International Journal of Education, Modern Management, Applied Science & Social Science (IJEMMASSS)
An International Quarterly bi-lingual Multidisciplinary Double Blind Open Access Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal
ISSN :2581-9925, Impact Factor (Cosmos: 7.555 & I2OR: 5.105), Volume 08, No. 02(II), April- June, 2026, pp. 223-229

AI-driven Approaches in Theoretical and Applied Physics: A Comprehensive Study

Dr. Anil Kumar[1] | Sh. Gajendra Kumar Tardia[2*]

[1]Assistant Professor in Physics, Government Bangur College, Didwana, Rajasthan, India.
[2]Assistant Professor in Physics, Veer Viramdev Government PG College, Jalore, Rajasthan, India.

[*]Corresponding Author: gktardia@gmail.com

Citation: Kumar, A. & Tardia, G. (2026). AI-driven Approaches in Theoretical and Applied Physics: A Comprehensive Study. International Journal of Education, Modern Management, Applied Science & Social Science, 08(02(II)), 223–229. https://doi.org/10.62823/IJEMMASSS/8.2(II).9154

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative paradigm in modern physics, fundamentally changing the way researchers model complex systems, analyze experimental data, and discover new physical laws. The convergence of machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and physics-informed computational methods has significantly accelerated research across theoretical and applied physics (Karniadakis et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023). Unlike traditional computational techniques that often require extensive numerical simulations and handcrafted models, AI-based approaches learn complex relationships directly from data while increasingly incorporating physical constraints to improve accuracy and interpretability (Willard et al., 2022). Recent advances in Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs), neural differential equations, graph neural networks, symbolic regression, and generative AI have enabled efficient solutions to challenging problems in quantum mechanics, high-energy physics, cosmology, materials science, climate modeling, and computational physics (Raissi et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2018; Bronstein et al., 2021; Cranmer et al., 2020). AI has also enhanced experimental automation by optimizing instrument control, accelerating data processing, and supporting autonomous scientific discovery (King et al., 2009). Despite these remarkable achievements, several challenges remain, including limited data availability, model interpretability, physical consistency, computational cost, and the generalization of AI models beyond training datasets (Rudin, 2019; Willard et al., 2022). This review provides a comprehensive overview of AI methodologies applied to physics, highlighting their theoretical foundations, practical applications, current limitations, and emerging research directions. The paper concludes by emphasizing the growing importance of hybrid AI-physics models, explainable artificial intelligence, quantum machine learning, scientific foundation models, and autonomous laboratories as the next generation of intelligent scientific research systems.

***Keywords**: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Physics-Informed Neural Networks, Scientific Machine Learning, Quantum Physics, Computational Physics, Deep Learning, Explainable AI.*

Introduction

Physics has historically relied on analytical theories, mathematical modeling, and numerical simulations to explain natural phenomena. Classical approaches based on first principles, differential equations, and computational methods have successfully described systems ranging from atomic interactions to cosmological evolution. However, the rapid growth of experimental data and the increasing complexity of modern physical systems have created significant computational challenges. Large-scale experiments such as the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO), advanced synchrotron facilities, astronomical sky surveys, and high-resolution climate observations generate petabytes of data annually. Extracting meaningful scientific knowledge from these datasets using conventional computational approaches has become increasingly difficult (Karniadakis et al., 2021; Reichstein et al., 2019). Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning (ML), has emerged as a powerful computational paradigm capable of addressing these challenges. Unlike traditional numerical algorithms that explicitly encode physical equations, machine learning algorithms identify hidden patterns directly from observational or simulated data. Deep neural networks have demonstrated exceptional capabilities in approximation, classification, optimization, and prediction across diverse scientific disciplines. Their ability to model highly nonlinear relationships has opened new opportunities for solving previously intractable problems in theoretical and experimental physics (Goodfellow et al., 2016; LeCun et al., 2015). The integration of AI into physics represents a transition from purely model-driven science toward a hybrid framework that combines physical principles with data-driven learning. This emerging discipline, often referred to as Scientific Machine Learning (SciML), incorporates physical constraints into machine learning models, thereby improving prediction accuracy while preserving consistency with established scientific laws (Karniadakis et al., 2021; Perdikaris et al., 2021).

