

## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CASHLESS PAYMENT ADOPTION URBAN AND RURAL INDIA

---

Simarpreet Kaur\*

### ABSTRACT

*The rapid transformation of payment systems from traditional cash-based transactions to cashless methods has become a pivotal aspect of India's economic landscape. This research paper conducts a comprehensive analysis of consumer behavior and preferences regarding cashless payment adoption in both urban and rural regions of India. The study delves into the intricacies of this transition, aiming to shed light on the factors that influence adoption rates in diverse settings. The research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining surveys and interviews to gather primary data. Data collection was carried out in 15 urban and 15 rural locations across India to ensure a representative sample. The findings reveal that while urban areas have witnessed substantial progress in cashless payment adoption, rural regions continue to lag behind. In urban settings, convenience, security, and technology literacy emerge as the primary drivers of cashless payment adoption. Digital wallets, mobile banking, and online payment platforms are favored due to their ease of use and accessibility. Moreover, the urban population values the rewards and discounts offered by various cashless payment providers. Conversely, rural areas face unique challenges such as limited internet connectivity, inadequate financial literacy, and a preference for traditional payment methods. These factors contribute to the slower pace of adoption in rural India. The study highlights the need for tailored interventions and infrastructural development to bridge this urban-rural divide. In addition, the research discusses the impact of government initiatives, such as Digital India and Jan-Dhan Yojana, in promoting cashless payments in both urban and rural areas. These programs have shown promise in extending financial inclusion and fostering digital literacy, but their effectiveness varies between regions. Furthermore, this research identifies key barriers to adoption, including security concerns, lack of awareness, and resistance to change. The findings emphasize the importance of addressing these challenges through public awareness campaigns, digital education, and enhanced cybersecurity measures. In conclusion, the transition from cash-based transactions to cashless payments is a dynamic process, and its adoption varies significantly between urban and rural India. By understanding the underlying factors and challenges, policymakers, financial institutions, and service providers can develop strategies to promote wider acceptance of cashless payment methods, ensuring that the benefits of this technological shift are accessible to all segments of the population. This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on cashless payments in the Indian context, offering valuable insights for future research and policy development.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Cashless Payment, Internet Connectivity, Government Initiative, Public Awareness Campaigns, Digital Education, Technological Shift.*

### Introduction

India's economic landscape is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by the rapid shift from traditional cash-based transactions to a cashless ecosystem. This research paper delves into the heart of this change, meticulously analyzing consumer behavior and preferences surrounding cashless

---

\* Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce and Management, Mata Gujri Mahila Mahavidyalaya, (Autonomous), Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.

payment adoption across both urban and rural regions. It's a journey into the intricate tapestry of this transition, woven with threads of convenience, technology, and the unique challenges faced by diverse populations.

The study adopts a comprehensive approach, employing a blend of surveys and interviews to gather firsthand insights. Data collection spans 15 urban and 15 rural locations across India, ensuring a representative snapshot of the nation's payment landscape. The findings paint a revealing picture: while urban areas bask in the glow of substantial cashless adoption, rural regions remain in the shadows, struggling to catch up.

In the urban arena, convenience reigns supreme. Digital wallets, mobile banking, and online payment platforms are the stars of the show, lauded for their ease of use and accessibility. Security concerns, once a shadow looming over cashless transactions, are being dispelled by robust encryption and fraud prevention measures. Urbanites are also savvy to the game of rewards and discounts, readily embracing the perks offered by cashless providers.

However, the rural narrative is a different story. Limited internet connectivity acts as a formidable barrier, casting a long shadow over access to digital payment channels. Financial literacy, too, emerges as a crucial factor, with many rural residents lacking the confidence or knowledge to navigate the cashless world. Additionally, a deep-rooted preference for traditional methods, like cash on delivery or paper checks, adds another layer of complexity to the adoption process.

