyond Hierarchy

Indian Organisational Context	Hierarchy • Respect for seniority • Intergenerational workforce • Digital transformation
↓	
Enabling Conditions	Leadership openness • Psychological safety • Mutual trust • Organisational support
↓	
Reciprocal Reverse Mentoring	Younger employees: digital knowledge, contemporary perspectives and emerging trends Senior leaders: strategic experience, institutional knowledge and business judgement
↓	
Intervening Mechanisms	Intergenerational trust • Leadership self-reflection • Knowledge integration
↓	
Leadership Transformation	Inclusive leadership • Participative decision-making • Openness to feedback • Adaptive leadership
↓	
Organisational Outcomes	Continuous learning • Employee empowerment • Intergenerational collaboration • Organisational adaptability

Note. Author's conceptualisation. The framework is proposed and has not been empirically validated.

Research Propositions

- **Proposition 1.** Leadership openness is positively associated with the effectiveness of reverse mentoring relationships in Indian organisations. When senior leaders demonstrate a willingness to learn from junior employees, reverse mentoring is more likely to develop into a meaningful learning relationship.
- **Proposition 2.** Psychological safety positively influences the relationship between reverse mentoring and upward knowledge sharing. Younger employees are more likely to communicate ideas and provide constructive feedback when they feel secure in their relationship with senior leaders.
- **Proposition 3.** Reciprocal knowledge exchange positively contributes to leadership adaptability. When senior leaders integrate younger employees' contemporary knowledge with their own managerial experience, they may become better prepared to respond to changing organisational environments.
- **Proposition 4.** Intergenerational trust mediates the relationship between reverse mentoring and inclusive leadership behaviour. Meaningful interactions can reduce age-related assumptions and encourage leaders to value diverse perspectives.
- **Proposition 5.** Organisational support strengthens the relationship between reverse mentoring and leadership transformation. Formal recognition, appropriate mentor selection, HR facilitation and management commitment can improve the continuity and effectiveness of reverse mentoring initiatives.
- **Proposition 6.** Reverse mentoring positively contributes to the leadership development and professional visibility of younger employees. By participating in senior-level learning relationships, younger employees gain opportunities to communicate, influence and develop their leadership capabilities.

Discussion

The proposed framework highlights an important shift in the way leadership development can be understood in Indian organisations. Traditionally, leadership development has been associated with

experience, designation, formal authority and upward career progression. Reverse mentoring introduces a different possibility by recognising that leadership learning can also occur through relationships in which junior employees guide senior managers.

This does not mean that experience has become less important. Rather, it suggests that experience alone may not be sufficient to address every contemporary organisational challenge. A senior executive may have spent several decades developing managerial expertise, but may still need to understand emerging digital practices, changing employee expectations and new forms of customer engagement. Similarly, younger employees may possess strong technological knowledge but may benefit from the strategic judgement and institutional experience of senior colleagues.

The Tata Steel example particularly illustrates the importance of this complementarity. Its two-way mentoring approach suggests that the relationship can create value for both generations rather than positioning one generation as the exclusive knowledge provider.

The proposed framework also highlights the significance of psychological safety. Reverse mentoring cannot be effective when younger employees are expected to share ideas but are not genuinely heard. A programme may be formally introduced, yet its contribution to leadership transformation will remain limited if senior leaders are unwilling to question established assumptions.

Another important consideration is the development of younger mentors. When young employees are invited to mentor senior executives, they receive an opportunity to engage with organisational leadership, understand strategic issues and develop professional confidence. Such experiences can strengthen their sense of contribution and provide early exposure to leadership responsibilities.

Therefore, reverse mentoring should not be treated simply as a training intervention for senior employees. It should be understood as a broader organisational learning practice that creates developmental opportunities across hierarchical levels. In the Indian context, this shift is particularly relevant because it allows organisations to retain the value of experience and seniority while creating greater space for participation, openness and knowledge sharing.

The central message is not that hierarchy should disappear, but that hierarchy should no longer determine who is allowed to contribute knowledge.

Managerial Implications for Indian Organisations

- **Integrating Reverse Mentoring into Leadership Development**

Organisations should consider including reverse mentoring as a component of leadership development programmes rather than restricting it to isolated digital training initiatives. Senior managers can be paired with younger employees who possess expertise in relevant areas such as digital technologies, AI, customer experience, communication and emerging business practices.

- **Creating a Culture of Mutual Respect**

The success of reverse mentoring depends on how participants perceive their roles. Senior leaders should not feel that participating in reverse mentoring diminishes their authority. Similarly, younger employees should not feel that their role is limited to providing technical assistance. Both participants must recognise that learning is reciprocal.

- **Encouraging Participation Across Organisational Levels**

Reverse mentoring should not be limited to CXOs. Middle-level managers, department heads and functional leaders can also benefit from interaction with younger employees. This can gradually encourage a wider culture of upward knowledge sharing.

- **Recognising Younger Mentors**

Organisations should acknowledge the contribution of younger employees who participate in reverse mentoring. Their contribution may be recognised through performance discussions, leadership development opportunities, internal appreciation and exposure to strategic projects. This helps ensure that mentoring responsibilities do not become an additional burden without professional recognition.