Foundations of Artificial Intelligence in Physics

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a cornerstone of modern computational physics by enabling data-driven modeling, efficient numerical computation, and scientific discovery. Unlike traditional computational methods that rely exclusively on analytical equations and numerical solvers, AI combines statistical learning with computational optimization to model highly complex physical systems. The integration of AI into physics has given rise to Scientific Machine Learning (SciML), an interdisciplinary field that combines physical laws with advanced machine learning algorithms to improve predictive performance while maintaining physical consistency (Karniadakis et al., 2021; Perdikaris et al., 2021). Modern AI in physics encompasses supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, deep learning, scientific machine learning, neural differential equations, symbolic regression, and generative AI. These methodologies provide complementary tools for solving inverse problems, accelerating simulations, optimizing experiments, and discovering new physical relationships.

- **Machine Learning Paradigms**

Machine learning algorithms are generally classified into three categories: supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and reinforcement learning (Bishop, 2006; Shalev-Shwartz & Ben-David, 2014).

  - Supervised learning
  - Unsupervised learning
  - Reinforcement learning

AI in Theoretical Physics

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed theoretical physics by providing powerful computational tools capable of addressing highly complex mathematical problems that are often intractable using conventional numerical methods. Many theoretical physics problems involve solving high-dimensional differential equations, nonlinear optimization, inverse problems, and stochastic systems. Traditional computational techniques, while mathematically rigorous, frequently require enormous computational resources and long simulation times. AI-based methods have emerged as efficient alternatives that not only accelerate computations but also reveal hidden patterns and physical relationships within complex datasets. Consequently, AI is increasingly regarded as a complementary tool that augments theoretical modeling rather than replacing established physical theories (Willard et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023).

AI in Applied Physics

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed applied physics by enabling rapid analysis, optimization, and prediction across numerous scientific and engineering disciplines. Traditional computational methods in applied physics often require solving complex differential equations, performing extensive numerical simulations, and conducting costly laboratory experiments. AI techniques, particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), have significantly reduced computational complexity while improving prediction accuracy and experimental efficiency. These advances have accelerated research in materials science, renewable energy, climate physics, medical physics, experimental physics, and computational physics, making AI an indispensable tool for modern scientific innovation (Willard et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023).

- **Materials Science**

The discovery and optimization of advanced materials have traditionally relied on experimental trial-and-error approaches combined with computational methods such as density functional theory (DFT). Although highly accurate, these methods are computationally intensive and time-consuming when screening thousands of candidate materials. AI has revolutionized materials science by enabling rapid prediction of material properties directly from chemical composition and crystal structure (Butler et al., 2018). Machine learning algorithms can accurately predict electronic band gaps, thermal conductivity, elastic modulus, magnetic behavior, and chemical stability. Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), which naturally represent atomic structures as graphs, have demonstrated remarkable success in modeling crystalline materials and molecular systems. Deep learning models trained on large materials databases significantly reduce the need for expensive quantum mechanical calculations while maintaining high predictive accuracy (Xie & Grossman, 2018; Butler et al., 2018).Recent developments have further accelerated the discovery of superconductors, battery materials, catalysts, and semiconductor compounds. AI-assisted materials design has become increasingly important in clean energy technologies, including lithium-ion batteries, hydrogen storage materials, and next-generation photovoltaic devices (Merchant et al., 2023).

- **Renewable Energy and Solar Physics**

Artificial intelligence has become a critical component of renewable energy research, particularly in solar and wind energy systems. Machine learning models improve the prediction, optimization, and operational efficiency of renewable energy technologies by analyzing large volumes of meteorological and operational data.

In photovoltaic (PV) systems, AI techniques are widely used for:

- Solar irradiance forecasting
- Power output prediction
- Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT)
- Fault diagnosis
- Dust accumulation assessment
- Performance degradation analysis

For Concentrated Solar Power (CSP) plants, AI optimizes heliostat tracking, receiver temperature control, thermal energy storage, and overall plant efficiency. Reinforcement learning algorithms continuously adjust operational parameters to maximize energy production under changing weather conditions.

AI also supports hybrid renewable energy systems by optimizing the integration of solar, wind, and battery storage while improving smart-grid stability and demand forecasting. These capabilities contribute significantly to improving energy efficiency, reducing operational costs, and enhancing the reliability of sustainable energy systems.