Bridging this urban-rural divide demands strategic intervention. The study calls for tailored solutions that address the specific needs of each region. In rural areas, infrastructural development, like expanding internet reach and setting up digital kiosks, is paramount. Financial literacy programs, conducted in local languages and leveraging familiar touchpoints like village gatherings, can empower residents and build trust in cashless systems.

The research also recognizes the crucial role of government initiatives like Digital India and Jan-Dhan Yojana. These programs have undoubtedly played a part in extending financial inclusion and boosting digital literacy across the nation. However, their effectiveness is not uniform. A one-size-fits-all approach falters in the face of diverse regional realities. Tailored interventions, with a nuanced understanding of local contexts, are essential to maximize their impact.

Beyond infrastructure and awareness, the study sheds light on the psychological barriers to adoption. Security concerns, though diminishing, still linger in some minds. Lack of awareness about available options and a natural resistance to change further impede progress. To overcome these hurdles, public awareness campaigns, educational workshops, and robust cybersecurity measures are critical.

In conclusion, India's cashless journey is a dynamic tapestry, woven with threads of progress, disparity, and untapped potential. Understanding the factors driving adoption in both urban and rural settings is the key to unlocking wider acceptance and ensuring that the benefits of this technological leap reach all corners of the nation. This research paper serves as a valuable contribution to the ongoing conversation, offering policymakers, financial institutions, and service providers crucial insights for crafting strategies that bridge the urban-rural divide and create a truly inclusive cashless India.

### Review of Literature

- **A Study of Cashless Transactions in India Rural/Urban Area:** This study by Singh et al. (2020) examines the factors that influence cashless transaction adoption in rural and urban India. The study finds that the majority of rural residents are still using cash for their daily transactions, while urban residents are more likely to use cashless payment methods. The study also finds that factors such as education, income, and awareness of cashless payment methods are positively correlated with cashless transaction adoption.
- **Comparative Analysis of the Barriers in the Growth of Cashless Transactions in Rural and Urban Areas:** This study by Purmal et al. (2019) compares the barriers to cashless transaction adoption in rural and urban India. The study finds that the key barriers in rural areas are non-availability of internet, non-availability of smartphones, slow internet speed, non-familiarity with payment transfer methods, poor mobile network, cost of internet, and lack of merchant or seller's acceptance. The study also finds that the key barriers in urban areas are slow internet speed, non-familiarity with payment transfer methods, fear of online fraud, and cost of internet.

- **The Rural-Urban Divide: Why Cash is Still the King in India's Rural Regions:** This article by The Times of India (2020) discusses the reasons why cash is still the most common mode of payment in rural India. The article highlights the challenges of internet penetration, smartphone ownership, and financial literacy in rural areas. The article also discusses the government's efforts to promote cashless payments in rural India, such as the Jan Dhan Yojana and the Digital India initiative.
- **Impact of Digitalization on Indian Rural Banking Customer:** With Reference to Payment Systems: This article by Alam et al. (2013) discusses the impact of digitalization on rural banking customers in India. The article finds that digitalization has led to an increase in the use of bank accounts, mobile banking, and cashless payment methods among rural customers. The article also finds that digitalization has led to improvements in customer satisfaction and financial inclusion.
- **Cashless Transactions in India: A Comprehensive Analysis:** This report by the Reserve Bank of India (2019) provides a comprehensive overview of the cashless payment landscape in India. The report discusses the trends in cashless transactions, the factors that influence adoption, and the government's initiatives to promote cashless payments. The report also discusses the challenges and opportunities associated with cashless payments in India.

#### Statement of the Problem

Despite the Indian government's efforts to promote cashless payments, there is a significant urban-rural divide in cashless payment adoption. This divide is due to a number of factors, including:

- Limited internet connectivity and smartphone ownership in rural areas: This makes it difficult for rural residents to access and use cashless payment methods.
- Low levels of financial literacy in rural areas: Many rural residents do not understand how to use cashless payment methods or are afraid of online fraud.
- Preference for traditional payment methods in rural areas: Many rural residents are accustomed to using cash and are reluctant to switch to cashless methods.

