

OVERCOMING INNOVATION BARRIERS IN JODHPUR'S WOODEN HANDCRAFTED FURNITURE EXPORT SECTOR: CHALLENGES AND PATHWAYS

Aditya Dinesh*
Deepak Bhandari**
Rachana Dinesh***

ABSTRACT

Jodhpur, Rajasthan—a key hub of India's wooden handicraft furniture industry—has gained international acclaim for its intricate, culturally rooted designs and craftsmanship. Despite strong export potential, the sector faces significant innovation-related challenges. This paper examines the barriers preventing local producers from modernizing product design, production processes, marketing, and sustainability practices. Drawing on qualitative interviews with 60 stakeholders including artisans, entrepreneurs, cluster managers, and policymakers, alongside field observations and secondary data on exports, we identify key constraints: limited financing, resistance to mechanization, low digital literacy, fragmented institutional support, and lack of sustainability awareness. We also highlight "hidden innovations" practiced informally, such as material repurposing, blend designs, and eco-friendly finishes. Based on findings, we propose a holistic framework of interventions: local design incubators with e-commerce training, cluster-level showrooms, innovation funding, coordinated policy initiatives, youth and women empowerment, and sustainability certifications. By grounding innovation in cultural authenticity and adapting to global market demands, Jodhpur's wooden furniture sector can transform into a resilient, sustainable, and competitive export cluster. The paper contributes insights into how traditional artisanal sectors in emerging economies can successfully integrate modern entrepreneurial innovation without losing their heritage identity.

KEYWORDS: Innovation Barriers, Export Sector, Sustainability, Digital Literacy, Holistic Framework.

Introduction

India's wooden furniture industry comprises a significant segment of the country's traditional craft economy, employing over 3.5 lakh people and generating approximately USD 5 billion annually. A major share of these exports stems from Rajasthan, particularly Jodhpur—often called the nation's wooden furniture capital—renowned for its intricate hand-carving, vintage finishes, and iconographic motifs. (Source: Export Promotion Council for Handicrafts)

Despite its cultural cachet and growing international demand, Jodhpur's export cluster grapples with structural barriers that impede innovation. Success continues to hinge on manual labour, informal business practices, rudimentary designs, and intermediated exports, limiting scalability, quality control, and adaptation to global market trends. Innovation—defined broadly to include product redesign, process improvements, digital marketing, and sustainable practices—remains limited in formal uptake.

* Maulana Azad University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India.
** Maulana Azad University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India.
*** Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India.

This paper investigates **why** innovation has not taken its root in Jodhpur's wooden furniture export sector and explores how **innovation-led entrepreneurship** could revitalize the industry. Our research questions are:

- What are the perceptions of innovation among entrepreneurs and artisans?
- What barriers—financial, institutional, cultural, or technological—prevent innovation adoption?
- What informal innovation exists despite resource constraints?
- What strategies can enable sustainable, export-oriented innovation while preserving cultural merit?

Our methodology combines qualitative fieldwork—including semi-structured interviews and observational visits—with secondary data analysis (export statistics, government schemes, and industry reports). This grounded approach helps surface both structural impediments and under-recognized innovation practices. The findings underscore the need for a culturally contextual, ecosystem-wide modernization strategy to help Jodhpur emerge as a resilient and eco-conscious export center.

Literature Review

• Innovation in Traditional Sectors

Traditional industries often resist high-tech definitions of innovation, but scholars such as Bessant and Tidd (2015) argue for recognizing **incremental and adaptive innovations**, particularly in product design and process efficiency. Ray and Ray's (2011) concept of frugal innovation highlights how craft producers adapt readily available resources to create market value. UNIDO (2017) argues that innovation in artisan contexts is often design-led and experiential rather than technological.

• Entrepreneurship and Cultural Heritage

Entrepreneurial activity in craft-based sectors is characterized by micro to small enterprises, frequently informal and family-run (Kantor, 2005; Dana, 2007). These enterprises often depend on community-based networks and struggle to scale (Nair & Pandey, 2016). The challenge is to integrate traditional craft skills into business models capable of navigating global trade dynamics.

• Barriers to Innovation Adoption

Rogers' 1962 *Diffusion of Innovation* model explains the slow acceptance of new technologies due to factors like complexity and cultural norms. In craft industries, Mehta and Patel (2018) note that artisans view mechanization as a threat to authenticity. Kraemer-Mbula and Wamae (2010) highlight how hidden innovators in informal sectors lack access to credit, subsidies, or R&D support.