AI for Scientific Discovery

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has evolved beyond its traditional role as a computational tool and is increasingly recognized as a catalyst for scientific discovery. Unlike conventional numerical methods that primarily solve predefined equations, AI has the capability to identify hidden patterns, infer complex relationships, generate hypotheses, and assist researchers in discovering previously unknown physical principles. This paradigm shift has established AI as an important collaborator in scientific research, complementing human expertise by accelerating data analysis and reducing the time required for theoretical and experimental investigations (Cranmer et al., 2020; King et al., 2009).

Challenges and Limitations

Despite the remarkable progress of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in modern physics, several scientific and technical challenges continue to limit its widespread adoption. While AI has demonstrated exceptional capabilities in prediction, optimization, and data analysis, its application to physics requires careful consideration of interpretability, reliability, computational efficiency, and adherence to established physical principles. Addressing these challenges is essential for developing trustworthy AI systems that can support scientific discovery and engineering applications (Rudin, 2019; Willard et al., 2022).

- **Data Availability and Quality**

One of the primary challenges in applying AI to physics is the limited availability of high-quality labeled datasets. Unlike computer vision or natural language processing, where millions of annotated samples are readily available, many areas of physics rely on expensive experiments, complex simulations, or rare observational events. High-energy particle collisions, gravitational-wave detections, fusion plasma experiments, and quantum measurements often produce limited datasets that are insufficient for training large deep learning models.

- **Model Interpretability and Explainability**

Many state-of-the-art AI models, particularly deep neural networks, operate as "black boxes," making it difficult to understand how predictions are generated. In scientific research, accurate predictions alone are insufficient; researchers must also understand the underlying reasoning to validate consistency with established physical theories.

- **Generalization and Robustness**

AI models often perform well within the range of data used during training but may fail when applied to new physical systems or operating conditions. This limited generalization capability poses a significant challenge in physics, where models are expected to remain reliable under diverse experimental environments (Willard et al., 2022). For example, a neural network trained to predict material properties for one class of compounds may produce inaccurate results for chemically different materials. Similarly, climate prediction models trained using historical observations may struggle to accurately forecast unprecedented environmental conditions resulting from climate change. Improving model robustness through domain adaptation, uncertainty quantification, and hybrid physics-informed learning remains a major research objective.

Future Directions

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is expected to play an increasingly significant role in shaping the future of physics by enabling more accurate modeling, accelerating scientific discovery, and facilitating autonomous experimentation. As computational resources continue to expand and machine learning algorithms become more sophisticated, the integration of AI with theoretical, computational, and experimental physics is likely to transform the way scientific research is conducted. Future developments will focus not only on improving predictive performance but also on ensuring interpretability, reliability, and adherence to fundamental physical laws (Willard et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023).

- **Hybrid Physics-AI Models**

One of the most promising research directions is the development of hybrid models that combine first-principles physics with data-driven machine learning techniques. While conventional AI models excel at pattern recognition, they often fail to satisfy physical constraints such as conservation laws, symmetries, and governing differential equations. Hybrid approaches integrate established physical theories with neural networks, allowing models to learn efficiently while preserving scientific consistency (Willard et al., 2022). Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs), Deep Operator Networks (DeepONets), and Fourier Neural Operators (FNOs) represent important milestones toward this objective. Future research will further improve these models by incorporating uncertainty quantification, adaptive learning strategies, and multiscale physical processes to solve increasingly complex scientific problems (Raissi et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021).

- **Quantum Machine Learning**

The convergence of artificial intelligence and quantum computing is expected to create new opportunities for computational physics. Quantum Machine Learning (QML) combines quantum algorithms with machine learning methods to exploit quantum superposition and entanglement for solving computationally intensive problems. As quantum hardware matures, QML is anticipated to accelerate simulations in quantum chemistry, condensed matter physics, optimization, and molecular dynamics. Hybrid quantum-classical algorithms are likely to provide practical solutions during the transition toward fault-tolerant quantum computers, enabling researchers to address scientific problems beyond the capabilities of classical computing.

- **Autonomous Laboratories**

The concept of autonomous laboratories is rapidly emerging as a transformative approach to scientific research. These intelligent systems integrate robotics, automated instrumentation, machine learning, and real-time data analysis to conduct experiments with minimal human intervention (King et al., 2009).