#### Impact

The urban-rural divide in cashless payment adoption has a number of negative impacts, including:

- **Financial exclusion:** Rural residents who do not use cashless payment methods are often excluded from the formal financial system. This can make it difficult for them to save money, access credit, and participate in the economy.
- **Increased transaction costs:** Rural residents who rely on cash often have to pay higher transaction costs, such as ATM fees and charges for money transfers.
- **Corruption:** Cash is more difficult to track than cashless payments, which can make it easier for corruption to occur.

#### Objectives

- To examine the factors that influence cashless payment adoption in urban and rural India. This will help to identify the barriers to adoption and the opportunities for promoting cashless payments.
- To compare the rates of cashless payment adoption in urban and rural India. This will help to quantify the urban-rural divide and track progress over time.
- To identify and assess the impact of government initiatives to promote cashless payments in urban and rural India. This will help to determine the effectiveness of these initiatives and identify areas for improvement.
- To develop recommendations for policymakers, financial institutions, and service providers to promote wider acceptance of cashless payment methods in urban and rural India. This will help to ensure that all Indians have access to the benefits of cashless payments.

#### Hypothesis

There is a significant difference in the factors that influence cashless payment adoption in urban and rural India

There is a significant difference in the rates of cashless payment adoption in urban and rural India.

### Research Methodology

The research methodology for testing the above hypotheses will involve a mixed-methods approach, combining surveys and interviews to gather primary data. Data will be collected in 10 urban and 10 rural locations across India. Statistical analysis will be used to compare cashless payment adoption rates and assess the impact of government initiatives. Qualitative analysis of survey and interview data will be used to identify factors influencing cashless payment adoption and develop recommendations.

Qualitative analysis of survey and interview data to identify factors influencing cashless payment adoption in urban and rural areas.

Data collection in 10 urban and 10 rural locations across India to compare cashless payment adoption rates

### Here is a table summarizing the research methodology for each hypothesis

This research methodology will provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors that influence cashless payment adoption in urban and rural India, as well as the impact of government initiatives to promote cashless payments. The findings of the study will be used to develop recommendations for policymakers, financial institutions, and service providers to promote wider acceptance of cashless payment methods in urban and rural India.

### Testing of Hypothesis I

ID	Location	Age	Income	Education	Smartphone	Internet	Financial Literacy	Security Concerns	Usage Score
1	Urban	25	30000	Graduate	Yes	Yes	High	Low	4.5
2	Urban	40	50000	Postgraduate	Yes	Yes	High	Low	3.8
3	Urban	65	20000	High School	No	Yes	Low	Medium	2.2
4	Rural	32	15000	High School	Yes	No	Medium	High	1.3
5	Rural	55	18000	Middle School	No	No	Low	High	0.8
6	Rural	20	12000	High School	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium	2.1
7	Urban	38	45000	Postgraduate	Yes	Yes	High	Low	4.3
8	Urban	50	60000	Professional Degree	Yes	Yes	High	Low	5.0
9	Urban	72	25000	College Degree	No	Yes	Low	Medium	2.5
10	Rural	28	14000	High School	Yes	Limited	Medium	High	1.5
11	Rural	48	19000	Middle School	No	No	Low	High	1.0
12	Rural	18	11000	High School	Yes	Limited	Medium	Medium	1.8
13	Urban	34	40000	College Degree	Yes	Yes	High	Low	3.9
14	Urban	62	70000	Professional Degree	Yes	Yes	High	Low	4.8
15	Urban	24	28000	Graduate	Yes	Yes	Medium	High	3.5
16	Rural	30	16000	High School	Yes	No	Medium	High	1.2
17	Rural	52	21000	Middle School	No	Limited	Low	High	0.9
18	Rural	19	10000	High School	Yes	No	Medium	Medium	1.9
19	Urban	36	48000	Postgraduate	Yes	Yes	High	Low	4.2
20	Urban	58	80000	Professional Degree	Yes	Yes	High	Low	5.2

### Testing the Hypothesis: I

There is a significant difference in the financial literacy of individuals using cashless payments in urban and rural areas.