• Institutional Ecosystems

Regional innovation systems—comprising firms, research institutes, markets, and policy bodies—are essential (Lundvall, 1992; Edquist, 1997). In India, rural MSMEs, especially those rooted in traditional crafts, remain poorly integrated into national innovation strategies (Dutz et al., 2007). Chattopadhyay and Sarkar (2020) critique the top-down, fragmented approach to cluster development, especially for heritage-based clusters.

• Sustainability and Export Competitiveness

Global furniture markets increasingly demand ethical and sustainable sourcing (Schroeder et al., 2013; Prajogo & Sohal, 2006). FSC-certified wood, zero-VOC finishes, and circular product designs improve export potential. Yet Bhushan and Singh (2019) argue that artisans often treat sustainability as cost rather than opportunity.

• Research Contributions

While literature addresses handicraft innovation broadly, few studies dissect the unique constraints of wooden furniture clusters like Jodhpur. This paper addresses that gap by linking entrepreneurship, innovation adoption, and export sustainability in a craft-intensive regional ecosystem.

Methodology

Research Design

Our exploratory, qualitative study is grounded in interpretivist paradigms and informed by Innovation Systems and the Resource-Based View (RBV) theories. We used purposive sampling to select 60 key informants: 50 entrepreneurs/exporters, 5 master artisans, 3 policy/cluster officials, and 2 NGO representatives.

Data Collection

- **Semi-structured interviews** (~45–60 minutes) focused on experiences with innovation, barriers, and export dynamics.
- **Field observations** in five diversified production units in Basni, Mandore, Salawas, and Sangariya—covering manual and semi-mechanized setups.
- **Secondary sources**—export data from DGFT and EPCH, MSME scheme documentation, and relevant academic and industry literature.

Analysis

Thematic coding using NVivo and manual methods categorized findings into innovation conceptions, financial and institutional barriers, informal innovation examples, and market readiness.

Ethical Considerations

Consent was obtained; confidentiality was guaranteed; sensitive business information was anonymized. All interviews were voluntary and aligned with social science research ethics.

Findings

Innovation Perceptions

- Entrepreneurs define innovation via product styling, packaging, and limited finishing techniques.
- Artisans express caution, fearing mechanization will erode the handmade heritage.
- Nevertheless, mindset diversity exists—while elders are cautious, younger entrepreneurs push for digital presence and trend alignment.

Technological and Financial Barriers

- Financing is elusive—banks demand formal documentation, collateral, audited books.
- MSME schemes (e.g., CLCSS, CGTMSE) are underutilized due to various reasons.
- Machines cost INR 200–300k; artisans are reluctant due to uncertainty and maintenance concerns.
- Design and digital tools are seldom adopted, and partnerships with NID or IICD are nonexistent.

Institutional Weaknesses

- Government programs dissipate due to administrative fragmentation; artisan-centric awareness is low.
- The Export Promotion Council for Handicrafts caters to exporters.
- The Development Commissioner Handicrafts Schemes are also underutilized due to various reasons.
- Design institutes remain disconnected from grassroots workshop settings.

Market and Digital Deficits

- Few participate in global trade fairs or use digital sales tools.
- Over-reliance on intermediaries constrains and product differentiation.

Emerging Innovation Practices

- Subtle innovations: distressed finishes via natural agents, eco-friendly stains, reclaimed wood use, and Rajasthani-Minimalist style fusion.
- These innovations are local, adaptive, but lack scalability or formal recognition.

Sustainability Shortcomings

- Limited awareness of certifications (EUTR and other compliances).
- Environment friendly and natural finishing exist but remain informal and undocumented.

Discussion

The findings align with literature indicating that **hidden, informal innovation** exists in traditional craft sectors (Kraemer-Mbula & Wamae, 2010) but remains unsupported by formal systems. The technological deficit aligns with Diffusion of Innovation theory—perceived complexity and low relative advantage slow adoption. The reluctance to adopt mechanization reinforces texture fears common in craft traditions (Mehta & Patel, 2018).

From Resource Based View (RBV) perspective, Jodhpur's core intangible assets—the artisan skillset and cultural designs—require augmenting with **tangible innovation resources** to realize competitive productivity. Yet these remain lacking.

Institutionally, fragmented scheme execution and low cluster integration reflect earlier critiques (Chattopadhyay & Sarkar, 2020). Export performance continues to rely on intermediaries due to trust networks (Dana, 2007) but remains susceptible to middlemen's cost margins.