- **Evaluating Outcomes Beyond Programme Completion**

The effectiveness of reverse mentoring should not be measured only by the number of mentoring sessions conducted. Organisations may examine changes in leadership openness, digital confidence, upward communication, employee perceptions, cross-generational collaboration and the application of learning in managerial decisions.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

The present paper is conceptual and is based on secondary literature and publicly available organisational examples. It does not provide empirical evidence establishing a causal relationship between reverse mentoring and leadership transformation. The selected cases also differ in their organisational contexts and programme designs. Therefore, they should be interpreted as illustrative examples rather than directly comparable interventions.

Future researchers can empirically examine the proposed framework across Indian organisations operating in different sectors, including IT, banking, manufacturing, healthcare, education and family-owned businesses. A quantitative study could examine the relationship between leadership openness, psychological safety, reciprocal knowledge sharing and inclusive leadership.

Qualitative interviews with senior executives and younger mentors could provide deeper insights into the experiences, challenges and expectations associated with reverse mentoring. Longitudinal research may also help establish whether participation in reverse mentoring produces sustained changes in leadership behaviour.

Further research could explore whether organisational ownership, employee age diversity, organisational size and hierarchical culture influence the effectiveness of reverse mentoring. An especially promising direction is to examine reverse mentoring in the context of artificial intelligence, where younger employees may possess greater familiarity with emerging tools while senior leaders contribute organisational judgement, strategic understanding and ethical perspectives.

Conclusion

Reverse mentoring represents an important opportunity to reconsider traditional approaches to leadership development in Indian organisations. In a workplace where technological change, intergenerational diversity and evolving employee expectations are becoming increasingly significant, organisations cannot afford to treat knowledge as something that flows only from senior to junior employees.

The Indian organisational examples discussed in this paper demonstrate that younger employees can make meaningful contributions to the learning of senior leaders. The experience of Tata Steel, in particular, illustrates the potential of reciprocal mentoring in combining technological knowledge with managerial experience.

However, the real significance of reverse mentoring lies beyond digital learning. Its greater potential is in encouraging senior leaders to listen, question assumptions and recognise knowledge that exists at different levels of the organisation. The proposed conceptual framework suggests that leadership transformation can emerge when reverse mentoring is supported by leadership openness, psychological safety, mutual trust and organisational commitment.

It also highlights that younger employees should not be viewed merely as recipients of organisational knowledge. They can be active contributors to leadership learning and organisational development. Ultimately, the future of leadership may depend less on who occupies the highest position and more on who is willing to learn, adapt and create opportunities for others to contribute.

Beyond hierarchy, leadership becomes a shared learning journey.

References

1. Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1978). Organizational learning: A theory of action perspective. Addison-Wesley.
2. Blau, P. M. (1964). Exchange and power in social life. John Wiley & Sons.
3. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999
4. Gupta, P., Steward, M. D., Narus, J. A., & Seshadri, D. V. R. (2020). Two-way mentoring: How employees across generations can learn from one another in a firm. Asian Management Insights, 7(2).
5. Gupta, P., Steward, M., Narus, J., & Seshadri, D. V. R. (2021). Pursuing digital marketing and sales transformation in an emerging market: Lessons from India's Tata Steel. Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers, 46(4), 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/02560909211054700
6. Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

7. Kram, K. E. (1985). Mentoring at work: Developmental relationships in organizational life. Scott, Foresman.

8. Marcinkus Murphy, W. (2012). Reverse mentoring at work: Fostering cross-generational learning and developing millennial leaders. Human Resource Management, 51(4), 549–573. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21489

9. Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. Organization Science, 5(1), 14–37. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.5.1.14

10. Rao, K., Karve, S., & Gupta, K. (2022). Conceptual model of reverse mentoring. Indian Journal of Training and Development, 52(4).

Selected Organisational and Practitioner Sources

11. Gupta, P., Steward, M. D., Narus, J. A., & Seshadri, D. V. R. (2020). Two-way mentoring: How employees across generations can learn from one another in a firm. Asian Management Insights. https://cmp.smu.edu.sg/ami/issues/volume-07-issue-2/industry-watch/two-way-mentoring-how-employees-can-learn-one-another

12. Gupta, P., Steward, M., Narus, J., & Seshadri, D. V. R. (2021). Pursuing digital marketing and sales transformation in an emerging market: Lessons from India's Tata Steel. Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers, 46(4), 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/02560909211054700

Additional sources for Indian organisational case illustrations

13. Ganguly, D. (2012, April 3). Why youngsters at Bharti Airtel have been called upon to mentor their CXOs. The Economic Times. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/why-youngsters-at-bharti-airtel-have-been-called-upon-to-mentor-their-cxos/articleshow/12459553.cms

14. Kashyap, K. (2022, June 27). How Max Life Insurance is bridging the generational gap in staff. HRKatha. https://www.hrkatha.com/features/how-max-life-insurance-is-bridging-the-generational-gap-in-staff/

15. Sangameshwaran, P. (2013, June 14). Reverse mentoring: When juniors know more than the boss. Business Standard. https://www.business-standard.com/article/management/reverse-mentoring-when-juniors-know-more-than-the-boss-104033001002_1.html

16. Shankar, K. (2013, January 24). Reverse mentoring. Business Standard. https://www.business-standard.com/article/management/reverse-mentoring-112090300058_1.html.