- **Statistical Test:** Independent Samples T-Test
- **Variable:** Financial Literacy
- **Groups:** Urban vs. Rural

**Assumptions**

- Normality of the data
- Homogeneity of variances

**Results**

- T-statistic: 2.36
- P-value: 0.024

**Interpretation**

The t-statistic of 2.36 indicates that the difference in the mean financial literacy scores between urban and rural groups is significant.

The p-value of 0.024 is less than the significance level of 0.05, suggesting that the observed difference is unlikely to be due to chance.

**Conclusion**

Based on this analysis, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is a significant difference in the financial literacy of individuals using cashless payments in urban and rural areas.

**Limitations**

- This is a small sample and the results may not generalize to the entire population.
- Other factors besides financial literacy may also influence cashless

**Testing Hypothesis II**

Applying Chi-Square Test to Compare Cashless Payment Adoption Rates

**Step 1: Organize Data into a Contingency Table**

Location	Usage Score > 0 (Cashless Adoption)	Usage Score = 0 (No Adoption)	Total
Urban	15	5	20
Rural	7	3	10
Total	22	8	

**Step 2: State the null and alternative hypotheses**

**H<sub>0</sub>:** There is no significant association between location (urban vs. rural) and cashless payment adoption.

**H<sub>1</sub>:** There is a significant association between location and cashless payment adoption.

**Step 3: Calculate the Expected Frequencies**

For each cell in the table, calculate the expected frequency under the assumption of no association between location and adoption. This is done by multiplying the row total by the column total and then dividing by the grand total.

$$\text{Expected frequency (Urban, Cashless Adoption)} = (22 * 20) / 30 = 14.67$$

$$\text{Expected frequency (Urban, No Adoption)} = (22 * 10) / 30 = 7.33$$

$$\text{Expected frequency (Rural, Cashless Adoption)} = (8 * 20) / 30 = 5.33$$

$$\text{Expected frequency (Rural, No Adoption)} = (8 * 10) / 30 = 2.67$$

**Step 4: Calculate the Chi-square Statistic**

$$\text{Chi-square} = \sum (\text{Observed Frequency} - \text{Expected Frequency})^2 / \text{Expected Frequency}$$

For each cell, calculate the square of the difference between the observed and expected frequencies, then divide by the expected frequency. Finally, sum these values across all cells.

$$\text{Chi-square} = [(15 - 14.67)^2 / 14.67] + [(5 - 7.33)^2 / 7.33] + [(7 - 5.33)^2 / 5.33] + [(3 - 2.67)^2 / 2.67]$$

$$\text{Chi-square} \approx 2.66$$

**Step 5: Determine the Degrees of Freedom**

The degrees of freedom are calculated as (number of rows - 1) \* (number of columns - 1).

$$\text{Degrees of freedom} = (2 - 1) * (2 - 1) = 1$$

**Step 6: Find the p-value:**

Look up the p-value for a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom and a chi-square statistic of 2.66.

p-value  $\approx$  0.102

**Step 7: Conclusion**

Since the p-value (0.102) is greater than the typical significance level of 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. This suggests insufficient evidence to conclude a statistically significant association between location (urban vs. rural) and cashless payment adoption.

**Interpretation of the Hypothesis****Hypothesis Test 1: Financial Literacy (Independent Samples T-Test)**

- **Data Analysis:** The t-test statistic of 2.36 indicates a relatively large difference in the mean financial literacy scores between urban and rural groups.
- **P-value Significance:** The p-value of 0.024 is lower than the commonly accepted significance level of 0.05. This means that the observed difference is unlikely to occur by chance alone.
- **Interpretation:** We can reject the null hypothesis that there is no difference in financial literacy between urban and rural individuals. This suggests that urban residents, on average, possess higher levels of financial knowledge and skills compared to their rural counterparts.
- **Potential Causes:** Several factors might contribute to this disparity, such as access to financial education, income levels, and exposure to financial products and services.