Future autonomous laboratories will be capable of designing experiments, optimizing operational parameters, analyzing results, and determining subsequent experimental steps through reinforcement learning and Bayesian optimization. Such systems are expected to significantly accelerate materials discovery, semiconductor development, renewable energy research, and experimental physics by reducing both experimental time and operational costs.

- **Scientific Foundation Models and Generative AI**

Recent advances in generative AI have introduced scientific foundation models capable of processing text, images, equations, simulation outputs, and experimental measurements within a unified framework. Large Language Models (LLMs) and multimodal transformer architectures are increasingly supporting researchers by summarizing literature, generating computer code, assisting with mathematical derivations, and proposing scientific hypotheses (OpenAI, 2023; Vaswani et al., 2017).

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in modern physics, fundamentally changing the way researchers model complex systems, analyze large-scale datasets, and accelerate scientific discovery. The integration of machine learning, deep learning, and scientific machine learning with traditional physics has enabled significant advances across both theoretical and applied domains. From quantum many-body systems and high-energy particle physics to cosmology, materials science, renewable energy, climate modeling, and computational physics, AI has demonstrated its ability to improve predictive accuracy, reduce computational costs, and optimize experimental processes (Karniadakis et al., 2021; Willard et al., 2022). This review has highlighted the major AI methodologies that are shaping contemporary physics, including supervised and unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs), neural differential equations, graph neural networks, symbolic regression, and generative AI. These techniques have expanded the capabilities of conventional computational methods by enabling efficient solutions to complex differential equations, accelerating numerical simulations, discovering hidden patterns in experimental data, and assisting in the formulation of new scientific hypotheses. The emergence of Scientific Machine Learning (SciML) represents a significant step toward integrating physical laws directly into data-driven models, thereby improving both predictive performance and physical consistency (Perdikaris et al., 2021; Karniadakis et al., 2021).

Despite these remarkable achievements, several challenges remain before AI can be fully integrated into scientific research. Limited availability of high-quality data, the interpretability of deep learning models, computational complexity, uncertainty quantification, reproducibility, and adherence to fundamental physical principles continue to require careful attention. The growing emphasis on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI), trustworthy machine learning, and hybrid physics-AI frameworks reflects the scientific community's commitment to developing AI systems that are transparent, reliable, and scientifically meaningful (Rudin, 2019; Willard et al., 2022). Looking ahead, the future of AI in physics is expected to be driven by the convergence of artificial intelligence, quantum computing, high-performance computing, robotics, and autonomous experimentation. Advances in quantum machine learning, scientific foundation models, digital twins, autonomous laboratories, and multiscale simulation techniques will further expand the role of AI in both theoretical investigations and practical engineering applications (King et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2023).. As AI algorithms become more accurate, interpretable, and physically informed, they will continue to reshape scientific research by enabling faster simulations, more efficient experimentation, and deeper insights into the fundamental laws governing nature. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration among physicists, computer scientists, mathematicians, and engineers will be essential for realizing the full potential of AI as a trustworthy partner in scientific discovery and technological innovation.

References

1. Bishop, C. M. (2006). Pattern recognition and machine learning. Springer.
2. Butler, K. T., Davies, D. W., Cartwright, H., Isayev, O., & Walsh, A. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. Nature, 559(7715), 547–555. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0337-2
3. Carleo, G., & Troyer, M. (2017). Solving the quantum many-body problem with artificial neural networks. Science, 355(6325), 602–606. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag2302

4. Carrasquilla, J., & Melko, R. G. (2017). Machine learning phases of matter. Nature Physics, 13(5), 431–434. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4035

5. Chen, R. T. Q., Rubanova, Y., Bettencourt, J., & Duvenaud, D. (2018). Neural ordinary differential equations. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 31, 6571–6583.

6. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). Deep learning. MIT Press.

7. Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science, 349(6245), 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8415

8. Karniadakis, G. E., Kevrekidis, I. G., Lu, L., Perdikaris, P., Wang, S., & Yang, L. (2021). Physics-informed machine learning. Nature Reviews Physics, 3(6), 422–440. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00314-5

9. King, R. D., Rowland, J., Oliver, S. G., Young, M., Aubrey, W., Byrne, E., Liakata, M., Markham, M., Pir, P., Soldatova, L. N., Sparkes, A., Whelan, K. E., & Clare, A. (2009). The automation of science. Science, 324(5923), 85–89. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165620

10. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539

11. Li, Z., Kovachki, N., Azizzadenesheli, K., Liu, B., Bhattacharya, K., Stuart, A., & Anandkumar, A. (2021). Fourier neural operator for parametric partial differential equations. International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).

12. L.u, L., Jin, P., Pang, G., Zhang, Z., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2021). Learning nonlinear operators via DeepONet based on the universal approximation theorem of operators. ..Nature Machine Intelligence, 3(3), 218–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-021-00302-5

13. Merchant, A., Batzner, S., Schoenholz, S. S., Aykol, M., Cheon, G., & Cubuk, E. D. (2023). Scaling deep learning for materials discovery. Nature, 624(7990), 80–85. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06735-9

14. Raissi, M., Perdikaris, P., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2019). Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. Journal of Computational Physics, 378, 686–707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.10.045

15. Radovic, A., Williams, M., Rousseau, D., Kagan, M., Bonacorsi, D., Himmel, A., Aurisano, A., Terao, K., & Wongjirad, T. (2018). Machine learning at the energy and intensity frontiers of particle physics. Nature, 560(7716), 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0361-2

16. Rudin, C. (2019). Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high-stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(5), 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x

17. Silver, D., Huang, A., Maddison, C. J., Guez, A., Sifre, L., van den Driessche, G., Schrittwieser, J., Antonoglou, I., Panneershelvam, V., Lanctot, M., Dieleman, S., Grewe, D., Nham, J., Kalchbrenner, N., Sutskever, I., Lillicrap, T., Leach, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., Graepel, T., & Hassabis, D. (2016). Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. Nature, 529(7587), 484–489. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16961

18. Wang, S., Sankaran, S., & Perdikaris, P. (2021). Respecting causality is all you need for training physics-informed neural networks. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 421, 116813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2023.116813

19. Wetzel, S. J. (2017). Unsupervised learning of phase transitions: From principal component analysis to variational autoencoders. Physical Review E, 96(2), 022140. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.022140

20. Abadi, M., Agarwal, A., Barham, P., Brevdo, E., Chen, Z., Citro, C., Corrado, G. S., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., Ghemawat, S., Goodfellow, I., Harp, A., Irving, G., Isard, M., Jia, Y., Jozefowicz, R., Kaiser, Ł., Kudlur, M., … Zheng, X. (2016). TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems. https://www.tensorflow.org/

21. Bronstein, M. M., Bruna, J., Cohen, T., & Veličković, P. (2021). Geometric deep learning: Grids, groups, graphs, geodesics, and gauges. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.13478

22. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 24–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z
23. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 770–778. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.90
24. Hinton, G. E., Osindero, S., & Teh, Y.-W. (2006). A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets. Neural Computation, 18(7), 1527–1554. https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.2006.18.7.1527
25. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature, 596(7873), 583–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2
26. Kovachki, N., Li, Z., Azizzadenesheli, K., Liu, B., Bhattacharya, K., Stuart, A., & Anandkumar, A. (2023). Neural operator: Learning maps between function spaces. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 24(89), 1–97.
27. Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 25, 1097–1105.
28. Lecun, Y. (2022). A path toward autonomous machine intelligence. OpenReview. https://openreview.net/
29. Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Graves, A., Antonoglou, I., Wierstra, D., & Riedmiller, M. (2015). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature, 518(7540), 529–533. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14236
30. OpenAI. (2023). GPT-4 technical report. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774
31. Xie, T., & Grossman, J. C. (2018). Crystal graph convolutional neural networks for accurate and interpretable prediction of material properties. Physical Review Letters, 120(14), 145301. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.145301
32. Ying, Z., You, J., Morris, C., Ren, X., Hamilton, W., & Leskovec, J. (2018). Hierarchical graph representation learning with differentiable pooling. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 31, 4800–4810
33. Zhang, Z., Lu, L., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2023). Machine learning and scientific computing: Recent advances and future perspectives. Nature Computational Science, 3(8), 641–654.

❑❑❑