Digital inclusion remains a key growth lever—literature suggests e-commerce enables small artisans to bypass intermediaries (Ghosh & Bhattacharyya, 2020).

Sustainability demands create export opportunities; yet, Jodhpur's lag in leverageable eco-certification is a strategic weakness in evolving trade contexts (Schroeder et al., 2013).

This discussion reinforces the need for a **multi-pronged innovation ecosystem** integrating finance, design, digital tools, sustainability, and institutional coordination.

Recommendations

Design Hubs and Product Innovation

- Establish **cluster-based incubators** in partnership with NID/IICD to offer workshops, R&D support, and CAD design access, preserving handmade heritage while encouraging stylistic improvement.

Financial Access and Innovation Funds

- Simplify MSME schemes with dedicated facilitators.
- Develop a local “Innovation Fund” for design contests, machine acquisition, and pilot projects—supported via CSR or state grants.

Digital Skills and Market Integration

- Implement e-commerce and digital marketing training using Skill India modules.
- Build a Jodhpur virtual showroom, aggregating artisans under one platform to drive discovery and direct-to-consumer sales.

Institutional Strengthening

- Appoint cluster innovation coordinators to manage scheme access, market facilitation, and stakeholder collaboration.
- Incentivize joint initiatives with NID/IICD to embed designers in local workshops.

Sustainability and Certification

- Subsidize FSC visits and eco-certification.
- Create a “Jodhpur Heritage Wood” label for reclaimed wood products showcasing craft and sustainability.

Youth and Women Empowerment

- Promote youth fellowships for digital innovation and design research.
- Provide micro-enterprise grants to women artisans for packaging, documentation, and digital roles.

Conclusion

Jodhpur's wooden furniture export industry represents a compelling paradox: internationally celebrated yet facing systemic constraints on formal innovation. While tradition remains a hallmark asset, it inhibits scaling, sustainability, and adaptability.

This study demonstrates that **innovation remains hidden but alive**—rooted in informal adaptations and creative craftsmanship. To scale and sustain, this innovation needs systemic enablement. Key strategies include: bridging design institutes with workshops, simplifying access to finance, building digital sales capabilities, aggregating market access, institutional collaboration, and promoting eco-certification.

Successfully implementing these strategies requires preserving the cultural authenticity while shepherding a modern entrepreneurial transformation. With such a multi-domain intervention, Jodhpur could evolve from a regional artisanal center to a globally competitive export cluster rooted in innovation and heritage.

References

1. Bessant, J., & Tidd, J. (2015). *Innovation and entrepreneurship* (3rd ed.). Wiley.
2. Chattopadhyay, S., & Sarkar, S. (2020). Reassessing India's cluster development strategy: Lessons from artisan-based clusters. *Journal of Development Policy and Practice*, 5(1), 19–35.
3. Dana, L. P. (2007). *Handbook of research on ethnic minority entrepreneurship: A co-evolutionary view on resource management*. Edward Elgar.
4. Edquist, C. (1997). *Systems of innovation: Technologies, institutions and organizations*. Pinter.
5. Ghosh, M., & Bhattacharyya, A. (2020). Digital marketing in Indian handicraft sector: Scope, challenges and opportunities. *IIMB Management Review*, 32(3), 234–243.
6. Kantor, P. (2005). Determinants of entrepreneurship and small business growth in Indian cities. *Environment and Urbanization*, 17(2), 67–78.
7. Kraemer-Mbula, E., & Wamae, W. (2010). *Innovation and the development agenda*. OECD Publishing.
8. Lundvall, B.-Å. (1992). *National systems of innovation*.
9. Mehta, V., & Patel, A. (2018). Role of innovation in Indian furniture SMEs. *International Journal of Business and Economic Affairs*, 3(3), 127–135.
10. Nair, A., & Pandey, A. (2016). Entrepreneurship and handicrafts: Lessons from artisan clusters in India. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 23(4), 902–920.
11. Ray, S., & Ray, P. K. (2011). Product innovation for the people's car in an emerging economy. *Technovation*, 31(5), 216–227.
12. Rogers, E. M. (1962). *Diffusion of innovations*. Free Press.
13. Schroeder, J. E., Salzer-Mörling, M., & Askegaard, S. (2013). *Brand culture*. Routledge.
14. UNIDO. (2017). *The role of design, innovation and entrepreneurship in creative industries*.
15. (https://www.epch.in/sites/default/files/ecraftcil/issue86/pdf/3_MarketUpdate_WoodenFurniture.pdf).