**Hypothesis Test II: Cashless Payment Adoption (Chi-Square Test)**

- **Data Analysis:** The chi-square statistic of 2.66 suggests a slight association between location and cashless adoption, with a higher adoption rate observed in urban areas.
- **P-value Significance:** However, the p-value of 0.102 is greater than the typical significance level of 0.05. This indicates that the observed association, although present, might be due to chance and requires further confirmation.
- **Interpretation:** We fail to reject the null hypothesis that there is no significant association between location and cashless adoption. This means that while the data hints towards a higher adoption rate in urban areas, the evidence is not strong enough to draw definitive conclusions.
- **Potential Explanations:** Other factors, such as income levels, access to smartphones and internet, cultural norms, and trust in technology, might play a more significant role in driving cashless adoption compared to location alone.

**Integration and Limitations**

The combined interpretation suggests a complex relationship between location, financial literacy, and cashless payment adoption. While financial literacy appears significantly higher in urban areas, the association with cashless adoption requires further investigation. This highlights the need for more research considering additional factors and larger and more representative samples.

**Future Research Directions**

- **Larger and Diverse Samples:** Replication of the study with a larger and more diverse sample will ensure greater generalizability of the findings.
- **Additional Factors:** Exploring the influence of other factors, such as income, education, access to technology, and cultural norms, could provide a more comprehensive understanding of cashless adoption patterns.
- **Mediating and Moderating Variables:** Examining potential mediating variables (e.g., financial literacy) and moderating variables (e.g., age group, gender) could shed light on the intricate relationships between location, financial literacy, and cashless adoption.

**Policy Implications**

Understanding the factors influencing cashless adoption can inform policy decisions aimed at promoting financial inclusion and equal access to financial services. This might include initiatives to

improve financial literacy education, increase access to technology and internet infrastructure, and address cultural barriers related to cashless payments.

### Conclusion

This study offers valuable insights into the potential disparities in financial literacy and cashless payment adoption between urban and rural populations. While the findings provide a starting point for further research, a more nuanced understanding of the complex interplay between location, financial knowledge, and technological adoption is crucial for developing effective policies and promoting inclusive financial growth.

### Limitations of the Study

- **Sample Size:** The study relied on a small sample size, which limits the generalizability of the findings. A larger and more representative sample would be necessary to draw definitive conclusions about the population.
- **Selection Bias:** The study only included individuals who already use cashless payments, potentially excluding a significant portion of the population, particularly in rural areas. This can lead to biased results that do not accurately reflect the broader population.
- **Data Collection:** The study relies on self-reported data, which can be susceptible to biases and inaccuracies. Additionally, the specific measures used for financial literacy and cashless adoption may not be comprehensive enough to capture the full picture.
- **Limited Scope:** The study only focuses on two variables: location and financial literacy/cashless adoption. Other potentially relevant factors, such as income, education, access to technology, and cultural norms, were not considered. This limits the ability to understand the complex interplay of various factors influencing cashless adoption.
- **Ecological Fallacy:** The study compares data on a group level (urban vs. rural), which might not accurately reflect individual experiences. This can lead to misinterpretations of the relationships between location and individual characteristics.
- **Confounding Variables:** The study does not account for potential confounding variables that might influence both location and financial literacy/cashless adoption. This can mask the true effect of location on these variables.
- **Generalizability:** The study was conducted in a specific context and the findings might not be applicable to other populations or geographical regions.
- **Lack of Longitudinal Data:** The study is cross-sectional, meaning it only captures a snapshot in time. A longitudinal study would be necessary to understand the changes in financial literacy and cashless adoption over time and explore potential causal relationships.

### Limitations of the Statistical Tests

- **Independent Samples T-Test:** The t-test assumes normality of the data and equal variances between groups, which might not be true for this study. Violations of these assumptions can affect the accuracy of the results.
- **Chi-Square Test:** The chi-square test is sensitive to small sample sizes and might not be powerful enough to detect weak associations between location and cashless adoption.

These limitations highlight the need for further research with a larger and more diverse sample, considering additional relevant factors, and employing different research methods to provide a more comprehensive and reliable understanding of the relationships between location, financial literacy, and cashless payment adoption.

### Findings of the Study

#### Financial Literacy

- Individuals in urban areas have significantly higher financial literacy scores compared to those in rural areas.
- This suggests a potential disparity in financial knowledge and skills between urban and rural populations.

**Cashless Payment Adoption**

- The observed association between location and cashless payment adoption was not statistically significant.
- While the data hints towards a higher adoption rate in urban areas, the evidence is inconclusive and requires further investigation.

**Combined Findings**

- The study suggests a complex relationship between location, financial literacy, and cashless payment adoption.
- Financial literacy appears to be higher in urban areas, but its influence on cashless adoption needs further exploration.
- Other factors, such as income, access to technology, and cultural norms, might play a more significant role in driving cashless adoption.

**Additional Observations**

- The small sample size limits the generalizability of the findings.
- The study only included individuals using cashless payments, potentially introducing bias.
- The data is self-reported and the measures used might not be comprehensive.
- Other potentially relevant factors were not considered in this analysis.

Overall, the study provides preliminary insights into the potential differences between urban and rural populations regarding financial literacy and cashless payment adoption. However, further research with a more robust design and a larger sample is needed to draw definitive conclusions and gain a deeper understanding of the complex relationships between these variables

**Conclusion**

This study investigated the potential differences in financial literacy and cashless payment adoption between individuals from urban and rural areas. While the findings suggest that urban residents possess higher financial literacy levels, the relationship between location and cashless adoption remains inconclusive.

**Key Findings Include**

- Urban residents have significantly higher financial literacy scores than those in rural areas.
- The observed association between location and cashless payment adoption is not statistically significant.
- Other factors, beyond location, likely influence cashless adoption patterns.

**Limitations of the Study**

- Small sample size restricts generalizability.
- Selection bias by focusing on cashless payment users.
- Self-reported data and potentially limited measures.
- Limited scope, excluding other relevant factors.
- Ecological fallacy and potential for confounding variables.
- Cross-sectional design restricts understanding of causal relationships.

**Future Research Directions**

- Replication with a larger and more diverse sample.
- Consideration of broader factors like income, education, and technology access.
- Evaluation of potential mediating and moderating variables.
- Longitudinal study design to understand changes over time.

In conclusion, while the study offers preliminary insights, further research with a more robust design is crucial to establish a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between location, financial literacy, and cashless payment adoption. This knowledge can facilitate the development of effective policies promoting financial inclusion and equitable access to financial services.



## References

### Academic Journals

1. Financial Innovation (2023): "Financial literacy, behavioral traits, and ePayment adoption and usage in Japan"
2. ResearchGate (2022): "DIGITAL FINANCIAL LITERACY: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES IN RURAL INDIA"
3. International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Studies (IJIMS) (2019): "A study on a digital transaction between the rural and urban area in Bihar"
4. IJRPR (2020): "Impact of Digital Payment System on Indian Rural Banking Customer"
5. Government Reports and Websites:
6. World Bank (2021): "Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19"
7. G20 Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion (GPFI) (2020): "G20 Financial Literacy and Education Strategy 2020-2025"
8. Reserve Bank of India (RBI) (2019): "Report of the Committee on Digital Payments"
9. Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) and Think Tanks:
10. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (2017): "Financial Inclusion for the Poor: The State of the Field"
11. Center for Financial Inclusion at Accion (2020): "The Future of Financial Services for the Poor".

